Particle Physics Major Option

EXAMPLES SHEET 3

NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS

21. In the CHOOZ experiment, a neutrino detector was positioned a distance $L \approx 1 \text{ km}$ from a nuclear reactor emitting neutrinos (actually antineutrinos) of mean energy $E \approx 3 \text{ MeV}$. The number of neutrino interactions observed was consistent with the number expected assuming no neutrino oscillations, giving the result $P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) = 1.01 \pm 0.04$.

a) Show that neutrino oscillations associated with the (solar) mass-squared difference $|\Delta m_{12}^2| \approx 7 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{eV}^2$ can be neglected for the CHOOZ experiment, and that

$$P(\nu_{\rm e} \rightarrow \nu_{\rm e}) \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \Delta_{23}$$

where

$$\Delta_{23} \equiv \frac{\Delta m_{23}^2 L}{4E}$$

b) In the limit $|\Delta m_{23}^2| \gg (E/L)$, explain why a given measurement, P, of the survival probability $P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e)$ determines the neutrino mixing to be $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 2(1-P)$.

c) In the limit $|\Delta m_{23}^2| \ll (E/L)$, show that a given measurement, P, of the survival probability $P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e)$ determines the neutrino mixing to be $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} \propto 1/(\Delta m_{23}^2)^2$, with constant of proportionality $(1-P)(4E/L)^2$.

d) The null result from the CHOOZ experiment, $P(\overline{\nu}_{\rm e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{\rm e}) = 1.01 \pm 0.04$, can be used to exclude a region of the $(\sin^2 2\theta_{13}, \Delta m_{23}^2)$ parameter space. This is conventionally presented as the region which can be excluded at "90% Confidence Level", which for the CHOOZ measurement encompasses all values of $(\sin^2 2\theta_{13}, \Delta m_{23}^2)$ which would give a survival probability $P(\nu_{\rm e} \rightarrow \nu_{\rm e}) < 0.92$ (which is about twice the rms precision of the measurement below unity: $P < 1 - 2 \times 0.04$). In the plot overleaf, published by CHOOZ, the curves correspond approximately to the contour P = 0.92 and the excluded region lies above and to the right of the curves. [The two similar curves correspond to slightly different statistical approaches to the analysis of the data.]

Use the results derived above to justify approximately the shape and position of the exclusion contour in the regions close to the intercepts with the upper horizontal and right-hand vertical axes. Give a qualitative explanation of the shape of the remainder of the contour.

Evaluate the survival probability $P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e)$ for some representative points lying on either side of the contour, specifically for $(\sin^2 2\theta_{13}, \Delta m_{23}^2) = (0.5, 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2)$ and $(0.5, 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2)$.



e) Experiments studying atmospheric neutrino oscillations indicate a mass-squared splitting in the range $|\Delta m_{23}^2| \approx 2 - 3 \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{eV}^2$. What constraint can now be placed on the angle θ_{13} ?

22. a) It was shown in the lectures (see Equation (14) of Handout 12) that a general expression for the probability that an initial ν_e oscillates into a ν_{μ} is

$$P(\nu_{e} \to \nu_{\mu}) = 2 \sum_{i < j} \operatorname{Re} \left(U_{ei} U_{\mu i}^{*} U_{ej}^{*} U_{\mu j} \left[e^{-i(E_{i} - E_{j})t} - 1 \right] \right)$$

Show that

$$P(\nu_{\rm e} \to \nu_{\mu}) = -4\sum_{i < j} \operatorname{Re}(U_{ei}U_{\mu i}^{*}U_{ej}^{*}U_{\mu j})\sin^{2}\Delta_{ij} + 2\sum_{i < j} \operatorname{Im}(U_{ei}U_{\mu i}^{*}U_{ej}^{*}U_{\mu j})\sin 2\Delta_{ij}$$

where

$$\Delta_{ij} \equiv \frac{(m_i^2 - m_j^2)L}{4E} \equiv \frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{4E} \,.$$

b) Use the unitarity of the PMNS matrix to show that

$$\operatorname{Im}(U_{e1}U_{\mu1}^*U_{e3}^*U_{\mu3}) = -\operatorname{Im}(U_{e2}U_{\mu2}^*U_{e3}^*U_{\mu3}) = -\operatorname{Im}(U_{e1}U_{\mu1}^*U_{e2}^*U_{\mu2}) \equiv -J, \text{ say }.$$

c) Hence show that

$$P(\nu_{\rm e} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) = -4 \sum_{i < j} \operatorname{Re}(U_{ei} U_{\mu i}^* U_{ej}^* U_{\mu j}) \sin^2 \Delta_{ij} + 8J \sin \Delta_{12} \sin \Delta_{13} \sin \Delta_{23}$$

[You may wish to use the trigonometric identity

$$\sin A + \sin B - \sin(A+B) = 4\sin\frac{A}{2}\sin\frac{B}{2}\sin\frac{A+B}{2}$$

d) The standard parameterisation of the PMNS matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $c_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij}$ and $s_{ij} \equiv \sin \theta_{ij}$. Show that, in this parameterisation,

$$J = \frac{1}{8}\cos\theta_{13}\sin 2\theta_{12}\sin 2\theta_{13}\sin 2\theta_{23}\sin\delta$$

and find the maximum possible value of |J| given the present experimental knowledge of the mixing angles θ_{12} , θ_{23} and θ_{13} .

e) The conversion probabilities for antineutrinos are obtained by replacing U by U^* . Show that

$$P(\nu_{\rm e} \to \nu_{\mu}) - P(\overline{\nu}_{\rm e} \to \overline{\nu}_{\mu}) = 16J \sin \Delta_{12} \sin \Delta_{13} \sin \Delta_{23}$$

f) It is proposed to build a "neutrino factory" to search for evidence of CP violation in neutrino oscillations; $P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu) \neq P(\overline{\nu}_e \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_\mu)$. A neutrino factory would produce an intense beam of neutrinos with typical energy 10 GeV. Roughly how far away should a neutrino detector be positioned to optimise the chances of observing CP violation, and how large an effect might be expected?

CP VIOLATION AND THE CKM MATRIX

23. a) Draw Feynman diagrams for the decays $K^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ and $\overline{K}^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$, and for the decays $K^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$ and $\overline{K}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$.

b) Draw Feynman diagrams for the decays $K^0 \to \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ and $\overline{K}^0 \to \pi^+ e^- \overline{\nu}_e$, and explain why the decays $\overline{K}^0 \to \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ and $K^0 \to \pi^+ e^- \overline{\nu}_e$ cannot occur.

c) How does the decay rate for each of the above decays depend on the Cabibbo angle $\theta_{\rm C}$?

24. In the CPLEAR experiment at CERN, neutral kaons are produced in low energy proton-antiproton collisions via the channels $\overline{p}p \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\overline{K}{}^0$ and $\overline{p}p \rightarrow K^-\pi^+K^0$. The strangeness of the initial $\overline{K}{}^0$ or K^0 is tagged by the charge of the accompanying K^+ or K^- , and the K^0 or $\overline{K}{}^0$ is subsequently detected via decays into the semileptonic final states $\pi^-e^+\nu_e$ and $\pi^+e^-\overline{\nu}_e$.

a) Draw Feynman diagrams for the reactions $\overline{p}p \to K^+\pi^-\overline{K}{}^0$ and $\overline{p}p \to K^-\pi^+K^0$, and explain why the reactions $\overline{p}p \to K^+\pi^-K^0$ and $\overline{p}p \to K^-\pi^+\overline{K}{}^0$ cannot occur.

b) Show that, for a system which is initially in a pure K^0 state, the decay rates R_+ and R_- to the semileptonic final states $\pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ and $\pi^+ e^- \overline{\nu}_e$ depend on the proper decay time t as

$$R_{+} \equiv \Gamma(\mathbf{K}_{t=0}^{0} \to \pi^{-} \mathbf{e}^{+} \nu_{\mathbf{e}}) = N_{\pi e \nu} \frac{1}{4} \left[e^{-\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}} t} + e^{-\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}} t} + 2e^{-(\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}} + \Gamma_{\mathrm{L}})t/2} \cos \Delta m t \right]$$
$$R_{-} \equiv \Gamma(\mathbf{K}_{t=0}^{0} \to \pi^{+} \mathbf{e}^{-} \overline{\nu}_{\mathbf{e}}) \approx N_{\pi e \nu} \frac{1}{4} \left[1 - 4 \mathrm{Re} \epsilon \right] \left[e^{-\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}} t} + e^{-\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}} t} - 2e^{-(\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}} + \Gamma_{\mathrm{L}})t/2} \cos \Delta m t \right]$$

where $\Gamma_S = 1/\tau_S$, $\Gamma_L = 1/\tau_L$, $\Delta m = m_L - m_S$, ϵ is the CP violation parameter, and $N_{\pi e\nu}$ is an overall normalisation constant. Show that the corresponding expressions for a system which is initially in a pure \overline{K}^0 state are

$$\bar{R}_{+} \equiv \Gamma(\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{t=0}^{0} \to \pi^{-} \mathrm{e}^{+} \nu_{\mathrm{e}}) \approx N_{\pi e \nu} \frac{1}{4} \left[1 + 4 \mathrm{Re}\epsilon\right] \left[e^{-\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}}t} + e^{-\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}t} - 2e^{-(\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}} + \Gamma_{\mathrm{L}})t/2} \cos \Delta m t\right]$$
$$\bar{R}_{-} \equiv \Gamma(\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{t=0}^{0} \to \pi^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \overline{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}}) = N_{\pi e \nu} \frac{1}{4} \left[e^{-\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}}t} + e^{-\Gamma_{\mathrm{L}}t} + 2e^{-(\Gamma_{\mathrm{S}} + \Gamma_{\mathrm{L}})t/2} \cos \Delta m t\right] .$$

c) The figure overleaf shows a measurement from the CPLEAR experiment of the asymmetry

$$A_{\Delta m} \equiv \frac{(R_+ + \overline{R}_-) - (\overline{R}_+ + R_-)}{(R_+ + \overline{R}_-) + (\overline{R}_+ + R_-)}$$

as a function of the proper decay time $\tau = t$ (plotted in units of the K_S lifetime $\tau_S = 0.9 \times 10^{-10}$ s). Show that $A_{\Delta m}$ is given by

$$A_{\Delta m} = \frac{2\cos\left(\Delta mt\right)e^{-(\Gamma_{\rm S}+\Gamma_{\rm L})t/2}}{e^{-\Gamma_{\rm S}t} + e^{-\Gamma_{\rm L}t}}$$

and obtain an estimate of the mass difference Δm .

d) Show that the time-reversal asymmetry

$$A_T \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\overline{\mathbf{K}}_{t=0}^0 \to \mathbf{K}^0) - \Gamma(\mathbf{K}_{t=0}^0 \to \overline{\mathbf{K}}^0)}{\Gamma(\overline{\mathbf{K}}_{t=0}^0 \to \mathbf{K}^0) + \Gamma(\mathbf{K}_{t=0}^0 \to \overline{\mathbf{K}}^0)}$$

is independent of the decay time t and that

$$A_T \approx 4 \operatorname{Re}(\epsilon) = 4 |\epsilon| \cos \phi$$
.



25. a) Draw the Feynman (box) diagrams responsible for $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$, $D^0 - \overline{D}^0$, $B^0_d - \overline{B}^0_d$ and $B^0_s - \overline{B}^0_s$ mixing. [The K^0 , D^0 , B^0_d and B^0_s mesons have quark content $d\overline{s}$, $c\overline{u}$, $d\overline{b}$ and $s\overline{b}$, respectively.]

b) The mass difference Δm between the mass eigenstates resulting from mixing in neutral meson systems is proportional to the magnitude of the matrix element derived from the box diagrams: $\Delta m \propto |M_{\rm fi}|$. For $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ mixing, for example, the box diagrams involving virtual quarks of flavour q and q', with masses m_q and $m_{q'}$, lead to the prediction

$$\Delta \,\mathrm{mK} \approx \frac{G_{\mathrm{F}}^2}{3\pi^2} f_{\mathrm{K}}^2 \,\mathrm{mK} \left| V_{\mathrm{qd}} V_{\mathrm{qs}}^* V_{\mathrm{q'd}} V_{\mathrm{q's}}^* \right| m_q m_{q'}$$

where $f_{\rm K}$ is a constant and the V_{ij} are CKM matrix elements. Show that the dominant contribution to $\Delta \,\mathrm{mK}$ comes from the box diagram containing two virtual charm quarks. Estimate $\Delta \,\mathrm{mK}$ and compare with experiment. [Take $f_{\rm K} = 100 \,\mathrm{MeV}$.]

c) Show that the dominant contributions to $D^0 - \overline{D}^0$ and $B^0 - \overline{B}^0$ mixing come from the box diagrams containing two virtual strange quarks and two virtual top quarks, respectively. Obtain estimates of Δm_D and Δm_B . [Take $f_K = f_D = f_B$]. Explain why $D^0 - \overline{D}^0$ mixing has not been (and is unlikely to be) observed. [Hint: convert Δm_D to a time and compare with the measured D^0 lifetime of 0.41 ps.]

NUMERICAL ANSWERS

- 21. d) $P(\nu_{\rm e} \rightarrow \nu_{\rm e}) = 0.98$ and 0.63; e) $\sin^2 \theta_{13} < 0.058$
- 22. d) $|J|_{\text{max}} = 0.053$; f) about 5000 km, $|\Delta P|_{\text{max}} \approx 0.04$
- 25. b) $\Delta \,\mathrm{mK} \sim 2 \times 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{MeV};$ c) $\Delta m_{\mathrm{D}} \sim 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{MeV}, \ \Delta m_{B_d} \sim 10^{-9} \,\mathrm{MeV}, \ \Delta m_{B_s} \sim 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{MeV}$