Particle Physics Major Option Exam, January 2001 SOLUTIONS

2. Deep-inelastic scattering at HERA



The isolated particle in the upper part of the diagram is the scattered positron, with a large signal in the electromagnetic calorimeter followed by a negligible signal in the hadronic calorimeter.

Taking the *x*-axis to point vertically upwards and the *z*-axis to point horizontally to the right in the diagram, the e⁺ beam must enter from the left along +z and the proton beam from the right along -z; otherwise longitudinal momentum is not conserved. (Also, the detector is asymmetric, being deeper on the -z side to contain the more energetic proton fragments). The scattering angle of the e⁺ (of energy 240 GeV) can be estimated from the diagram to be $\theta \approx 154^{\circ}$. Hence the 4-momenta p_1 , p_2 , p_3 of the incoming e⁺, the incoming proton and the scattered e⁺, in units of GeV, are:

$$p_1 = (27.5, 0, 0, 27.5) \qquad p_2 = (820, 0, 0, -820)$$
$$p_3 = (240, 240 \times \sin 154^\circ, 0, 240 \times \cos 154^\circ) = (240, 105.2, 0, -215.7)$$

This gives a four-momentum transfer

$$q = p_1 - p_3 = (-212.5, -105.2, 0, 243.2)$$
,

and hence

$$q^{2} = (-212.5)^{2} - (-105.2)^{2} - (243.2)^{2} = \boxed{-25057 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{2}}$$

The scalar product $p_2.q$ is

$$p_2.q = 820 \times (-212.5) - (-820 \times 243.2) = 25174 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$$
,

giving a Bjorken x value of

$$x = -\frac{q^2}{2M\nu} = -\frac{q^2}{2p_2 \cdot q} = \frac{25057 \,\text{GeV}^2}{2 \times (25174 \,\text{GeV}^2)} = \boxed{0.498} \,.$$

(Note that the numerical value of the proton mass, $M = 0.938 \,\text{GeV}$, is not in fact needed).

For ep scattering, given

$$2xF_1^{\rm ep} = F_2^{\rm ep} = \sum_i z_i^2 xq_i(x, q^2)$$

we have

$$F_2^{\rm ep} = \frac{4}{9}xu(x) + \frac{1}{9}xd(x) + \frac{4}{9}x\overline{u}(x) + \frac{1}{9}x\overline{d}(x) \ .$$

For en scattering, we interchange u(x) and d(x):

$$F_2^{\rm en} = \frac{4}{9}xd(x) + \frac{1}{9}xu(x) + \frac{4}{9}x\overline{d}(x) + \frac{1}{9}x\overline{u}(x) \; .$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} \left(F_2^{\text{ep}} - F_2^{\text{en}} \right) \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{3} \left[u(x) - d(x) + \overline{u}(x) - \overline{d}(x) \right] \mathrm{d}x$$

Breaking each distribution function into "valence" and "sea" components and assuming the sea components are all identical, we can write

$$u = u_V(x) + S(x)$$
 $d = d_V(x) + S(x)$ $\overline{u} = S(x)$ $\overline{d} = S(x)$.

The distribution functions are normalised to the total number of that parton type in the proton:

$$\int_0^1 u_V(x) dx = 2 \qquad \int_0^1 d_V(x) dx = 1$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} \left(F_2^{\text{ep}} - F_2^{\text{en}} \right) \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{3} \times (2 - 1) = \frac{1}{3} \; .$$

In terms of $u_V(x)$, $d_V(x)$, S(x), we have

$$F_2^{\text{ep}} = \frac{4}{9}x(u_V + S) + \frac{1}{9}x(d_V + S) + \frac{4}{9}xS + \frac{1}{9}xS = x\left[\frac{4}{9}u_V + \frac{1}{9}d_V + \frac{10}{9}S\right]$$
$$F_2^{\text{en}} = \frac{4}{9}x(d_V + S) + \frac{1}{9}x(u_V + S) + \frac{4}{9}xS + \frac{1}{9}xS = x\left[\frac{4}{9}d_V + \frac{1}{9}u_V + \frac{10}{9}S\right]$$

The ratio R of these two structure functions is

$$R = \frac{F_2^{\text{en}}}{F_2^{\text{ep}}} = \frac{4d_V + u_V + 10S}{4u_V + d_V + 10S}$$

As $x \to 0$, the sea component S(x) completely dominates and $R \to 1$. As $x \to 1$, S(x) becomes negligible and the ratio depends on the relative magnitude of u_V and d_V . Experimentally, d_V becomes very small and $R \to \frac{1}{4}$.

3. Helicity and Handedness:

Without loss of generality, choose the direction of motion of the particle to be along the +z-axis. In the limit $E \gg m$, the free particle spinors become

$$u_1 = \sqrt{E} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad u_2 = \sqrt{E} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Operating on these with γ^5 gives

$$\gamma^{5}u_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sqrt{E} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{E} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = u_{1}$$
$$\gamma^{5}u_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sqrt{E} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = -\sqrt{E} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = -u_{2}$$

Therefore, the left-handed and right-handed chiral components $\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma^5)u$ and $\frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma^5)u$ are

$$\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma^5)u_1 = 0, \qquad \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma^5)u_1 = u_1
\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma^5)u_2 = u_2, \qquad \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma^5)u_1 = 0.$$

Any free particle spinor u can be expressed as a linear combination $u = \alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2$. This has left- and right-handed chiral components

$$u_{\rm L} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma^5)u = \alpha_1 \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma^5)u_1 + \alpha_2 \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma^5)u_2 = \alpha_2 u_2, u_{\rm R} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma^5)u = \alpha_1 \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma^5)u_1 + \alpha_2 \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma^5)u_2 = \alpha_1 u_1.$$

But, for motion along the +z-axis, u_1 and u_2 are the positive and negative helicity eigenstates, respectively:

$$\widehat{S}_z u_1 = +\frac{1}{2}u_1, \qquad \widehat{S}_z u_2 = -\frac{1}{2}u_2$$

Hence:

$$\widehat{S}_z u_{\mathcal{L}} = \alpha_2 \widehat{S}_z u_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_2 u_2 = -\frac{1}{2} u_{\mathcal{L}}$$
$$\widehat{S}_z u_{\mathcal{R}} = \alpha_1 \widehat{S}_z u_1 = +\frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 u_1 = +\frac{1}{2} u_{\mathcal{R}}$$

demonstrating that, in the relativistic limit, $\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma^5)u$ is a spin-down eigenstate (helicity -1) and $\frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma^5)u$ is a spin-up eigenstate (helicity +1).

Neutrino scattering:

Feynman diagram for $\nu_{\mu}e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-}\nu_{e}$:



Spin diagram: the ν_{μ} and ν_{e} both have negative helicity. Since the interaction is mediated by a W[±] boson, only the left-handed chiral components of the e⁻ and μ^{-} can contribute. In the relativistic limit, the left-handed chiral component of a particle is a negative helicity eigenstate. Since the e⁻ and μ^{-} masses can be neglected ($E \gg m$), the e⁻ and μ^{-} therefore both have negative helicity.



The total spin in both the initial and final states is zero. In the centre of mass frame, the total 3-momentum is also zero. Hence there is no preferred spatial direction and the scattering is isotropic.

Feynman diagram for $\nu_{\mu}e^{-} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e^{-}$:



Spin diagrams: the initial and final state ν_{μ} both have negative helicity. Since the interaction is now mediated by a Z⁰ boson, both the left-handed *and* right-handed chiral components, *i.e.* both helicity eigenstates, of the e⁻ can contribute. Because of helicity conservation, the initial and final helicity state of the e⁻ must be the same. Thus there are two possible spin configurations, one with both e⁻ spins down (negative helicity, left-handed) and one with both e⁻ spins up (positive helicity, right-handed).



The left-handed case has relative interaction strength $c_{\rm L}^{\rm e}$ and gives isotropic scattering. The right-handed case has relative interaction strength $c_{\rm R}^{\rm e}$ and gives an extra factor of $\frac{1}{4}(1 + \cos \theta)^2$ because the initial and final states both have total spin +1 along the particle axis.

For $\nu_{\mu}e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-}\nu_{e}$, considering just the contributions from the vertex factors, we have

$$M_{\rm fi} \sim \frac{g_{\rm W}}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5) \cdot \frac{g_{\rm W}}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5) = \frac{g_{\rm W}^2}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5) \cdot \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5)$$

This is given to result in a differential cross section

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g_{\mathrm{W}}^2}{8\pi m_{\mathrm{W}}^2} \right)^2 s \; .$$

For $\nu_{\mu}e^{-} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e^{-}$, the vertex factors contribute

$$\begin{split} M_{\rm fi} &\sim \frac{g_{\rm Z}}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5) \cdot \frac{g_{\rm Z}}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (c_{\rm V}^{\rm e} - c_{\rm A}^{\rm e} \gamma^5) \\ &= \frac{g_{\rm Z}^2}{2} \left[c_{\rm L}^{\rm e} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5) \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5) + c_{\rm R}^{\rm e} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5) \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma^5) \right] \,. \end{split}$$

The first term on the right-hand side is identical to the $\nu_{\mu}e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-}\nu_{e}$ case, except that g_{W} is replaced by g_{Z} and there is an extra factor of c_{L}^{e} . The second term has an extra factor of c_{R}^{e} , and $1 + \gamma^{5}$ in place of $1 - \gamma^{5}$. The latter gives rise to the different allowed spin configuration discussed above, with angular distribution $\frac{1}{4}(1 + \cos\theta)^{2}$. The $\nu_{\mu}e^{-} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e^{-}$ differential cross section can therefore be written down directly from the $\nu_{\mu}e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-}\nu_{e}$ cross section above as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g_{\rm Z}^2}{8\pi m_{\rm Z}^2} \right)^2 s \left[(c_{\rm L}^{\rm e})^2 + \frac{1}{4} (1 + \cos\theta)^2 (c_{\rm R}^{\rm e})^2 \right]$$

where we have also replaced $m_{\rm W}$ by $m_{\rm Z}$. Integrating over all angles using

$$\int \frac{1}{4} (1 + \cos \theta)^2 d\Omega = 2\pi \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{1}{4} (1 + x)^2 dx = \frac{1}{3} \times 4\pi$$

and using $g_{\rm W} = g_{\rm Z} \cos \theta_{\rm W}, \, m_{\rm W} = m_{\rm Z} \cos \theta_{\rm W}$ gives

$$\frac{\sigma(\nu_{\mu} e^{-} \to \nu_{\mu} e^{-})}{\sigma(\nu_{\mu} e^{-} \to \mu^{-} \nu_{e})} = (c_{\rm L}^{\rm e})^{2} + \frac{1}{3} (c_{\rm R}^{\rm e})^{2}$$

Since the electron has $I_W^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{2}$ and Q = -1, we have

$$c_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{e}} = -\frac{1}{2} + \sin^2 \theta_{\mathrm{W}} \qquad c_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{e}} = \sin^2 \theta_{\mathrm{W}} \; .$$

Substituting then gives a quadratic equation for $\sin^2 \theta_W$:

$$R = \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \sin^2 \theta_{\rm W}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3}\sin^4 \theta_{\rm W} = \frac{1}{4} - \sin^2 \theta_{\rm W} + \frac{4}{3}\sin^4 \theta_{\rm W} = 0.09 ,$$

which can be solved to give $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm W} \approx 0.52$ or $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm W} \approx 0.23$, the latter being the correct result (consistent with all other data).