

## PART II PARTICLES EXAMPLES CLASS OUTLINE ANSWERS

### (2) Number of Neutrinos

(b) Due to radiation from incoming beams the centre-of-mass energy of the  $e^+e^-$  collisions is reduced. Consider  $(E, \tilde{p})$  for the case where the photon is radiated from the  $e^-$  (neglect  $m_e$  and assume  $e^-$  direction unchanged):

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^- &: (E - E_\gamma, 0, 0, E - E_\gamma) \\
 e^+ &: (E, 0, 0, E) \\
 \text{Invariant mass } m_{e^+e^-}^2 = s' &= E^2 - \tilde{p}^2 \\
 s' &= (E + E - E_\gamma)^2 - (E - E_\gamma + E)^2 \\
 &= 4E^2 - 4EE_\gamma \\
 &= s - 2E_\gamma\sqrt{s}
 \end{aligned}$$

(d) Number of interactions observed in a collider experiment  $N$ :

$$N = \sigma \mathcal{L}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is integrated luminosity of the colliding beams. It encompasses many things *e.g.* beam current (number of  $e^+e^-$ ), beam size (number density), whether the beams completely overlap, *etc.*

Here  $\mathcal{L} = 22.5 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  which means that for a cross section of 1 pb expect 22.5 interactions. Observe 208 single photon events of which expect 20 are attributed to come from background processes. Therefore, the number of genuine observed  $\nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$  events is  $208 - 20 = 188$ . Since detection efficiency is 66%, the best estimate of number of interactions is  $\nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$  is  $188/0.66$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma &= \frac{N - N_B}{\epsilon \mathcal{L}} \\
 &= \frac{208 - 20}{0.66 \times 22.5} \text{ pb} \\
 &= 12.7 \text{ pb}
 \end{aligned}$$

(e) Mean measured photon energy is 2.85 GeV:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle E_\gamma \rangle &= 2.85 \text{ GeV} \\
 \Rightarrow \text{mean } s' &= \sqrt{s} - 2\langle E_\gamma \rangle\sqrt{s} \\
 &= 91.3^2 - 2 \times 2.85 \times 91.3 \\
 \sqrt{s'} &= 88.4 \text{ GeV}
 \end{aligned}$$

(f) Breit-Wigner expression for cross section refers to the total  $\sigma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}$  cross section. Only observe the fraction where a photon of energy greater than 1.75 GeV has been radiated (12.7 pb). This constitutes 1/180 of the total cross section, therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_{\nu\bar{\nu}} &= 180 \times 12.7 \text{ pb} \\
 &= 2.29 \text{ nb}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Breit-Wigner formula for cross section and noting that we are below the peak of the  $Z^0$  resonance:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\nu\bar{\nu}} &= \frac{3\pi\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}}{s'[(\sqrt{s'} - M_{Z^0})^2 - \Gamma_Z^2/4]} \\ &= \frac{3\pi \times 0.084\Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}}{7815[(88.4 - 91.187)^2 - 2.49^2/4]} \\ &= 1.09 \times 10^{-5}\Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}\text{GeV}^{-2}.\end{aligned}$$

(Note  $\Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}$  is measured in GeV). Need to convert from natural units to S.I. units *i.e.* pb. Recall 1 barn =  $10^{-28}$  m and putting back missing factors of  $\hbar, c$

$$\begin{aligned}[\sigma] = [L]^2 &= [E^{-2}][\hbar]^m[c]^n \\ &= [E^{-2}][E]^m[T]^m[L]^n[T]^{-n} \\ \Rightarrow n = m &= 2 \\ \therefore 1.09 \times 10^{-5} \times (\Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}/\text{GeV}) \text{ GeV}^{-2} &= 1.09 \times 10^{-5}\hbar^2c^2\text{GeV}^{-2}(\Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}/\text{GeV}) m^2 \\ &= (\Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}/\text{GeV}) \times 4.31 \times 10^{-37} m^2 \\ &= (\Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}/\text{GeV}) \times 4.31 \text{ nb}\end{aligned}$$

Measured value of 2.29 nb corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}/\text{GeV} &= 2.29/4.31 = 0.53 \\ \Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}} &= 0.53 \text{ GeV}\end{aligned}$$

From lecture notes,  $\Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}} = 0.167N_{\nu\bar{\nu}} \text{ GeV}$  giving:

$$\begin{aligned}N_{\nu\bar{\nu}} &= 0.53/0.167 \\ &= 3.18 \pm 0.22\end{aligned}$$

where the error is from the statistical uncertainty associated with the 208 observed events *i.e.*  $\sqrt{N}$ .

### (3) The Charmed Baryons

(a) Quantum Numbers for  $(cdd), (cuu), (css)$  baryons

Treat quarks as identical particles labelled by flavour giving the form of the the baryon wave-function

$$\psi_{baryon} = \psi_{colour}\psi_{space}\psi_{flavour}\psi_{spin}$$

which must be ANTI-SYMMETRIC under interchange of any two quarks. For all baryons  $\psi_{colour}$  is ANTI-SYMMETRIC *i.e.* the colourless singlet state. For ground state (L=0) baryons the relative orbital angular momentum between all quarks is zero and  $\psi_{space}$  is SYMMETRIC. Therefore  $\psi_{flavour}\psi_{spin}$  is SYMMETRIC under interchange of any two quarks.

**(cdd), (cuu), (css) baryons** Consider the light quark pair in *e.g.*  $(cdd)$ . Flavour wave-function is SYMMETRIC ( $\psi_{flavour} = dd$ ), therefore spin wave-function must be SYMMETRIC, *i.e.* must have total spin  $S_{qq} = 1$ .

$$J(\text{Baryon}) = S_c + S_{qq} = \frac{1}{2} + 1$$

*i.e.*  $(cdd), (cuu), (css)$  baryons can exist in spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  and spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  states.

**(cdu), (c ds), (cus) baryons** Consider the light quark pair in *e.g.* (cud) still requiring  $\psi_{flavour}\psi_{spin}$  to be SYMMETRIC Flavour wave-function can be:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{symmetric} & \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ud + du) \\ \text{or anti - symmetric} & \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ud - du) \end{aligned}$$

Since there is no spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  anti-symmetric spin state then cannot make a spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  state from the anti-symmetric flavour combination. No suce restriction for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  since both symmetric and anti-symmetric spin states exist, In total there are 6 spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  and 3 spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  states.

Intrinsic parities =  $P_1 P_2 P_3 (-1)^L (-1)^{L'} = +1$  since parities of fermions are defined to be positive.

**(b)  $J = \frac{3}{2}$  Baryon Masses**

- $J = \vec{S}_1 + \vec{S}_2 + \vec{S}_3 = \frac{3}{2}$ . If  $\vec{S}_{ij} = 0$  then  $J = \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{0} = \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore any pair of quarks in a spin  $\frac{3}{2}$  baryon must have total spin 1.

•

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{S}_{ij} &= \vec{S}_i + \vec{S}_j \\ \vec{S}_{ij}^2 &= \vec{S}_i^2 + \vec{S}_j^2 + 2\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \\ \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j &= \frac{1}{2}[1(1+1) - 2\frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{2})] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

for any pair of quarks in a spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  baryon.

•

$$\begin{aligned} M(\text{baryon}) &= m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + A \left( \frac{\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2}{m_1 m_2} + \frac{\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_3}{m_1 m_3} + \frac{\vec{S}_2 \cdot \vec{S}_3}{m_2 m_3} \right) \\ M(J = \frac{3}{2}) &= m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + A \left( \frac{\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2}{m_1 m_2} + \frac{\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_3}{m_1 m_3} + \frac{\vec{S}_2 \cdot \vec{S}_3}{m_2 m_3} \right) \\ M(J = \frac{3}{2}) &= m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + \frac{A}{4} \left( \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} + \frac{1}{m_1 m_3} + \frac{1}{m_2 m_3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

**(c)  $J = \frac{1}{2}$  Baryon Masses**

•

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{S} &= \vec{S}_1 + \vec{S}_2 + \vec{S}_3 \\ \vec{S}_{ij}^2 &= \vec{S}_1^2 + \vec{S}_2^2 + \vec{S}_3^2 + 2(\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 + \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_3 + \vec{S}_2 \cdot \vec{S}_3) \\ \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 + \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_3 + \vec{S}_2 \cdot \vec{S}_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(\vec{S}^2 - \vec{S}_1^2 - \vec{S}_2^2 - \vec{S}_3^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3}{4} - 3 \times \frac{3}{4} \right) \\ \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 + \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_3 + \vec{S}_2 \cdot \vec{S}_3 &= -\frac{3}{4} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- For  $\Sigma_c^0, \Sigma_c^{++}$  and  $\Omega_c^0$  (*cdd, cuu, css*) have  $S_{qq} = 1$  from part (a).
- $\Lambda_c^+$  and  $\Sigma_c^+$ , both (*cud*), are the  $S_{qq} = 0$  and  $S_{qq} = 1$  (*cud*) states from part (a). Identify the  $S_{qq} = 1$  state with  $\Sigma_c^+$  - forming a *triplet* of states with the  $\Sigma_c^0, \Sigma_c^{++}$ . The  $\Lambda_c^+$  is then identified as the  $S_{qq} = 0$  (*cud*) state.
- For  $\Lambda_c^+$   $S_{qq} = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \vec{S}_u(\vec{S}_u + 1) + \vec{S}_d(\vec{S}_d + 1) + 2\vec{S}_d \cdot \vec{S}_u \\ \vec{S}_d \cdot \vec{S} &= -\frac{3}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Using Equation 1):

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{S}_d \cdot \vec{S}_u + \vec{S}_d \cdot \vec{S}_c + \vec{S}_u \cdot \vec{S}_c &= -\frac{3}{4} \\ \Rightarrow \vec{S}_d \cdot \vec{S}_c + \vec{S}_u \cdot \vec{S}_c &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$M(\Lambda_c^+) = m_c + 2m_u - A \cdot \frac{3}{4m_u^2}$$

- $\Sigma_c^0, \Sigma_c^{++}$  and  $\Omega_c^0$  (*cdd, cuu, css*) have  $S_{qq} = 1$  which implies  $\vec{S}_{q_1} \vec{S}_{q_2} = -\frac{3}{4}$ . Inserting in Equation 1 gives:

$$\vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_{q_1} + \vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_{q_2} = -1$$

Giving:

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Sigma_c) &= m_c + 2m_u + A \left( \frac{1}{4m_u^2} - \frac{1}{m_c m_u} \right) \\ M(\Omega_c^0) &= m_c + 2m_s + A \left( \frac{1}{4m_s^2} - \frac{1}{m_c m_s} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- $\Xi_c^0, \Xi_c^+$  (*cds, cus*). The strong interaction couples to colour and does not distinguish between different flavour quarks. In the limit where  $m_u, m_s \ll m_c$  we would expect  $\vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_u = \vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_s$ .
- $\Xi_c^0, \Xi_c^+$  (*cds, cus*). For the  $S_{qq} = 0$  states (from discussion of  $\Lambda_c^+$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_u + \vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_s &= 0 \\ \text{giving } \vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_u &= \vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_s = 0 \end{aligned}$$

For the  $S_{qq} = 1$  states (see discussion of  $\Lambda_c^+$ ) then

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_u + \vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_s &= -1 \\ \text{which here gives } \vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_u &= \vec{S}_c \cdot \vec{S}_s = -\frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Xi_c; S_{qq} = 0) &= m_c + m_s + m_u - A \cdot \frac{3}{4m_s m_u} \\ M(\Xi_c; S_{qq} = 1) &= m_c + m_s + m_u + A \left( \frac{1}{4m_s m_u} - \frac{1}{2m_c m_s} - \frac{1}{2m_c m_u} \right). \end{aligned}$$

	$J = \frac{1}{2}$		$J = \frac{3}{2}$	
	predicted	observed	predicted	observed
$\Lambda_c^+$	2281	2285	---	---
$\Sigma_c^0, \Sigma_c^+, \Sigma_c^{++}$	2438	2453	2502	2530
$\Xi_c^0, \Xi_c^+ \quad S_{qq} = 0$	2505	2470/2465	---	---
$\Xi_c^0, \Xi_c^+ \quad S_{qq} = 1$	2604	?	2658	?
$\Omega_c^0$	2775	2710	2818	?

**(d) Comparison with Experiment**

$$m_u = m_d = 363 \text{ MeV}; \quad m_s = 538 \text{ MeV}; \quad m_c = 1705 \text{ MeV}; \quad A/m_u^2 = 200 \text{ MeV}$$

**(4) Experimental Determination of the Spin of the  $\pi^+$  Meson**

**(a)** In cms frame  $E^{*2} - p^{*2} = E_{cms}^2$  since  $p = 0$  (starred quantities refer to cms system). Since  $(E^2 - p^2)$  is invariant.

$$E_{cms}^2 = E_{lab}^2 - p_{lab}^2$$

For process  $a + b(\text{at rest}) \rightarrow c + d$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{cms}^2 &= (E_1 + E_2)^2 - p_1^2 \\
&= E_1^2 + E_2^2 + 2E_1E_2 - p_1^2 \\
&= m_1^2 + p_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2(m_1 + T_1)m_2 - p_1^2 \\
\Rightarrow E_{cms}^2 &= [m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2(m_1 + T_1)m_2]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

**(b)** cross section is transition rate divided by flux:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma &= \frac{\Gamma_{if}}{v_i} \\
\therefore \frac{\sigma(A)}{\sigma(B)} &= \frac{\Gamma_{if}^{(A)} v_i^{(B)}}{\Gamma_{if}^{(B)} v_i^{(A)}} \\
&= \frac{|M_A|^2 (dn/dE)_A v_i^{(B)}}{|M_B|^2 (dn/dE)_B v_i^{(A)}}
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $|M_A|^2 = |M_{pp \rightarrow \pi^+ d}|^2 = |M_{\pi^+ d \rightarrow pp}|^2 = |M_B|^2$  by the principle of detailed balance.

$$\therefore \frac{\sigma(A)}{\sigma(B)} = \frac{(dn/dE)_A v_i^{(B)}}{(dn/dE)_B v_i^{(A)}}$$

(c) Consider  $a + b \rightarrow c + d$  in  $cms$  where  $p_c = p_d = p^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{cms} &= E_c^* + E_d^* \\
E_{cms}^2 &= E_c^{*2} + E_d^{*2} + 2E_c^* E_d^* \\
&= m_c^2 + p^{*2} + m_d^2 + p^{*2} + 2E_c^* E_d^* \\
E_{cms}^2 - m_c^2 - m_d^2 - 2p^{*2} &= 2E_c^* E_d^* \\
(E_{cms}^2 - m_c^2 - m_d^2 - 2p^{*2})^2 &= 4E_c^{*2} E_d^{*2} \\
(E_{cms}^2 - m_c^2 - m_d^2)^2 + 4p^{*2} - 4p^{*2}(E_{cms}^2 - m_c^2 - m_d^2) &= 4(m_c^2 + p^{*2})(m_d^2 + p^{*2}) \\
p^{*2} &= \frac{(E_{cms}^2 - m_c^2 - m_d^2)^2 - 4m_c^2 m_d^2}{4E_{cms}^2}
\end{aligned}$$

(d)  $g = (2S_c + 1)(2S_d + 1)$  spin states. If particles are identical then an extra factor of  $\frac{1}{2}$  to avoid double counting when integrating over  $4\pi$  solid angle.

(e)

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{cms} &= E_c^* + E_d^* \\
\frac{dE_{cms}}{dp^*} &= \frac{dE_c^*}{dp^*} + \frac{dE_d^*}{dp^*} \\
\text{but } E^2 &= p^2 + m^2 \\
2EdE &= 2pd p \\
\frac{dE}{dp} &= \frac{p}{E} = \frac{\gamma m v}{\gamma m} = v \\
\therefore \frac{dE_{cms}}{dp^*} &= |v_c^*| + |v_d^*| = v_f
\end{aligned}$$

(f) In  $cms$  frame

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sigma(A)}{\sigma(B)} &= \frac{(dn/dE)_A v_i^{(B)}}{(dn/dE)_B v_i^{(A)}} \\
&= \frac{(dn/dp^*)_A (dp^*/dE^*)_A v_i^{(B)}}{(dn/dE)_B (dp^*/dE^*)_B v_i^{(A)}} \\
&= \frac{p_A^{*2} g_A v_f^{(A)} v_i^{(B)}}{p_B^{*2} g_B v_f^{(B)} v_i^{(A)}}
\end{aligned}$$

Symmetric reactions at same  $cms$  energy  $\Rightarrow v_f^{(B)} = v_i^{(A)}$  and  $v_f^{(A)} = v_i^{(B)}$ , giving

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sigma(A)}{\sigma(B)} &= \frac{p_A^{*2} g_A}{p_B^{*2} g_B} \\
\text{but } g_A &= (2S_\pi + 1)(2S_d + 1) \\
\text{and } g_B &= \frac{1}{2}(2S_p + 1)(2S_p + 1) \\
\frac{\sigma(A)}{\sigma(B)} &= \frac{3}{2}(2S_\pi + 1) \frac{p_A^{*2}}{p_B^{*2}} \\
&= 0.064(2S_\pi + 1) \\
\therefore 0.058 \pm 0.020 &= 0.064(2S_\pi + 1) \\
S_\pi &= -0.05 \pm 0.15
\end{aligned}$$