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The course covers theoretical aspects of the classical dynamics of particles and fields, with emphasis on topics relevant to the transition to quantum theory. This course is recommended only for students who have achieved a strong performance in Mathematics as well as Physics in Part IB, or an equivalent qualification.

## Synopsis

Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics: Generalised coordinates and constraints; the Lagrangian and Lagrange's equations of motion; symmetry and conservation laws, canonical momenta, the Hamiltonian; principle of least action; velocity-dependent potential for electromagnetic forces, gauge invariance; Hamiltonian mechanics and Hamilton's equations; Liouville's theorem; Poisson brackets and the transition to quantum mechanics; relativistic dynamics of a charged particle.

Classical fields: Waves in one dimension, Lagrangian density, canonical momentum and Hamiltonian density; multidimensional space, relativistic scalar field, Klein-Gordon equation; natural units; relativistic phase space, Fourier analysis of fields; complex scalar field, multicomponent fields; the electromagnetic field, field-strength tensor, electromagnetic Lagrangian and Hamiltonian density, Maxwell's equations .

Symmetries and conservation laws: Noether's theorem, symmetries and conserved currents; global phase symmetry, conserved charge; gauge symmetry of electromagnetism; local phase and gauge symmetry; stress-energy tensor, angular momentum tensor; transition to quantum fields.

Broken symmetry: Self-interacting scalar field; spontaneously broken global phase symmetry, Goldstone's theorem; spontaneously broken local phase and gauge symmetry, Higgs mechanism.

Dirac field: Covariant form of Dirac equation and current; Dirac Lagrangian and Hamiltonian; global and local phase symmetry, electromagnetic interaction; stress-energy tensor, angular momentum and spin.

Propagators and causality: Schrödinger propagator, Fourier representation, causality; KramersKronig relations for propagators and linear response functions; propagator for the Klein-Gordon equation, antiparticle interpretation.
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- Classical Mechanics, Goldstein H (2nd edn, Addison-Wesley 1980). One of the very best books on its subject. It does far more than is required for this course, but it is clearly written and good for the parts that you need.
- Classical Theory of Gauge Fields, Rubakov V (Princeton 2002). The earlier parts are closest to this course, with much interesting more advanced material in later chapters.
- Course of Theoretical Physics, Landau L D \& Lifshitz E M:
- Vol. 1 Mechanics (3d edn, Oxford 1976-94) ) is all classical Lagrangian dynamics, in a structured, consistent and very brief form;
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## 1 Basic Lagrangian mechanics

The initial purpose of Lagrangian mechanics is to express the relevant equations of motion, essentially Newton's laws, in a form involving a set $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots q_{n}$ of generalised position coordinates, and their first time-derivatives $\dot{q}_{1}, \dot{q}_{2}, \ldots \dot{q}_{n}$. The $n$-component vector $\{\boldsymbol{q}\}$ can represent any physical system or process, as long as this set of numbers completely describes the state of the system ( $n$ is the number of degrees of freedom). In the most straightforward case, these can be the 3 Cartesian coordinates of a material point (a "particle"), but, say, the spherical polar set $(r, \theta, \phi)$ is just as good. Just as $\{\boldsymbol{q}\}$ is the generalised coordinate vector, so is $\{\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}\}$ the generalised velocity, both explicit functions of time. It is assumed that simultaneous knowledge of $\{\boldsymbol{q}(t)\}$ and $\{\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}(t)\}$ completely defines the mechanical state of the system. Mathematically, this means that the complete set of $\{\boldsymbol{q}(t)\}$ and $\{\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}(t)\}$ also determines the accelerations $\{\ddot{\boldsymbol{q}}(t)\}$. The mathematical relations that relate accelerations with coordinates and velocities are what one calls the equations of motion.

In many cases, not all $n$ degrees of freedom are completely free. A system may have constraints; for example $q_{1}=$ const., $q_{2}=$ const., $\ldots q_{r}=$ const. could represent the $r$ constraints and $q_{r+1}, \ldots q_{n}$ the remaining independent coordinates. Most often the choice of generalised coordinates $\{\boldsymbol{q}\}$ is dictated by the nature of the constraints. For instance, if a particle is constrained to move on the surface of an expanding balloon of radius $R=a \sqrt{ } t$, we might use spherical polar coordinates, scaled such that $q_{1}=r / a \sqrt{ } t, q_{2}=\theta, q_{3}=\phi$; in that case the single constraint is expressed as $q_{1}=1$ (it would look a lot more complicated if we tried to express it in Cartesians). The Lagrangian formalism is developed, partially, to enable one to deal efficiently with the sometimes complicated constraints imposed on the evolution of physical systems. Constraints are called holonomic if they are of the form $g\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}, t\right)=0$. We shall shortly return to their treatment, but first, let us revise some basic starting points.

### 1.1 Hamilton's principle

A very general formulation of the equations of motion of mechanical (and many other) systems is given by Hamilton's Principle of Least Action. It states that every mechanical system can be characterised by a certain function

$$
L\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots q_{n} ; \dot{q}_{1}, \dot{q}_{2}, \ldots \dot{q}_{n} ; t\right) \equiv L(q, \dot{q}, t) .
$$

Hamilton's principle then states that

> 'The actual motion of a system from $A$ to $B$ is that which makes the integral $S=\int_{A}^{B} L d t$ a minimum'

The function $L(q, \dot{q}, t)$ is called the Lagrangian of the given system and the integral $S$ defined in (1.0) is called the action. Later in this course we shall have some deeper insights into what this object, the action functional $S[\boldsymbol{q}(t)]$, represents and why it has to be minimal. For the time being let us take this as an axiom.

The principle of least action implies that, with a sufficient command of mathematics, in particular the calculus of variations, the solution of any mechanical problem is achieved by the following recipe:
${ }^{\prime}$ Minimise $S=\int_{A}^{B} L d t$ for fixed starting and finishing (representative) points, $\mathrm{A}=\left(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{A}}, t_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ to $\mathrm{B}=\left(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{B}}, t_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, taking proper account of all the constraints.' (There's no maximum; you can make $\int_{A}^{B} L d t$ as large as you like - how?)

### 1.2 Derivation of the equations of motion

First, let's examine the "standard derivation" based on d'Alembert's principle: consider a particle that is subject to the total force $\boldsymbol{F}$ and has momentum $\boldsymbol{p}$. Then if we construct a vector $(\boldsymbol{F}-\dot{\boldsymbol{p}})$, this vector will always be perpendicular to the instantaneous line of motion. In other words, the scalar product is zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}\left(F_{i}-\dot{p}_{i}\right) \delta x_{i}=0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

That's almost trivially true for an arbitrary set of coordinate variations $\delta x_{i}$ because Newton's second law ( $\boldsymbol{F}^{\text {total }}=m \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}$ for each particle) makes each $\left(F_{i}-\dot{p}_{i}\right)=0$. However, we shall only be interested in sets of displacements $\delta x_{i}$ consistent with the constraints. Constraints exert their own forces on each particle, which we call internal: see the reaction force $\boldsymbol{R}$ exerted by the wire in Fig. 1. By definition of the constraint, these internal forces are perpendicular to the line of motion, that is $\sum_{i} F_{i}^{\text {internal }} \delta x_{i}=0$. Therefore, d 'Alembert's principle states


Figure 1: An example of a constraint, restricting the motion of a particle (which may be subject to external forces, such as gravity) along a specific path: the bead on a wire.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}\left(F_{i}^{\text {external }}-\dot{p}_{i}\right) \delta x_{i}=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us try rewriting this in an arbitrary set of generalised coordinates $\{\boldsymbol{q}\}$ to which the Cartesians $\{\boldsymbol{r}\}$ could be transformed via matrices $\partial q_{i} / \partial x_{j}$. The aim is to present eq.(1.2) as a generalised scalar product $\sum_{j}($ something $) \delta q_{j}=$ 0 , so that we can say this is true for arbitrary sets of variations $\delta q_{i}$ of the reduced number $(n-r)$ of generalised coordinate that are not subject to the constraints.

The coordinate transformation in the first term, involving the external force, is easy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} F_{i} \delta x_{i}=\sum_{i, j} F_{i} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}} \delta q_{j} \equiv \sum_{j} Q_{j} \delta q_{j} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

One must take great care over precisely what partial differentials mean. In the following, $\partial / \partial q_{j}$ means evaluating $\left(\partial / \partial q_{j}\right)$ with the other components $q_{i \neq j}$, all velocities $\dot{q}_{i}$ and time $t$ held constant.

It is clear that $\partial x_{i} / \partial q_{j}$ should mean $\left(\partial x_{i} / \partial q_{j}\right)_{\text {all other } q, t}$; holding the $\dot{q}_{i}$ constant only becomes relevant when we differentiate a velocity w.r.t. $q_{j}$ - a velocity component changes with $\boldsymbol{q}$ for fixed $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}$ because the conversion factors from the $\dot{q}_{j}$ to the $\dot{r_{i}}$ change with position. Similarly, $\partial / \partial t$ means $(\partial / \partial t)_{\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}}$, e.g. $\partial x_{i} / \partial t$ refers to the change in position, for fixed $\mathbf{q}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$, due to the prescribed motion of the $q$-coordinate system.

Dealing with the second term, involving the rate of change of momentum, is a bit harder - it takes a certain amount of algebra to manipulate it into the required form. First, by definition of
momentum in Cartesians:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} \dot{p}_{i} \delta x_{i}=\sum_{i, j} m_{i} \dot{v} \dot{v}_{i} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}} \delta q_{j} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall need

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i} \equiv \dot{x_{i}}=\sum_{j} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}} \dot{q}_{j}+\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial t}, \quad \text { whence } \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}=\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are in a position to start work on the second term. The relevant product is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{v}_{i} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}=\frac{d}{d t}\left(v_{i} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}\right)-v_{i} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}\right) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further transforming the second term in (1.6):

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}\right) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}\right) \dot{q_{k}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}\right) \quad \text { (summed over k) } \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{k}}\right) \dot{q_{k}}+\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial t}\right\} \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}\right)_{\text {other } q, \dot{q}, t} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (1.5) on the first term and (1.7) on the second term of (1.6) we finally get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i} \dot{p}_{i} \delta x_{i} & =\sum_{i, j}\left\{\frac{d}{d t}\left(m_{i} v_{i} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}\right)-m_{i} v_{i} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}\right\} \delta q_{j} \\
& =\sum_{j}\left\{\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}\right)-\frac{\partial T}{\partial q_{j}}\right\} \delta q_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to $\sum_{j} Q_{j} \delta q_{j}$, from eq.(1.3). Here the total kinetic energy of the system has been defined from the Cartesian representation $T=\sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} m_{i} v_{i}^{2}$. The last equation is a consequence of D'Alembert's principle. Since the components $\delta q_{j}$ allowed by the constraints are all independent, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}\right)-\frac{\partial T}{\partial q_{j}}=Q_{j} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In many systems the external forces are gradients of a scalar potential; in Cartesians:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{i}} \quad \text { where } V=V(\mathbf{x}, t) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i.e. $V$ is independent of the particle velocities), so that

$$
Q_{j}=-\sum_{i} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_{j}}
$$

Therefore, substituting this vector $Q$ into the r.h.s. of eq.(1.8) and using the fact that it does not depend on $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{j}}=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L \equiv T-V$, but by construction it is a function of the generalised coordinates $q, \dot{q}$ and not the original Cartesians. We discover that this function is exactly the Lagrangian of the system, the one used in the definition of the action in Hamilton's principle. Indeed, (1.10) is the differential equation that one obtains by the calculus of variations from the condition $\delta S=0$ for the minimum of the action.

Now let's reverse the argument. Define a function $L=T-V$. In Cartesian coordinates, an N -particle system moving in a potential $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{3 N}\right)$ has

$$
p_{i} \equiv m_{i} v_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i}}\left(\frac{1}{2} m_{i} v_{i}^{2}\right)=\frac{\partial T}{\partial v_{i}} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_{i}}
$$

and

$$
F_{i}=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

provided that $V$ is independent of velocities, so the equations of motion $\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}=\boldsymbol{F}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x_{i}}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{i}}=0 . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the motion obeys Hamilton's principle of least action. But Hamilton's principle is a statement independent of any particular coordinate system; it is true in any coordinate system. Therefore write down the Euler-Lagrange equations for Hamilton's principle in our new $q$ coordinate system (in which constraints are of the kind $q_{j}=$ const.):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}}=0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- a much more memorable way to derive Lagrange's equations.

But what about the constraints? We must invent some local potentials near the actual path of the system, whose gradients are perpendicular to the actual path and just right to keep the system on the constrained trajectory, see Fig. 1. The important thing is that they will have no gradient along the local 'directions' of the $q_{i} \mathbf{s}$, allowed by the constraints, and will therefore not affect the dynamics for those $q_{i}$ s.

## What is Lagrangian mechanics good for?

Lagrangian mechanics will do nothing that Newtonian mechanics won't do. It's just a reformulation of the same physics. In fact, it will do slightly less, because some problems (notoriously, the motion of a bicycle) have what is called non-holonomic constraints. One thing that one can say for the Lagrangian formulation is that it involves scalars ( $T$ and $V$ ) instead of vectors (forces, couples) which makes it less confusing to use in messy problems. Several examples will be treated in the lectures and, more particularly, in the examples classes. Figure 2 gives a few simple examples of dynamical systems with constraints. Use them to practise: in each case first write the full potential (in all cases it's gravity) and kinetic energies (don't forget the moment of inertia for the rotating cylinder), then implement the constraint (for this you need to have the appropriate choice of coordinates) and write the resulting Lagrangian, as well as the dynamical equation(s).


Figure 2: Examples of dynamical systems with holonomic constraints (in each case their expression is in the frame). In each case the number of coordinates is reduced (for the pulley and rolling cylinder Cartesians are sufficient, the circular wire requires plane polars and rolling on the inside of a cone spherical polars; the last case has two free generalised coordinates left).

## A few more remarks

So far we have assumed forces depend only on position: $F_{i}=-\partial V(\boldsymbol{x}, t) / \partial x_{i}$. For some velocity-dependent forces one can still use Lagrange's equations; it's possible if you can find a $V(\boldsymbol{x}, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}, t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}=-\frac{\partial V(\boldsymbol{x}, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}, t)}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial V(\boldsymbol{x}, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}, t)}{\partial \dot{x}_{i}}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To derive this condition you should repeat the steps between eqs.(1.3) and (1.10), only now allowing a contribution from $V$ in the $\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)$ terms of the Euler-Lagrange equations. The hard bit is to find a $V$ that satisfies such a condition. A bit later we shall examine the case of magnetic forces, which is a good example of such a potential.

There is also an issue of uniqueness of the Lagrangian function. Clearly the condition of zero variation $\delta[S]=0$ can be maintained if we:

- add any constant to $V$;
- multiply $L$ by any constant;
- add a total time derivative, $f=\frac{d}{d t} g(q, \dot{q}, t)$;
etc. Usually the convention is to take $L=T-V$, with no "additives", which then corresponds to the "classical action $S$ ".


### 1.3 Symmetry and conservation laws; canonical momenta

Symmetry is one of the most powerful tools used in theoretical physics. In this section we will show how symmetries of $L$ correspond to important conservation laws. This theme will be taken further later in this course, and in subsequent solid state and particle physics courses.

When $L$ does not depend explicitly on one of the $q_{i}$ then Lagrange's equations show that the corresponding $\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)$ is zero, directly from eq.(1.10). Hence we can define an object, $p_{i} \equiv$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}$, which is conserved for such a system. In this case $q_{i}$ is called an ignorable coordinate, while $p_{i}$ is the canonical momentum corresponding to this coordinate. For a particle moving in Cartesian coordinates this would be the "ordinary" momentum component: for each $x_{i}$ this is just $p_{i}=m \dot{x}_{i}$. However, in generalised coordinates, the physical meaning of each component of the canonical momentum may be very different. In particular, since a generalised coordinate can have any dimensions, the dimensions of the corresponding canonical momentum need not be those of ordinary momentum.

## Translational invariance $\leftrightarrow$ conservation of linear momentum

Suppose $L$ does not depend on the position of the system as a whole, i.e. we can move the position of every particle by the same vector $\epsilon$ without changing $L$. Suppose we move the whole system by $\delta x$ in the $x$ direction (in Cartesian coordinates!). Then

$$
L \rightarrow L+\sum_{p} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{p}} \delta x
$$

(N.B. In this section and the next we sum over particles, assuming only 1-dimensional motion for simplicity: p is an index of summation over the particles. Also, $V$ in $L=T-V$ now includes the mutual potential energies that impose e.g. the constraints that keep rigid bodies rigid.) Therefore if $L$ does not change

$$
\sum_{p} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{p}}=0 \quad \text { and so, by Lagrange's equations, } \quad \sum_{p} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_{p}}\right)=0
$$

i.e. if $L$ is independent of the position of the system $\sum \partial L / \partial \dot{x}_{p}$ is constant.

Now if $V$ depends on particle positions only (which means we have a closed system: nobody else interacts with our particles), then

$$
\sum \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_{p}}=\sum m_{p} \dot{x}_{p}
$$

is just the $x$-component of the total momentum of the system, and we conclude

$$
\text { Homogeneity of space } \Rightarrow \text { conservation of linear momentum. }
$$

N.B. This is clearly not true for velocity-dependent potentials, e.g. for charged ions moving in magnetic fields.

## Rotational invariance $\leftrightarrow$ conservation of angular momentum

Suppose $L$ is independent of the orientation of the system. In particular, suppose $L$ is invariant under rotation of the whole system about the $z$ axis; then proceeding as before, assuming a rotation by $\delta \theta$ and using cylindrical polars $(r, \theta, z)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{p}} \delta \theta=0 \quad & \rightarrow \quad \sum_{p} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{p}}=0 \\
\rightarrow \quad & \sum_{p} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{p}}\right)=0 \quad
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, when $V$ depends on particle positions only,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{p}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{p}}\left(\frac{1}{2} m_{p} \dot{r}_{p}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{p} r_{p}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{p}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{p} \dot{z}_{p}^{2}\right) \\
& =m_{p} r_{p}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{p} \\
& =\text { angular momentum of } \mathrm{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the total angular momentum about the $z$ axis is conserved.

$$
\text { Isotropy of space } \Rightarrow \text { conservation of angular momentum. }
$$

## Time invariance $\leftrightarrow$ conservation of energy

This is a bit more complicated, and also gives us a chance to explore a very useful mathematical result called Euler's homogeneous function theorem. If $L$ does not depend explicitly on time, i.e.

$$
L=L\left(q_{i}, \dot{q}_{i}\right) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial L}{\partial t}=0,
$$

then the total time derivative of the Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d L}{d t} & =\sum_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i}+\sum_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \ddot{q}_{i}  \tag{1.14}\\
& =\sum_{i} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) \dot{q}_{i}+\sum_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \ddot{q}_{i} \quad \text { (using the } \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{L} \text { equation) } \\
& =\sum_{i} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \dot{q}_{i}\right) \quad \text { (assembling the total derivative from both terms) }
\end{align*}
$$

or, combining the total time derivatives from l.h.s. and r.h.s. into one expression, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{d}{d t} H, \quad \text { where } \quad H=\sum_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \dot{q}_{i}-L=\text { a constant, } E \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now identify the new function $H$, the Hamiltonian, as the total energy of the system. Assume the generalised kinetic energy, $T$, is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\sum_{i, j} \frac{1}{2} c_{i j} \dot{q}_{i} \dot{q}_{j} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i j}=c_{j i}$ (by symmetry of dummy indices of summation) and might be functions of $q_{1} \ldots q_{n}$ but not $\dot{q}_{1} \ldots \dot{q}_{n}$ or time. This quadratic form is also called a homogeneous secondorder polynomial function of $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}$. Assume also that the potential energy, $V$, is given by:

$$
V=V\left(q_{1} \ldots q_{n}\right)
$$

(velocity-independent) and $L=T-V$ as usual (with no explicit time-dependence as we
agreed). Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \dot{q}_{i} & =\sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\left(\sum_{j, k} \frac{1}{2} c_{j k} \dot{q}_{j} \dot{q}_{k}\right) \quad \text { since } \frac{\partial V}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}=0  \tag{1.17}\\
& =\sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i}\left(\sum_{j, k}\left[\frac{1}{2} c_{j k} \delta_{i j} \dot{q}_{k}+\frac{1}{2} c_{j k} \dot{q}_{j} \delta_{i k}\right]\right) \quad \text { since } \frac{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}=\delta_{i j} \\
& =\sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i}\left(\sum_{k} \frac{1}{2} c_{i k} \dot{q}_{k}+\sum_{j} \frac{1}{2} c_{j, i} \dot{q}_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i}\left(\sum_{j} c_{i j} \dot{q}_{j}\right) \quad \text { renaming the dummy index } k \rightarrow j \\
& =\sum_{i, j} c_{i j} \dot{q}_{i} \dot{q}_{j} \\
& =2 T
\end{align*}
$$

In effect, what we've just proven is that for any homogeneous quadratic function $T=T\left(q_{i}\right)$, the following property holds:

$$
\sum_{i} q_{i} \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_{i}}=2 T
$$

(an aspect of Euler's more general theorem; guess how this would change for linear, or cubic functions). Returning to our Hamiltonian, we have $H=2 T-L=2 T-(T-V)=T+V \equiv$ total energy $=E$, a constant from eq.(1.15).

## 2 Hamilton's equations of motion

We have already defined the $i^{\text {th }}$ component of generalised (canonical) momentum as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i} \equiv\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)_{\text {other } \dot{q}, \boldsymbol{q}, t} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Cartesians and for $V=V(\mathbf{r}), p_{i}=m_{i} v_{i}$.
Now try to re-write the equations of motion in terms of $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$ instead of $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}$. This operation is fully analogous to what is called the Legendre transformation in thermodynamics, when we change from one potential depending on a given variable to another, depending on its conjugate (like $T d S \rightarrow-S d T$ or $-P d V \rightarrow V d P$ ).

The Euler-Lagrange equations say

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) \equiv \dot{p}_{i}=\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}}\right)_{\text {other } q, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t}
$$

but that's not quite what we want, for it refers to $L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t)$, not $L(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$. We proceed thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta L & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \delta t+\sum_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} \delta q_{i}+\sum_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \delta \dot{q}_{i} \\
& =\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \delta t+\sum_{i} \dot{p}_{i} \delta q_{i}+\sum_{i} p_{i} \delta \dot{q}_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(L-\sum_{i} p_{i} \dot{q}_{i}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \delta t+\sum_{i} \dot{p}_{i} \delta q_{i}-\sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i} \delta p_{i} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last equation suggests that the expression in brackets on the l.h.s. is a function of $(t, q, p)$. It is clear that every pair of a generalised velocity $\dot{q}_{i}$ and its canonical momentum $p_{i}$ have the same status as conjugate variables in thermodynamics. (Of course, historically, people developed the underlying maths behind Lagrangian and Hamiltonian dynamics first; the physical concepts of thermodynamics were then easy to formalise.)

Defining the Hamiltonian as in eq.(1.15):

$$
H \equiv \sum_{i} p_{i} \dot{q}_{i}-L
$$

then (2.2) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{p}_{i}=-\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}}\right)_{\text {other } q, \mathbf{p}, t} \quad \dot{q}_{i}=\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}\right)_{\text {other } p, \mathbf{q}, t} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are Hamilton's equations of motion.
We have already shown that, provided $L$ does not depend explicitly on time, $T$ has the form (1.16) and $V$ does not depend on velocities, then $H=T+V$, the total energy, and that it is a constant of the motion. This does not imply that the right-hand sides of Hamilton's equations are zero! They are determined by the functional form of the dependence of $H$ on the $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$.

Note also that $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ are now on an equal footing. In Hamilton's equations $q_{i}$ can be anything, not necessarily a position coordinate. For example we could interchange the physical meaning of what we regard as $p_{i}$ with $q_{i}$, and $q_{i}$ with $-p_{i}$, and Hamilton's equations would still work.

### 2.1 Liouville's theorem

Phase space is the diagram with the canonical coordinates and conjugate momenta as coordinates, e.g. ( $x, y, z, p_{x}, p_{y}, p_{z}$ ) for a single particle (a 6D space) and $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ for a system of n particles. This defines phase space to be a 6 n -dimensional space. A single point in phase space represents the state of the whole system; i.e. the positions and velocities it is called a representative point. If there are constraints acting on the system, the representative points are confined to some lower dimensional subspace. The representative points move with velocities $\boldsymbol{v}$ where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{v}=\left\{\dot{q}_{1}, \dot{q}_{2}, \ldots, \dot{q}_{n}, \dot{p}_{1}, \dot{p}_{2}, \ldots, \dot{p}_{n}\right\}=\left\{\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{1}}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{n}},-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{1}},-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{2}}, \ldots,-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{n}}\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Liouville's theorem is a very powerful result concerning the evolution in time of ensembles of systems. We can regard the initial state of the ensemble of systems as corresponding to a distribution or density of representative points in phase space. Then Liouville's theorem states that
'The density in phase space evolves as an incompressible fluid.'

The proof is a simple application of Hamilton's equations and the n-dimensional divergence theorem. The n-dimensional divergence theorem states that for an n-dimensional vector function of n variables $\mathbf{V}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\text {volume }} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} d \tau=\int_{\text {surface }} \sum_{i} V_{i} d S_{i} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \tau$ is an n-dimensional volume element and $d \boldsymbol{S}$ is an (n-1)-dimensional element of surface area. This theorem and its proof are the obvious generalisation the divergence theorem (the Gauss theorem) in 3-D:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\text {volume }} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{V} d \tau=\int_{\text {surface }} \boldsymbol{V} \cdot d \boldsymbol{S} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Liouville's theorem, suppose that the representative points are initially confined to some ( n -dim) volume V with surface S . The points move with velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$ given by eqn. (2.4). Thus at the surface the volume occupied by the points is changing at a rate $\delta V=\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{S}$. Hence:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta V & =\int_{\text {surface }} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot d \boldsymbol{S} \\
& =\int_{\text {volume }} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} d \tau \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

by (2.6). But

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} & =\sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \dot{p}_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial q_{i} \partial p_{i}}-\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial p_{i} \partial q_{i}}=0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

So the volume occupied by the ensemble's representative points does not change.

### 2.2 Poisson brackets and the analogy with quantum commutators

Suppose $f=f\left(q_{i}, p_{i}, t\right)$, i.e. $f$ is a function of the dynamical variables $\boldsymbol{p}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d f}{d t} & =\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}} \dot{p}_{i}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}  \tag{2.9}\\
& =\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d t}=\{f, H\}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{f, g\} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_{i}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_{i}} \quad \text { (summed) } \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Poisson Bracket of the functions $f$ and $g$ and was first introduced into mechanics by Simon Poisson in 1809.

Eqn. (2.10) is remarkably similar to the Ehrenfest theorem in Quantum Mechanics

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\langle\hat{O}\rangle=\frac{1}{\mathrm{i} \hbar}\langle[\hat{O}, \hat{H}]\rangle+\left\langle\frac{\partial \hat{O}}{\partial t}\right\rangle \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the variation of expectation values of (Hermitian) operators $\hat{O}$, where $\hat{H}$ is of course the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian operator and $[\hat{O}, \hat{H}]$ represents the commutator $\hat{O} \hat{H}-\hat{H} \hat{O}$.

It is easy to check that $\{f, g\}$ has many of the properties of the commutator:

$$
\{f, g\}=-\{g, f\}, \quad\{f, f\}=0 \quad \text { etc. }
$$

Also if in eqn. (2.9) $\partial f / \partial t=0$ (no explicit $t$ dependence) and $\{f, H\}=0$ then $d f / d t=0$, i.e. $f$ is a constant of the motion.

This suggests we can relate classical and quantum mechanics by formulating classical mechanics in terms of Poisson Brackets and then associating these with the corresponding Quantum Mechanical commutator

$$
\begin{align*}
\{A, B\} & \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\mathrm{i} \hbar}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]  \tag{2.13}\\
\text { classical } & \leftrightarrow \text { quantum } \\
\text { also } \quad H & \leftrightarrow \hat{H}
\end{align*}
$$

Classically

$$
\{q, p\}=\frac{\partial q}{\partial q} \frac{\partial p}{\partial p}-\frac{\partial q}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial q}=1
$$

Quantum Mechanics

$$
\left[q,-\mathrm{i} \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right] \Psi=-q \mathrm{i} \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \Psi+\mathrm{i} \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q}(q \Psi)=\mathrm{i} \hbar \Psi
$$

i.e.

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{i} \hbar}\left[q,-\mathrm{i} \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right] \leftrightarrow 1=\{q, p\}
$$

Therefore we confirm the identification of $-\mathrm{i} \hbar \partial / \partial q$ with the canonical momentum operator $\hat{p}$ corresponding to $q$.

## Quantum Variational Principle

In QM we associate a wave vector $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{p} / \hbar$ with a particle of momentum $\boldsymbol{p}$ (de Broglie relation), and frequency $\omega=H / \hbar$ with its total energy $E=H$ (Einstein relation). Thus we can write Hamilton's principle for the classical motion of the particle as

$$
\frac{1}{\hbar} \int L d t=\int(\mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}} / \hbar-H / \hbar) d t=\int(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{d q}-\omega d t)=\text { stationary }
$$

i.e. the wave-mechanical phase shall be stationary (because multiplication by a constant factor does not alter the condition for the minimum of $S$ ). This is the condition for constructive interference of waves; what the Hamilton principle really says is that the particle goes where the relevant de Broglie waves reinforce. If we move a little away from the classical path, the waves do not reinforce so much and the particle is less likely to be found there. If we imagine taking the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, the wavefunction falls off so rapidly away from the classical path that the particle will never deviate from it.

In this way we see that classical mechanics is the "geometrical optics" limit of QM: the "rays" correspond to the classical paths and quantum effects (like diffraction) are due the finite frequency and wave number of waves of a given energy and momentum, i.e the finite value of $\hbar$.

Conversely, if we have a classical theory for a physical system, which works for macroscopic systems of that kind, we can get a wave-mechanical description that reduces to this classical theory as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ by making the Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H}$ the same function of $-\mathrm{i} \hbar \partial / \partial q_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ as the classical Hamiltonian $H$ is of $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$. N.B. This is not necessarily the only or the correct QM description! There may be other bits of physics (terms in $H$ ) which vanish as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ but are important, e.g. electron spin.

## Canonical transformations

Another advantage of the Hamiltonian formulation of dynamics is that we have considerable freedom to redefine the generalised coordinates and momenta, which can be useful for solving the equations of motion. For example, as we already saw, we can redefine $p_{i}$ as $q_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ as $-p_{i}$. This is an example of a much more general change of variables known as a canonical transformation. This is a transformation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{j}=Q_{j}\left(\left\{q_{i}\right\},\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right), \quad P_{j}=P_{j}\left(\left\{q_{i}\right\},\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

that preserves the form of Hamilton's equations of motion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{P}_{j}=-\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q_{j}}\right)_{\text {other } Q, \mathbf{P}, t} \quad \dot{Q}_{j}=\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial P_{j}}\right)_{\text {other } P, \mathbf{Q}, t} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition that a transformation should be canonical is very simple: the transformed variables should satisfy the canonical Poisson bracket relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{j}, P_{j}\right\}=1, \quad\left\{Q_{j}, P_{k}\right\}=0 \text { for } j \neq k . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove this for a single coordinate and momentum; the generalization to many variables is straightforward. For any function $Q(q, p)$, not explicitly time-dependent, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{Q}=\{Q, H\}=\frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}-\frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing $H$ in terms of $Q$ and some other function $P(q, p)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \\
\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting these in eqn. (2.17) and rearranging terms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{Q} & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial P}\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \frac{\partial P}{\partial p}-\frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial H}{\partial P}\{Q, P\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly for $P$ we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{P} & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q}\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p}-\frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q}\right) \\
& =-\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q}\{Q, P\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the necessary and sufficient condition to preserve Hamilton's equations is $\{Q, P\}=1$.

### 2.3 Lagrangian dynamics of a charged particle

The Lorentz force is an example of a velocity dependent force. Another example is the 'fictitious' Coriolis force found in rotating (non-inertial) frames. A deeper treatment of these forces leads to special relativity in the case of electromagnetism and general relativity in the case of inertial forces.

In this section we examine how the Lorentz force and basic electromagnetism can be incorporated into the Lagrangian formalism without explicit mention of special relativity. In the following sections we sketch the much more powerful ideas involved in the relativistic approach.

The derivation of Lagrange's equations of motion is valid provided the external forces satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \dot{x}_{i}}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term (with the derivative w.r.t. velocity) is NOT usually present for conventional (potential, $\boldsymbol{F}=-\nabla \cdot V$ ) forces. For the Lorentz force problem, a particle of charge $e$ in fields $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ experiences a velocity dependent force $\boldsymbol{F}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{F}=e(\boldsymbol{E}+[\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}]) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can in fact take

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=e(\phi-\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{A}$ is the magnetic vector potential such that $\boldsymbol{B}=\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{E}=-\nabla \phi-\dot{\boldsymbol{A}}$.
The potential (2.20), when plugged into (2.18), gives the correct expression for the force (2.19). To verify this, we need to perform a calculation:

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{i} \stackrel{?}{=} e(\boldsymbol{E}+[\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}])_{i}=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \dot{x}_{i}}\right)
$$

We will need the result of vector analysis

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\boldsymbol{v} \times(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A})]_{i}=v_{j} \frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}-v_{j} \frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from

$$
\begin{align*}
{[\boldsymbol{v} \times(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A})]_{i} } & =\epsilon_{i j k} v_{j}(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A})_{k}  \tag{2.22}\\
& =\epsilon_{i j k} v_{j} \epsilon_{k p q} \frac{\partial A_{q}}{\partial x_{p}} \\
\epsilon_{i j k} \epsilon_{p q k} \equiv\left(\delta_{i p} \delta_{j q}-\delta_{i q} \delta_{j p}\right) \Rightarrow & =\left(\delta_{i p} \delta_{j q}-\delta_{i q} \delta_{j p}\right) v_{j} \frac{\partial A_{q}}{\partial x_{p}} \\
& =v_{j} \frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}-v_{j} \frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

The rest is a simple manipulation

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{i} & =-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial v_{i}}\right)  \tag{2.23}\\
& =-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} e\left(\phi-v_{j} A_{j}\right)+\frac{d}{d t}\left(-e A_{i}\right) \\
& =-e \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{i}}+e v_{j} \frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}-e \frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} v_{j}-e \dot{A}_{i} \\
& =e(\boldsymbol{E}+[\boldsymbol{v} \times(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A})])_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

Having satisfied our sense of caution to some extent, we can now write the Lagrangian, as usual,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=T-V=\frac{1}{2} m v^{2}-e(\phi-\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}) . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of the canonical momentum $p$ are obtained by the familiar

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_{i}}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_{i}}=m v_{i}+e A_{i} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, for a charged particle in an electromagnetic field,

$$
\text { canonical momentum }=\text { mechanical momentum }+e \boldsymbol{A}
$$

Knowing $p_{i}$ we can write down the Hamiltonian $H$, formally following our previous definitions,

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}-L  \tag{2.26}\\
& =(m \boldsymbol{v}+e \boldsymbol{A}) \cdot \boldsymbol{v}-\frac{1}{2} m v^{2}+e(\phi-\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} m v^{2}+e \phi \quad \text { total energy } \\
& =\frac{1}{2 m}(\boldsymbol{p}-e \boldsymbol{A})^{2}+e \phi \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\boldsymbol{p}$ is the canonical momentum (2.25).
Suppose we reverse the argument and formally start from the Lagrangian (2.24), looking for the equations of motion, by minimisation of the corresponding action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \equiv \nabla L=e \nabla(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{A})-e \nabla \phi \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another useful formula from vector analysis says:

$$
\nabla(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})=(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{b}+(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{a}+[\boldsymbol{a} \times \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{b}]+[\boldsymbol{b} \times \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{a}]
$$

for any two vectors $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$. Remembering that $\nabla L$ in (2.28) is evaluated at constant $\boldsymbol{v}$, we find for its r.h.s.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla L=e(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{A}+e[\boldsymbol{v} \times(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A})]-e \nabla \phi \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The l.h.s. of (2.28) is the total time-derivative of the canonical momentum $\boldsymbol{p}=m \boldsymbol{v}+e \boldsymbol{A}$. The total time-derivative of $\boldsymbol{A}$, which may be a function of time and position, is given by

$$
\frac{d \boldsymbol{A}}{d t}=\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t}+(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{A} .
$$

Substituting this, and (2.29), into the l.h.s. of (2.28) we find that the awkward $(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla)$ term cancels and the equation of motion becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d(m \boldsymbol{v})}{d t}=-e \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t}-e \nabla \phi+e[\boldsymbol{v} \times(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A})] . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The force on the r.h.s. is thus made up of two parts. The first (the first two terms) does not depend on the particle velocity; the second part is proportional to $v$ and is perpendicular to it. The first force, per unit of particle charge $e$, is defined as the electric field strength

$$
\boldsymbol{E}=-\nabla \phi-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t}
$$

and the force proportional to the velocity, per unit charge, is defined as the magnetic flux density

$$
\boldsymbol{B}=\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{A},
$$

which returns the familiar expression for the full Lorentz force (2.19).

## Gauge invariance

The equation of motion of a physical particle is determined by the physically observable fields $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$. How unique are the potentials $\phi$ and $\boldsymbol{A}$ which determine these fields and contribute to the Lagrangian function? It turns out that they are not unique at all...

If we add the gradient of an arbitrary scalar function $f(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ to the vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}^{\prime}=A_{i}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

the magnetic flux density $\boldsymbol{B}$ will not change, because $\operatorname{curl} \nabla f \equiv 0$. To have the electric field unchanged as well, we must simultaneously subtract the time-derivative of $f$ from the scalar potential:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}=\phi-\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The invariance of all electromagnetic processes with respect to the above transformation of the potentials by an arbitrary function $f$ is called gauge invariance. As always, the discovery of an additional symmetry is an indication of much deeper underlying physics and you will meet gauge invariance, and its consequences, many times in the future.

But how are we to deal with such non-uniqueness of the electromagnetic potentials and, accordingly, the Lagrangian? Because an arbitrary scalar function is governing the invariance transformation, one is free to choose any additional condition, an equation relating the potentials $\phi$ and $\boldsymbol{A}$ - but only one such condition. For instance, we may choose to formulate electrodynamics with no scalar electric potential, $\phi=0$. However we cannot have $\boldsymbol{A}=0$, since this represents three conditions for its components, instead of the allowed one. We can at most choose $\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}=0$ for some constant vector $\boldsymbol{n}$. Vector potentials satisfying such a condition are said to be in an axial gauge, with gauge vector $n$.

Alternatively, since one can add an arbitrary gradient to $\boldsymbol{A}$, we could enforce the condition $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{A}=0$. Potentials satisfying this condition are said to be in the Coulomb gauge. Such a gauge leads to a convenient form of wave equation for $\boldsymbol{A}$, used in the theory of electromagnetic waves.

In relativistic dynamics, a commonly used condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{A}=0 \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which defines the Lorentz gauge. Notice that in this gauge there remains a residual ambiguity: we can still vary the electromagnetic potentials using any function $f$ that satisfies the wave equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial t^{2}}-\nabla^{2} f=0 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4 Relativistic particle dynamics

The 4-index or covariant notation is widely used in theoretical physics; this subsection contains a brief (and not very rigorous) introduction. Consider:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\mu}:\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=(c t, x, y, z) & \text { a contravariant 4-vector and } \\
x_{\mu}:\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=(c t,-x,-y,-z) & \text { a covariant 4-vector. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Only (implicit) summations involving one raised and one lowered suffix are allowed, thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\mu} x_{\mu}=c^{2} t^{2}-\boldsymbol{r}^{2} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid (and is of course Lorentz invariant), but neither $x^{\mu} x^{\mu}$ nor $x_{\mu} x_{\mu}$ is allowed.
If $\phi=\phi\left(x^{\mu}\right)$ then $d \phi=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{\mu}} d x^{\mu}$ is invariant, hence:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^{\mu}} & \text { is a covariant } 4 \text {-vector and } \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} & \text { is a covariant operator. }
\end{array}
$$

The operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}$ is therefore often simply written as $\partial_{\mu}$. Similarly the contravariant operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}}=\partial^{\mu}$. The "metric tensor":

$$
g_{\mu \nu}=g^{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.36}\\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

can be used to raise or lower indices, for example:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\mu}=g_{\mu \nu} x^{\nu} \quad \text { etc. } \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we remark that in General Relativity $g^{\mu \nu}$ becomes a function of the mass distribution.

## Relativistic Lagrangians

To derive the Lagrangian for a relativistic particle, we will begin from the equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \boldsymbol{p}}{d t}=-\nabla V \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

but of course the relativistic momentum is more complicated and the simple recipe " $L=T-V^{\prime \prime}$ can be readily checked NOT to work.

Two possible routes to the relativistic Lagrangian are instructive. First let us try guessing that $\gamma(v) m \boldsymbol{v}$ is the canonical momentum, which then requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial L / \partial \boldsymbol{v}=\gamma(v) m \boldsymbol{v} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this with respect to $\boldsymbol{v}$ (using explicitly that $\gamma=\left[1-v^{2} / c^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2}$ ) then gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v})=-m c^{2} / \gamma(v)-f(\boldsymbol{r}) . \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 'constant of integration' $f(\mathbf{r})$ is readily determined as $V(\boldsymbol{r})$ by requiring that the EulerLagrange equations get the r.h.s. of the equation of motion correct. You can recover the familiar non-relativistic limit by expanding in powers of $v / c$, and discarding the constant $-m c^{2}$.

The second method is more elegant but specialised to relativistic principles: let us analyse the motion in a frame of reference where it is non-relativistic, and then rewrite the analysis in a manner which is evidently frame-independent. Therefore this should apply even when the motion appears highly relativistic. We saw that one can write the Lagrangian Action as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int L d t=\int(\boldsymbol{p} \cdot d \boldsymbol{r}-H d t) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $(H / c, \boldsymbol{p})=p^{\mu}$, the (contravariant) 4-momentum, and hence we can write this in terms of four-vectors as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\int p^{\mu} d x_{\mu}=-\int p_{\mu} d x^{\mu} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $p_{\mu} d x^{\mu}$ is frame-independent, and in the comoving frame of a free particle it evaluates to $m c^{2} d \tau$, where $\tau$ is the proper time. All observers thus agree on this form for the action, and for a free particle it obviously matches $m c^{2} / \gamma(v) d t$ which we got before.

The result is that for a free relativistic particle, the trajectory from one point in space-time (=event) to another fixed event is that which maximizes the elapsed proper time. Because the equations of motion are only obeyed after maximizing, it should be clarified that the proper time is to be evaluated on the basis of $d \tau=d t / \gamma(v)$ - that is the form for which we showed that the Euler-Lagrange equations gave the right results.

## Relativistic particle in electromagnetic field

To cope with electromagnetic interactions relativistically we have to admit generalisation from the non-relativistic contribution to the action $-\int V d t$ to a four-vector EM potential interaction contribution $-e \int(\phi d t-\boldsymbol{A} \cdot d \boldsymbol{r})=-e \int A_{\mu} d x^{\mu}$, where the scalar electric potential $\phi$ has been absorbed as the timelike component $\phi / c=A_{t}$ of the EM 4-potential and a factor of charge $e$ has been introduced. Then the action becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
S=-\int m c^{2} d \tau & -\int e A_{\mu} d x^{\mu}  \tag{2.43}\\
\text { free particle } & + \text { interaction with field }
\end{align*}
$$

Now we have to be very careful to distinguish between the mechanical momentum $\boldsymbol{p}^{\text {mechanical }}=$ $\gamma m \boldsymbol{v}$ and the canonical momentum $\boldsymbol{p}^{\text {canonical }}=\partial L / \partial \boldsymbol{v}$. Writing out carefully the Lagrangian corresponding to the expression for the action $S$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=-m c^{2} / \gamma(v)-e(\phi-\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}) \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathrm{canonical}}=\gamma m \boldsymbol{v}+e \boldsymbol{A} . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives an elegant form for the action of a particle interacting with an electromagnetic field,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\int p_{\mu}^{\text {canonical }} d x^{\mu} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

exactly as in the free particle case except that the canonical momentum has to be written in terms of the velocity and potential using

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mu}^{\text {canonical }}=\gamma(v) m \frac{d x_{\mu}}{d t}+e A_{\mu} . \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lagrangian vs. Hamiltonian methods

Something you might like to check is how the Hamiltonian comes out from the relativistic Lagrangian above; it is of course a time-like quantity and not in any sense frame invariant. Hamilton's equations, because they are equations of motion, involve time and hence the particular frame of reference quite explicitly.

Although the Lagrangian is not itself frame independent either, the Lagrangian formulation is frame-invariant. The quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left[x^{\mu}(t)\right]=\int L d t=-\int p_{\mu} d x^{\mu} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a functional of the path $x^{\mu}(t)$ which is frame-invariant, as is the variational condition $\delta S=0$.

## 3 Classical fields

Much of modern theoretical physics is, one way or another, field theory, the first example of which is the Maxwell approach to electromagnetism. So, our next step is into Lagrangians depending on 'fields' rather than 'particle coordinates'. For simplicity we will start with a non-relativistic case, picking up electromagnetism as a relativistic example towards the end.

### 3.1 Waves in one dimension

The basic idea is a very simple adaptation of the standard Lagrangian problem. Consider for example the longitudinal modes of an elastic rod (i.e. sound waves in one dimension). Each material point $x$ has a displacement $\varphi(x, t)$; the dynamical variables are the $\varphi$ 's, one for each value of $x$, the coordinate values $x$ playing the role of labels on these (infinite number of) physical degrees of freedom.

We can write the kinetic energy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\int \frac{1}{2} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the mass per unit length, and the (elastic) potential energy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\int \frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is (Young's Modulus) $\times$ (cross-sectional area). Indeed, if we modelled this rod as a set of point masses connected by springs, each of potential energy $\frac{1}{2} K(\Delta x)^{2}$, we would express the total potential energy as a sum of Hookean contributions for each spring, stretched by the relative amount measured by the local displacements $\varphi(x)$ :

$$
V=\sum_{\{x\}} \frac{1}{2} K[\varphi(x+\delta x)-\varphi(x)]^{2} \Rightarrow \int \frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x
$$

after transforming the discrete sum into a continuum integral and setting $\kappa=\lim _{\delta x \rightarrow 0} K \cdot \delta x$. We can now write down the Lagrangian and action, both as functionals of the field $\varphi(x, t)$, respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
L & =T-V=\int\left[\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right] d x \equiv \int \mathcal{L} d x \\
\text { and } S & =\int L d t=\int \mathcal{L} d x d t \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lagrangian density. We use the term 'field' here in the general sense of a function of space and time. The Lagrangian density is a function of the field $\varphi$ and its derivatives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(\varphi, \partial \varphi / \partial t, \partial \varphi / \partial x)=\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations from the condition of minimal action $\delta S=0$ for this type of problem are a straightforward generalisation of the case where we had variables depending on $t$ only. For brevity, write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}=\dot{\varphi}, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}=\varphi^{\prime} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a small variation of the field, $\delta \varphi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta S=\int\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} \delta \varphi+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}} \delta \varphi^{\prime}+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \delta \dot{\varphi}\right) d x d t \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}} \delta \varphi^{\prime} d x=\int \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta \varphi d x=\left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}} \delta \varphi\right]_{-\infty}^{+\infty}-\int \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}}\right) \delta \varphi d x \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Just as in the ordinary Lagrangian problem, there are conditions which require the integrated term to vanish. In this case, for the action integral to exist we require the displacement $\varphi$, and hence also $\delta \varphi$, to vanish at $x= \pm \infty$. Similarly, for the motion in the time interval $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \delta \dot{\varphi} d t=\left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \delta \varphi\right]_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}-\int \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}\right) \delta \varphi d t \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are interested in minimizing the action for given initial and final configurations $\varphi\left(x, t_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(x, t_{1}\right)$, so $\delta \varphi\left(x, t_{1,2}\right)=0$ and again the integrated term vanishes, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta S=\int\left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}\right)\right] \delta \varphi d x d t \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This has to vanish for any $\delta \varphi(x, t)$ satisfying the boundary conditions, so we obtain the EulerLagrange equation of motion for the field $\varphi(x, t)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}\right)=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying this to our example, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} \rho \dot{\varphi}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \kappa \varphi^{\prime 2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \kappa \varphi^{\prime}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho \dot{\varphi}=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is just the one dimensional wave equation, as we should have expected. Indeed, writing it in a more familiar format, we recognise both the equation and its solution:

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{\kappa}{\rho} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x^{2}} ; \quad \varphi \propto e^{i \omega t-i k x} \text { with dispersion relation } \omega=\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\rho}} k
$$

We can define a canonical momentum density, by analogy with $p=\partial L / \partial v$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x, t)=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}=\rho \dot{\varphi} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in our example. This is sensibly analogous to our previous ideas about momentum, in particular, $p=\int \pi d x$. In a system obeying translational invariance, when $L$ does not explicitly
depend on $q_{i}$ (that is, on $\varphi$ in our example), we would by analogy expect to find the momentum conservation law, although it now involves a more complicated quantity. For $\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \varphi=0$ our generalised Euler-Lagrange equation reads that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \pi(x, t)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} J(x, t)=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J(x, t)=\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \varphi^{\prime}$ can be interpreted as the current of canonical momentum. Since $\pi(x, t)$ was the density of canonical momentum this is just the statement that canonical momentum overall is conserved. In fact, we see that the Euler-Lagrange equation (3.10) or (3.14) for the equilibrium trajectory $\varphi(x, t)$ is the equation for momentum conservation, or the balance of local forces. If you think about balls and springs, we have arrived at the obvious result that the springs cause exchange of momentum between particles (i.e. current of momentum) but conserve momentum overall.

Again in close analogy with particle mechanics, we can define the Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \pi\right)=\pi \dot{\varphi}-\mathcal{L} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\varphi}$ is replaced by $\pi$ as an independent variable. In the case of the elastic rod, this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{2 \rho}+\frac{1}{2} \kappa \varphi^{\prime 2}, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which (since the kinetic energy is a homogeneous quadratic function of $\dot{\varphi}$ ) is just the total energy density.

### 3.2 Multidimensional space

Consider now the extension of this to several dimensions of space, but keeping the physical field $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ as a scalar for the present. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\iint . . \int \mathcal{L}(\varphi, \partial \varphi / \partial t, \nabla \varphi) d t d x_{1} . . d x_{d} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Euler-Lagrange equation for $\delta S=0$ gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial \varphi / \partial t)}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial \varphi / \partial x_{1}\right)}+\ldots+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial \varphi / \partial x_{d}\right)} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

or if one wants to be more succinct about the spatial derivatives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial \varphi / \partial t)}\right)+\nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\nabla \varphi)}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we still have just one such equation, for the single physical field $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ - the result of having several spatial coordinates is the multicomponent gradient on the r.h.s. The momentum density is also a scalar function, the definition (3.13) remains valid.

The condition of momentum conservation in the case when no external forces are applied, $\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \varphi=0$, now resembles the so-called continuity equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)+\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)=0, \text { with the vector } \boldsymbol{J}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\nabla \varphi)} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it should be fairly obvious that we have in fact put time $t$ and space $x$ on the same footing, and we can simply regard time (strictly speaking, $c t$ ) as one of the coordinate variables $x^{\mu}$ to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial \varphi / \partial x^{\mu}\right)}\right) \equiv \partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left[\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right]} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall that $\partial_{\mu}$ is shorthand for $\partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ ) as the Euler-Lagrange equation for the minimal-action condition. Here we are assuming Greek indices to run over time and space and repeated indices in the same expression are summed, just as in relativity. However it should be stressed that our equations are in no way particular to Special Relativity - though of course they very naturally encompass it, as we now explore in more detail.

### 3.3 Relativistic scalar field

In Special Relativity the action $S$ should be a Lorentz invariant quantity and eq. (3.17) involves an integration with respect to the invariant space-time volume element $d^{4} x=c d t d x d y d z$. It follows that the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ should be a Lorentz invariant (scalar) function also. If we require that the Euler-Lagrange equation of motion for the field should be linear and at most a second-order differential equation, this limits $\mathcal{L}$ to the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\alpha\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)+\beta \partial^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi+\gamma \varphi \partial^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi+\delta \varphi+\epsilon \varphi^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ and $\epsilon$ are constants. Writing this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=(\alpha-\gamma)\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)+\partial^{\mu}\left(\beta \partial_{\mu} \varphi+\gamma \varphi \partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)+\delta \varphi+\epsilon \varphi^{2}, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

we note that the total derivative term $\partial^{\mu}(\cdots)$ can be integrated to give a (4D) surface contribution to the action, which does not affect the equation of motion since the field vanishes at infinite distances and is fixed in the distant past and future. Furthermore the equation of motion is unaffected by an overall rescaling of the action, so we may as well choose $\alpha-\gamma=\frac{1}{2}$. Thus the most general physically significant form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)+\delta \varphi+\epsilon \varphi^{2}, \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi-\delta-2 \epsilon \varphi=0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(To get the first term, write $\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)=g^{\mu \nu}\left(\partial_{\nu} \varphi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)$ and note that both derivatives contribute to the r.h.s. of eq. (3.21) because of the summation convention.)

According to the boundary conditions, $\varphi=0$ at infinity and therefore we must have $\delta=0$. Finally, we shall see shortly that $\epsilon$ must be negative, so it is convenient to redefine $\epsilon=-m^{2} / 2$. In summary, the most general acceptable Lagrangian density for a real scalar field with linear dynamics is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)-\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \varphi^{2}, \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Klein-Gordon equation of motion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi+m^{2} \varphi=0 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing out the Lagrangian density (3.26) in more detail,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2 c^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \varphi^{2} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that the momentum density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}=\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so the Klein-Gordon Hamiltonian density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\frac{1}{2} c^{2} \pi^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \varphi^{2} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This quantity is positive-definite if and only if the coefficient of $\varphi^{2}$ is positive. If the coefficient were negative, there would be field configurations with arbitrarily large negative energy, and the system would have no stable ground state. This justifies our decision to write the coefficient $(-\epsilon)$ as $m^{2} / 2$.

### 3.4 Natural units

In dealing with relativistic systems it is convenient to use units such that $c=1$. Then lengths are measured in the same units as times (the time it takes light to travel that distance), and mass in the same units as energy (the energy released by annihilating that mass). In these units eqs.(3.28) and (3.30) become

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \varphi^{2}, \\
\mathcal{H} & =\frac{1}{2} \pi^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \varphi^{2}, \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

since now $\pi=\partial \varphi / \partial t$.
However, these units are still not optimal since the $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are supposed to have the dimensions of energy density, which in $c=1$ units ([L]=[T]) are $[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{T}]^{-3}$. That would mean that $\phi$ has to have dimensions $[\mathrm{M}]^{1 / 2}[\mathrm{~T}]^{-1 / 2}$. Since in practice we are dealing with fields that represent phenomena on the subatomic scale, it is simplest to couple the dimensions of energy/mass and time as well, by using units in which $\hbar=c=1$. Since $[\hbar]=[\mathrm{E}][\mathrm{T}]$ this means that time is measured in units of inverse energy or mass (the energy of a quantum whose angular frequency is the inverse of that time). These are called natural units, at least by particle physicists. For them the natural scale of energy is measured in giga-electron-volts, GeV . Then the magic formula for converting to everyday units is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hbar c=0.2 \mathrm{GeV}-\mathrm{fm} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 1 fm (femtometre) is $10^{-15} \mathrm{~m}$.
In natural units, $[\mathrm{T}]=[\mathrm{E}]^{-1}=[\mathrm{M}]^{-1}$ and the dimensions of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are $[\mathrm{M}]^{4}$, so $\varphi$ has simply dimensions of mass. You can check that every term in eq. (3.31) has dimension [M] ${ }^{4}$, provided the constant $m$ is itself interpreted as a mass.

We shall usually employ natural units in this section from now on. With a little practice, it is straightforward to reinsert the correct number of factors of $\hbar$ and $c$ to convert any given expression into SI units.

### 3.5 Fourier analysis

Consider first, for simplicity, solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation that depend only on $x$ and $t$. They satisfy the 1-dimensional version of eq. (3.27), i.e. (in natural units)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x^{2}}+m^{2} \varphi=0 \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can express any real field $\varphi(x, t)$ as a Fourier integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, t)=\int d k N(k)\left[a(k) e^{i k x-i \omega t}+a^{*}(k) e^{-i k x+i \omega t}\right] \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(k)$ is a convenient normalizing factor for the Fourier transform $a(k)$. The frequency $\omega(k)$ is obtained by solving the equation of motion: the Klein-Gordon equation gives $\omega^{2}=$ $k^{2}+m^{2}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=+\sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we choose the positive root because eq. (3.34) includes $+\omega$ and $-\omega$ explicitly. The Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\int\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \varphi^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \varphi^{2}\right) d x \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

takes a simpler form in terms of the Fourier amplitudes $a(k)$. We can write e.g.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{2}=\int d k N(k)[\ldots] \int d k^{\prime} N\left(k^{\prime}\right)[\ldots] \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d x e^{i\left(k \pm k^{\prime}\right) x}=2 \pi \delta\left(k \pm k^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \varphi^{2} d x & =2 \pi \int d k d k^{\prime} N(k) N\left(k^{\prime}\right)\left[a(k) a\left(k^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(k+k^{\prime}\right) e^{-i\left(\omega+\omega^{\prime}\right) t}\right. \\
& +a^{*}(k) a^{*}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(k+k^{\prime}\right) e^{i\left(\omega+\omega^{\prime}\right) t}+a(k) a^{*}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(k-k^{\prime}\right) e^{-i\left(\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right) t} \\
& \left.+a^{*}(k) a\left(k^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(k-k^{\prime}\right) e^{i\left(\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right) t}\right] \tag{3.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $\omega(-k)=\omega(k)$ and choosing $N(k)$ such that $N(-k)=N(k)$, this gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \varphi^{2} d x & =2 \pi \int d k[N(k)]^{2}\left[a(k) a(-k) e^{-2 i \omega t}\right. \\
& \left.+a^{*}(k) a^{*}(-k) e^{2 i \omega t}+a(k) a^{*}(k)+a^{*}(k) a(k)\right] \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \varphi^{\prime 2} d x & =2 \pi \int d k[k N(k)]^{2}\left[a(k) a(-k) e^{-2 i \omega t}\right. \\
& \left.+a^{*}(k) a^{*}(-k) e^{2 i \omega t}+a(k) a^{*}(k)+a^{*}(k) a(k)\right] \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \dot{\varphi}^{2} d x= & 2 \pi \int d k[\omega(k) N(k)]^{2}\left[-a(k) a(-k) e^{-2 i \omega t}\right. \\
& \left.-a^{*}(k) a^{*}(-k) e^{+2 i \omega t}+a(k) a^{*}(k)+a^{*}(k) a(k)\right] \tag{3.42}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence, using $k^{2}=\omega^{2}-m^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=2 \pi \int d k[N(k) \omega(k)]^{2}\left[a(k) a^{*}(k)+a^{*}(k) a(k)\right] \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, choosing

$$
\begin{gather*}
N(k)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \cdot 2 \omega(k)}  \tag{3.44}\\
H=\int d k N(k) \frac{1}{2} \omega(k)\left[a(k) a^{*}(k)+a^{*}(k) a(k)\right] \tag{3.45}
\end{gather*}
$$

i.e. the integrated density of modes $N(k)$ times the energy per mode $\omega(k)|a(k)|^{2}$.

Hence each normal mode of the system behaves like an independent harmonic oscillator with amplitude $a(k)$.

In 3 spatial dimensions we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{k} N(\boldsymbol{k})\left[a(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}-i \omega t}+a^{*}(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{-i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}+i \omega t}\right] \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} e^{i\left(\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}=(2 \pi)^{3} \delta^{3}\left(\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we should choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\boldsymbol{k})=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 \omega(\boldsymbol{k})} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain an integral with the usual relativistic phase space (density of states) factor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\int \frac{d^{3} \boldsymbol{k}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 \omega(\boldsymbol{k})} \omega(\boldsymbol{k})|a(\boldsymbol{k})|^{2} \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.6 Multi-component fields

We now look at examples where the field itself has a more complicated structure. In principle, there is no significant difference and the analogies we have been observing will continue to hold, if each component of the physical field is regarded as an independent scalar variable as was studied above. Let us consider first an example with an intuitively clear 2-dimensional vector field.

## Transverse waves on a string

Instead of longitudinal modes of a rod, consider small transverse displacements $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{y}, \varphi_{z}\right)$ of a flexible elastic string stretched along the $x$-axis at constant tension $F$. Then the kinetic energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} \rho \int\left[\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{y}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{z}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the elastic potential energy is

$$
\begin{align*}
V=F\left[\int d s-\int d x\right] & =F \int\left[\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{y}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{z}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}-1\right] d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} F \int\left[\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{y}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{z}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right] d x \tag{3.51}
\end{align*}
$$

for small displacements. Therefore the Lagrangian density just becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=y, z}\left[\rho\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-F\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action is to be mimimised with respect to variations in both $\varphi_{y}$ and $\varphi_{z}$, so we now get Euler-Lagrange conditions for each component:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi_{j}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial \varphi_{j} / \partial t\right)}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial \varphi_{j} / \partial x\right)}\right) \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in this case give identical wave equations for $j=y$ and $z$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial t}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial x}\right) . \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus transverse waves propagate with velocity $\sqrt{F / \rho}$, independent of the direction of the displacement vector $\varphi$, i.e. independent of their polarisation.

For a multi-component field in multidimensional space we again have to regard each component of the vector field $\varphi$ as a separate field giving us an Euler-Lagrange condition for each of these components:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi_{j}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial \varphi_{j} / \partial t\right)}\right)+\nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\nabla \varphi_{j}\right)}\right) \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, in the case of elastic waves in a three-dimensional medium, $\varphi$ represents the displacement of a material point in the medium and eq. (3.55) leads to the acoustic wave equation. For a general medium, the wave velocity now depends on the polarization, but the details of this would take us too far into the theory of elasticity.

### 3.7 Electromagnetic field

Finally let us have a look at the electromagnetic field, although this is a much more difficult and involved subject! The starting point has to be the four-potential $A_{\mu}$ in terms of which the physical fields $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ can be found (with a bit of effort) amongst the components of the electromagnetic field strength tensor

$$
F_{\alpha \beta}=\partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta}-\partial_{\beta} A_{\alpha} ; \text { or } F_{\alpha \beta}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & E_{x} / c & E_{y} / c & E_{z} / c  \tag{3.56}\\
-E_{x} / c & 0 & -B_{z} & B_{y} \\
-E_{y} / c & B_{z} & 0 & -B_{x} \\
-E_{z} / c & -B_{y} & B_{x} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that $F_{\alpha \beta}$ is antisymmetric (and therefore has zero diagonal elements). This is an example of a physical variable expressed by a second-rank tensor field. Now one needs to construct the appropriate Lagrangian density from it.

As we already discussed for the relativistic scalar field, given that the Lagrangian action $S$ is a frame invariant scalar, it follows we should expect the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ to be a scalar also. This rather limits the possibilities of how we could construct $\mathcal{L}$ from the traceless second-rank tensor $F_{\alpha \beta}$.

We want $\mathcal{L}$ to be a scalar, and to give us Euler-Lagrange equations which are linear in the physical fields $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ (the components of $F_{\alpha \beta}$ ) we need $\mathcal{L}$ to be at most quadratic in these components. Then, the only possible form is $\mathcal{L}_{0}=a F_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta}$ (a linear term, if it existed, would have to be the trace of $F^{\alpha \beta}$, which is zero by construction). This is the first level of approximation, corresponding to Maxwell electromagnetism. Extensions could arise, for instance, by bringing in spatial gradients: squared powers of $\partial_{\mu} F^{\alpha \beta}$ would lead to a variety of effects such as spatial dispersion, optical rotation, etc. Let us stay on the most basic level here.

In view of what we found for the single relativistic particle it is evidently prudent to anticipate the coupling of the free field $F^{\alpha \beta}$ to the electric current distribution, characterised by the fourcurrent $J^{\mu}$, defined as $\rho\left(d x^{\mu} / d t\right)$ with $\rho$ the charge density. The timelike component of $J^{\mu}$ is just the charge density (with a factor $c$ ), while the spacelike components are $\rho \boldsymbol{v} \equiv \boldsymbol{J}$, the density of electric current. This corresponds to the external force term in the potential energy of the elastic Lagrangians in the preceding examples and, in general, leads to a non-zero l.h.s. in the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations, such as (3.10) and (3.55). In the electromagnetic field case this then leads us to consider the Lagrangian density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=a F_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta}-J^{\mu} A_{\mu} . \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another important constraint in electromagnetism, which we have already discussed section 2.3, is gauge invariance: we can let $A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} f$ (in 4-vector notation), where $f$ is any scalar function, without altering the physical fields $F_{\alpha \beta}$. Evidently our Lagrangian density with the coupling to an external current is not gauge invariant, but if you compute the corresponding change in the action $S$ you find that the only non-vanishing contribution to it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta S=-\int J^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu} f\right) d^{4} x=+\int f\left(\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}\right) d^{4} x+\text { (boundary current terms), } \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and everything on the r.h.s. vanishes if the current $J^{\mu}$ is conserved (and does not flow out through the boundaries), that is if the 4 -gradient $\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}=0$. Therefore coupling the vector potential to conserved currents leaves the Lagrangian Action (but not $\mathcal{L}$ ) gauge invariant.

Now we have to check that all this really works, that is, it leads to real electromagnetism. For instance, let us check that we do get Maxwell's equations for the field components! Clearly, they have to satisfy the minimal-action condition, i.e. to be the relevant Euler-Lagrange equations. All we need to do is to rewrite the canonical form in an appropriate way.

Let us take the four-potential $A_{\mu}$ as the basic field variable of the Euler-Lagrange equations for $\delta S=0$. We have then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_{\alpha}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\alpha}\right)}\right) \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the l.h.s. immediately gives $-J^{\alpha}$, the external "force". For the r.h.s. we need to calculate
the derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\alpha}\right)} a F_{\delta \gamma} F^{\delta \gamma}=a F^{\delta \gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\alpha}\right)} F_{\delta \gamma}+a F_{\delta \gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\alpha}\right)} F^{\delta \gamma} . \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not too hard to convince yourself that the two terms are in fact equal, and that by permuting indices each of these is equal to

$$
2 a F^{\delta \gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\alpha}\right)} \partial_{\delta} A_{\gamma}=2 a F^{\mu \alpha}
$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations therefore reduce to the 4 -vector relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\alpha}+4 a \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu \alpha}=0 \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

or if you prefer

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\alpha}+4 a\left(\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} A^{\alpha}-\partial^{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} A^{\mu}\right)=0 \tag{3.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a suitable choice of the constant, $a=-1 / 4 \mu_{0}$, these are just the inhomogeneous pair of Maxwell equations

$$
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{E}=\rho / \varepsilon_{0}, \quad \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{B}=\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0} \dot{\boldsymbol{E}}+\mu_{0} \boldsymbol{J}
$$

The important continuity equation is then obtained by covariant differentiation:

$$
\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} F^{\mu \nu}=\frac{d}{d t} \rho+\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{J}=0
$$

meaning that the charge is conserved. The other pair of Maxwell equations is actually contained in the definition of antisymmetric tensor $F^{\mu \nu}$ : from its definition we easily see that

$$
\partial^{\lambda} F^{\mu \nu}+\partial^{\nu} F^{\lambda \mu}+\partial^{\mu} F^{\nu \lambda}=0,
$$

(the so-called Bianchi identity). When written out explicitly, this gives $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{B}=\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{E}+\dot{\boldsymbol{B}}=0$.
To sum up much of what we have covered regarding the electromagnetic field, the action for the EM field plus charged relativistic particles is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ccl}
S=\sum_{\text {particles }}\left\{-\int m c^{2} d \tau\right. & \left.-\int e A_{\mu} d x^{\mu}(t)\right\} & -\frac{1}{4 \mu_{0}} \int F_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} d^{4} x  \tag{3.63}\\
\text { free particles } & \text { coupling to EM field } & \text { free EM field } \\
\text { Section 2.4 } & \text { Section } 2.3 & \text { here }
\end{array}
$$

and the condition $\delta S=0$ gives both the motion of the particles in the field and the dynamics of the field due to the particles. This means that the full relativistic electromagnetic interactions between the particles (retardation, radiation and all) are included, excluding of course quantum mechanical effects.

## Gauge invariance

The gauge transformations (2.31) and (2.32) of the EM potentials are simply expressed in covariant form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu}^{\prime}=A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} f \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The invariance of electromagnetism with respect to this transformation allows us to impose one constraint on $A_{\mu}$, for example the axial gauge condition $n^{\mu} A_{\mu}=0$, which now includes the choice $\phi=0$ or $\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}=0$, according to the choice of the arbitrary 4 -vector $n^{\mu}$.

We also already mentioned the Lorentz gauge, where the condition (2.33) in covariant notation becomes $\partial_{\mu} A^{\mu}=0$, which is manifestly Lorentz invariant. Furthermore this choice leaves Eq.(3.62) as simply the wave equation $\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} A^{\alpha}=0$ in the absence of charges. The residual ambiguity (2.34) in this gauge similarly takes the form $\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} f=0$.

We shall have a good deal more to say about gauge invariance after we have considered in more detail the relationship between symmetries and conservation laws in the next chapter.

### 3.8 Complex scalar field

Suppose $\varphi$ is a complex scalar field, i.e. $\varphi^{*} \neq \varphi$. We can always decompose it into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\varphi_{1}+i \varphi_{2}\right) \tag{3.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are real. Then, writing $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ as Fourier integrals as in eq. (3.34),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, t)=\int d k N(k)\left[a(k) e^{i k x-i \omega t}+b^{*}(k) e^{-i k x+i \omega t}\right] \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a_{1}+i a_{2}\right), \quad b^{*}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a_{1}^{*}+i a_{2}^{*}\right) \neq a^{*} \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lagrangian density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left[\varphi_{1}\right]+\mathcal{L}\left[\varphi_{2}\right] \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical momentum densities conjugate to $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{*}$ are thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}}=\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}}{\partial t}, \quad \pi^{*}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}^{*}}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Hamiltonian density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\pi \dot{\phi}+\pi^{*} \dot{\phi}^{*}-\mathcal{L}=\pi^{*} \pi+\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}+m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Fourier expansion of $\varphi$ and integrating over all space (left as an exercise!), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
H=\int d x \mathcal{H} & =\frac{1}{2} \int d k N(k) \omega(k)\left[a(k) a^{*}(k)+a^{*}(k) a(k)+b(k) b^{*}(k)+b^{*}(k) b(k)\right] \\
& =\int d k N(k) \omega(k)\left[|a(k)|^{2}+|b(k)|^{2}\right] . \tag{3.72}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore the positive and negative frequency Fourier components of the field contribute to the energy with the same (positive) sign.

In 3 spatial dimensions eq. (3.69) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} & =\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}-\nabla \varphi^{*} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi \\
& =\partial_{\mu} \varphi^{*} \partial^{\mu} \varphi-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi \tag{3.73}
\end{align*}
$$

and the Fourier decomposition of the field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{k} N(\boldsymbol{k})\left[a(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{-i k \cdot x}+b^{*}(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{i k \cdot x}\right] \tag{3.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(\boldsymbol{k})$ is given by eq. (3.48) and for brevity we have introduced the wave 4 -vector $k^{\mu}=$ $(\omega / c, \boldsymbol{k})$ in the exponents, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \cdot x=k^{\mu} x_{\mu}=\omega t-\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} . \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then in place of (3.72) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{k} N(\boldsymbol{k}) \omega(\boldsymbol{k})\left[|a(\boldsymbol{k})|^{2}+|b(\boldsymbol{k})|^{2}\right] . \tag{3.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Symmetries and conservation laws

The relationship between symmetries and conserved quantities, and the effects of symmetry breaking, are amongst the most important in theoretical physics. We start with the simplest case of the scalar (Klein-Gordon) field, then add electromagnetism. Finally we introduce the transition from classical to quantum fields, which clarifies the interpretation of conserved quantities such as energy and charge.

### 4.1 Noether's theorem

Let us try to find a current and a density that satisfy the continuity equation for the complex Klein-Gordon field. We use an important general result called Noether's theorem (Emmy Noether, 1918), which tells us that there is a conserved current associated with every continuous symmetry of the Lagrangian, i.e. with symmetry under a transformation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi \rightarrow \varphi+\delta \varphi \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta \varphi$ is infinitesimal. Symmetry means that $\mathcal{L}$ doesn't change:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{L}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} \delta \varphi+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}} \delta \varphi^{\prime}+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \delta \dot{\varphi}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \varphi^{\prime} & =\delta\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}\right)
\end{align*}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta \varphi, ~\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta \varphi ~ \$ \dot{\varphi}=\delta=
$$

(easily generalized to 3 spatial dimensions).
The Euler-Lagrange equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}\right)=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \mathcal{L}= & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}}\right) \delta \varphi+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\delta \varphi) \\
& +\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}\right) \delta \varphi+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\delta \varphi)=0 \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}} \delta \varphi\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \delta \varphi\right)=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing with the conservation/continuity equation (in 1 dimension)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(J_{x}\right)+\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that the conserved density and current are (proportional to)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \delta \varphi, \quad J_{x}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^{\prime}} \delta \varphi \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 3 spatial dimensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{x}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial \varphi / \partial x)} \delta \varphi, \quad J_{y}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial \varphi / \partial y)} \delta \varphi, \ldots \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence in covariant notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\mu}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)} \delta \varphi \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the Lagrangian involves several fields $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots$, the symmetry may involve changing them all: invariance w.r.t. $\varphi_{j} \rightarrow \varphi_{j}+\delta \varphi_{j}$ then imples the existence of a conserved Noether current

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\mu} \propto \sum_{j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi_{j}\right)} \delta \varphi_{j} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general the transformation may mix the different fields, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \varphi_{j}=\varepsilon \sum_{k} t_{j k} \varphi_{k} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter and $t_{j k}$ are constants. Then, dividing out $\varepsilon$, the Noether current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\mu}=\sum_{j, k} t_{j k} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi_{j}\right)} \varphi_{k} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2 Global phase symmetry

As an important example, consider the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian density for a complex field, eq. (3.73):

$$
\mathcal{L}=\partial_{\mu} \varphi^{*} \partial^{\mu} \varphi-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi
$$

This is invariant under a global phase change in $\varphi$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi & \rightarrow e^{-i \varepsilon} \varphi \simeq \varphi-i \varepsilon \varphi \\
\varphi^{*} & \rightarrow e^{+i \varepsilon} \varphi^{*} \simeq \varphi^{*}+i \varepsilon \varphi^{*} \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. $\delta \varphi \propto-i \varphi, \delta \varphi^{*} \propto+i \varphi^{*}$. By 'global' we mean that the phase change $\varepsilon$ is the same at all points in space-time.

The corresponding conserved Noether current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\mu}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)} \delta \varphi+\delta \varphi^{*} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi^{*}\right)}=-i\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi^{*}\right) \varphi+i \varphi^{*}\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can define an associated conserved charge, which is the integral of $\rho$ over all space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\int \rho d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Q}{d t}=\int \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} d^{3} \boldsymbol{r}=-\int \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{J} d^{3} \boldsymbol{r}=-\int_{\infty \text { sphere }} \boldsymbol{J} \cdot d \boldsymbol{S}=0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=-i \int\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}}{\partial t} \varphi-\varphi^{*} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right) d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the Fourier decomposition (3.74) for the field,

$$
\varphi=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{k} N(\boldsymbol{k})\left[a(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{-i k \cdot x}+b^{*}(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{i k \cdot x}\right]
$$

we find (another exercise!)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{k} N(\boldsymbol{k})\left[|a(\boldsymbol{k})|^{2}-|b(\boldsymbol{k})|^{2}\right] \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the positive and negative frequency Fourier components of the field contribute to the charge with opposite signs, in contrast to their contributions to the energy. We shall see that, when a complex classical field is quantized, the quanta can be either particles (quanta with positive energy and positive charge) or antiparticles (quanta with positive energy and negative charge).

### 4.3 Local phase (gauge) symmetry

Suppose now that we make a local phase change in a complex scalar field $\varphi$, i.e. we allow the phase $\varepsilon$ to be a function of the space-time coordinates $x^{\mu}$. This is not a symmetry of the freefield Klein-Gordon Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{K G}$ because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi \rightarrow e^{-i \varepsilon(x)} \varphi \Rightarrow \partial^{\mu} \varphi \rightarrow e^{-i \varepsilon(x)}\left[\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)-i\left(\partial^{\mu} \varepsilon\right) \varphi\right] \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{K G} & \rightarrow\left[\partial_{\mu} \varphi^{*}+i\left(\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon\right) \varphi^{*}\right]\left[\partial^{\mu} \varphi-i\left(\partial^{\mu} \varepsilon\right) \varphi\right]-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi \\
& =\mathcal{L}_{K G}-i\left(\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon\right)\left[\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi^{*}\right) \varphi-\varphi^{*}\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)\right]+\left(\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon\right)\left(\partial^{\mu} \varepsilon\right) \varphi^{*} \varphi \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that the second term on the r.h.s. is proportional to the current $J^{\mu}=-i\left[\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi^{*}\right) \varphi-\right.$ $\varphi^{*}\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)$. In the presence of an electromagnetic field this term will cancel with the change in the interaction term $-e J^{\mu} A_{\mu}$ if we make a simultaneous gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon / e \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. we choose the scalar function $f$ in eq. (3.64) to be $\varepsilon / e$. And in fact the last term will also cancel if we introduce the electromagnetic interaction through the so-called covariant derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} \rightarrow D_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}+i e A_{\mu} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for then the combined effect of the phase change in $\varphi$ and the gauge change in $A_{\mu}$ will be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu} \varphi \rightarrow\left[\partial_{\mu}+i e A_{\mu}+i\left(\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon\right)\right] e^{-i \varepsilon} \varphi=e^{-i \varepsilon} D_{\mu} \varphi \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the covariant derivative of $\varphi$ transforms in the same way as $\varphi$ itself, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{K G}=\left(D_{\mu} \varphi\right)^{*}\left(D^{\mu} \varphi\right)-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

remains unchanged.
This is a profound result: we have found that the electromagnetic field is an essential requirement if the theory is to remain invariant under local phase transformations of a complex (i.e. charged) field. Furthermore the interaction between the fields must be of the form prescribed by the covariant derivative (4.22). Bearing in mind that in quantum mechanics the canonical 4 -momentum is obtained from the wave function using the operator $i \partial^{\mu}$ (in units where $\hbar=1$ ), we see that the covariant derivative operator $D^{\mu}$ represents the mechanical 4-momentum.

### 4.4 Electromagnetic interaction

Expanding eq. (4.24), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{K G}=\partial_{\mu} \varphi^{*} \partial^{\mu} \varphi-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi+i e A_{\mu}\left[\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi^{*}\right) \varphi-\varphi^{*}\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)\right]+e^{2} A_{\mu} A^{\mu} \varphi^{*} \varphi . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first two terms on the r.h.s. are those of the free-field Klein-Gordon equation. The third is the expected interaction term $e A_{\mu} J^{\mu}$ where $J^{\mu}$ is the free-field current (4.14). In addition, we now have a surprising extra term, quadratic in the electromagnetic potential, which is required to preserve gauge invariance.

Let us now apply Noether's theorem to deduce the conserved current associated with invariance of the combined charged scalar+electromagnetic field Lagrangian density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{e m}+\mathcal{L}_{K G}=-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+\left(D_{\mu} \varphi\right)^{*}\left(D^{\mu} \varphi\right)-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the infinitesimal local phase+gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi \rightarrow \varphi-i e \varepsilon \varphi, \quad A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\mu} \propto-i e \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)} \varepsilon \varphi+i e \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi^{*}\right)} \varepsilon \varphi^{*}+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}\right)} \partial_{\nu} \varepsilon . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first two terms term get contributions only from $\mathcal{L}_{K G}$, proportional to

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{K G}^{\mu}=-i e\left[\varphi^{*}\left(D^{\mu} \varphi\right)-\left(D^{\mu} \varphi\right)^{*} \varphi\right]=-i e\left[\varphi^{*}\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)-\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)^{*} \varphi\right]+2 e^{2} A^{\mu} \varphi^{*} \varphi . \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the Klein-Gordon current is modified in the presence of the electromagnetic field, and the interaction of the extra piece gives rise to the final term in eq. (4.25).

The third term in eq. (4.28) gets a contribution only from $\mathcal{L}_{e m}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{e m}^{\mu} \propto-F^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu} \varepsilon=-\partial_{\nu}\left(F^{\mu \nu} \varepsilon\right)+\left(\partial_{\nu} F^{\mu \nu}\right) \varepsilon . \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

As usual, we drop the first term on the r.h.s. since a total derivative cannot contribute to the charge as long as the fields vanish on the surface of the integration region. This leaves us with the current

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{e m}^{\mu}=\partial_{\nu} F^{\mu \nu}, \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is indeed conserved since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} J_{e m}^{\mu}=\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} F^{\mu \nu}=0 \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the antisymmetry of the field strength tensor $F^{\mu \nu}$.

### 4.5 Stress-energy(-momentum) tensor

If the symmetry involves a space-time transformation instead of (or in addition to) a redefinition of the fields at each space-time point, the situation is a little more complicated, because after the transformation the Lagrangian density is defined at the transformed point. Let us consider in particular a small space-time displacement, $x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\mu}+\varepsilon^{\mu}$. The corresponding field transformation is (always working to first order in $\varepsilon$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(x^{\mu}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}\left(x^{\mu}\right)=\varphi\left(x^{\mu}+\varepsilon^{\mu}\right)=\varphi+\varepsilon^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi=\varphi+\varepsilon_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \varphi . \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Provided the Lagrangian does not depend explicitly on the space-time coordinates, for this to be a symmetry transformation we require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\tilde{\varphi}, \partial^{\mu} \tilde{\varphi}\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(x^{\mu}+\varepsilon^{\mu}\right)=\mathcal{L}+\varepsilon_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{L} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\tilde{\varphi}, \partial^{\mu} \tilde{\varphi}\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(\varphi, \partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)+\varepsilon_{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} \partial^{\mu} \varphi+\varepsilon_{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial^{\nu} \varphi\right)} \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} \varphi \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\varepsilon_{\mu}$ is arbitrary, so this imples that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} \partial^{\mu} \varphi+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial^{\nu} \varphi\right)} \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} \varphi=\partial^{\mu} \mathcal{L} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the derivation of the Noether current, we may now use the equation of motion to write this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\nu}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial^{\nu} \varphi\right)} \partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)=\partial^{\mu} \mathcal{L} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in other words

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\nu}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial^{\nu} \varphi\right)} \partial^{\mu} \varphi-\delta_{\nu}^{\mu} \mathcal{L}\right)=0 \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relabelling and rearranging indices somewhat, we see that this implies that the stress-energy tensor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\mu \nu}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)} \partial^{\nu} \varphi-g^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L} \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

(sometimes called the energy-momentum tensor) is conserved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} T^{\mu \nu}=0 . \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case of the Noether current, for multi-component fields we have simply to add the contributions of the components:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\mu \nu}=\sum_{j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi_{j}\right)} \partial^{\nu} \varphi_{j}-g^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L} \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although we have used relativistic notation, these results are not limited to covariant systems, as long as the Lagrangian density is invariant under translations in space and time. For longitudinal waves on an elastic rod, for example, we saw that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} \rho(\dot{\varphi})^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2} \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{t t}=\rho(\dot{\varphi})^{2}-\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{H}, & T_{t x}=-\rho \dot{\varphi} \varphi^{\prime} \\
T_{x x}=\kappa\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{H}, & T_{x t}=-\kappa \dot{\varphi} \varphi^{\prime} . \tag{4.43}
\end{align*}
$$

As expected, $T^{t t}$ is the Hamiltonian density, i.e. the wave energy per unit length in the rod. Furthermore from eq. (4.40)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T_{t t}}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial T_{x t}}{\partial x} \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

as can easily be verified using the equation of motion, so that $T_{x t}=-\kappa \dot{\varphi} \varphi^{\prime}$ must represent the flow of wave energy along the rod. Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T_{t x}}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial T_{x x}}{\partial x} \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{t x}$ is the momentum density in the wave and $T_{x x}$ is the associated flow of momentum.
In the case of a relativistic scalar field, we saw that the field must satisfy the Klein-Gordon equation, with Lagrangian density (3.26):

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)-\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \varphi^{2} .
$$

Thus the stress-energy tensor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\mu \nu}=\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)\left(\partial^{\nu} \varphi\right)-g^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L} \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Electromagnetic field

For the free electromagnetic field (in units where $\mu_{0}=\epsilon_{0}=c=1$ ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta}=-\frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta}\left(\partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta}-\partial_{\beta} A_{\alpha}\right)\left(\partial_{\gamma} A_{\delta}-\partial_{\delta} A_{\gamma}\right) \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the stress-energy tensor is

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{\mu \nu} & =\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\lambda}\right)} \partial^{\nu} A_{\lambda}-g^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L} \\
& =-F^{\mu \lambda} \partial^{\nu} A_{\lambda}+\frac{1}{4} g^{\mu \nu} F_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} \tag{4.48}
\end{align*}
$$

While this is indeed a conserved tensor, it is not in a convenient form since it is not gaugeinvariant and cannot be expressed in terms of the field strengths $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$. Notice, however, that we are free to redefine $T^{\mu \nu}$ by adding any tensor of the form $\partial_{\lambda} \Omega^{\lambda \mu \nu}$ where $\Omega^{\lambda \mu \nu}$ is antisymmetric with respect to the indices $\lambda$ and $\mu$, for then $\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\lambda} \Omega^{\lambda \mu \nu}=0$. So let us choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\lambda \mu \nu}=-F^{\lambda \mu} A^{\nu}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the added terms are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\lambda} \Omega^{\lambda \mu \nu}=-\left(\partial_{\lambda} F^{\lambda \mu}\right) A^{\nu}-F^{\lambda \mu} \partial_{\lambda} A^{\nu} \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of the free-field Maxwell equations (3.61), the first term on the r.h.s. vanishes, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\lambda} \Omega^{\lambda \mu \nu}=-F^{\lambda \mu} \partial_{\lambda} A^{\nu}=F_{\lambda}^{\mu} \partial^{\lambda} A^{\nu} . \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our redefined stress-energy tensor is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\mu \nu}=-F_{\lambda}^{\mu} F^{\nu \lambda}+\frac{1}{4} g^{\mu \nu} F_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is now expressed in terms of the field strengths and therefore gauge invariant. Notice that it is also now a symmetric tensor, which means for example that the $T^{01}=T^{10}$, i.e. the density of the $x$-component of the field momentum is equal to the flow of energy in the $x$ direction. In terms of the field strengths, we have explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{E}^{2}-\boldsymbol{B}^{2}\right), \quad T^{00}=\mathcal{H}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{E}^{2}+\boldsymbol{B}^{2}\right), \quad T^{0 j}=(\boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{B})_{j} . \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

## General relativity

In general relativity, the element of space-time that is invariant under general coordinate transformations is $d^{4} x \sqrt{-g}$ where $g$ is the determinant of the metric tensor, $g=\operatorname{Det}\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)$, which is of course -1 for the Minkowski metric (2.36). The invariant action integral therefore becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L} \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is then a very general and powerful way of defining the stress-energy tensor, which is to say that it measures the response of the action to small changes in the metric, according the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta S=\frac{1}{2} \int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g} T_{\mu \nu} \delta g^{\mu \nu} \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu \nu}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L})}{\partial g^{\mu \nu}}=2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g^{\mu \nu}}+\frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial g^{\mu \nu}} \mathcal{L} . \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from the properties of determinants and the inverse matrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \operatorname{Det} M}{\partial M_{j k}}=\operatorname{Det} M\left(M^{-1}\right)_{k j} \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (even in general relativity)

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu \lambda} g^{\nu \lambda}=\delta_{\mu}^{\nu} \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\left(g^{-1}\right)_{\nu \mu}=g^{\mu \nu}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g}{\partial g_{\mu \nu}}=g g^{\mu \nu} \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is almost what we need: differentiating (4.58) gives $g_{\mu \lambda} d g^{\nu \lambda}=-d g_{\mu \lambda} g^{\nu \lambda}$, so $d g_{\mu \nu}=$ $-g_{\mu \lambda} g_{\nu \sigma} d g^{\mu \nu}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g}{\partial g^{\mu \nu}}=-g g_{\mu \nu} \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we finally obtain for the stress-energy tensor the general expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu \nu}=2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g^{\mu \nu}}-g_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L} . \tag{4.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

You can check that (4.61) agrees with the results we obtained earlier for the Klein-Gordon and electromagnetic fields. Notice that this tensor is manifestly symmetric (since $g_{\mu \nu}$ is) and therefore we automatically obtain the symmetric, gauge-invariant form (4.52) in the electromagnetic case.

### 4.6 Angular momentum and spin

Having found that the stress-energy tensor $T^{\mu \nu}$ is conserved and (properly defined) symmetric, we can construct a conserved tensor of higher rank as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\lambda \mu \nu}=x^{\mu} T^{\lambda \nu}-x^{\nu} T^{\lambda \mu} \tag{4.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

for then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\lambda} M^{\lambda \mu \nu}=T^{\mu \nu}-T^{\nu \mu}=0 \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $T^{\mu \nu}$ is symmetric. Recalling that $T^{0 j}$ is the density of the $j$ th component of momentum, we see that for example $M^{012}=x T^{02}-y T^{01}$ is the density of the $z$-component of angular momentum, and so we define the total angular momentum tensor of the field as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\mu \nu}=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} M^{0 \mu \nu} \tag{4.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of the more familiar total angular momentum vector $\boldsymbol{J}$ are then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} J^{j k} . \tag{4.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other non-zero components of $J^{\mu \nu}$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{0 j}=-J^{j 0}=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} M^{00 j}=t \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} T^{0 j}-\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} x^{j} T^{00}=t P_{j}-R_{j} E \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{P}$ is the total momentum of the field, $E$ the total energy, and $\boldsymbol{R}$ the position of the centre-of-energy. Thus the conservation of this quantity implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{V} t+\text { const. } \tag{4.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{V}=\boldsymbol{P} / E$ is the velocity of the centre-or-energy, i.e. the velocity of the zero-momentum frame (in units where $c=1$ ). This is just Newton's first law: the centre-of-energy of an isolated system moves with constant velocity.

Since in general the centre-of-energy is moving, the total angular momentum includes both "orbital" and "intrinsic" parts, where the intrinsic or spin angular momentum is defined in the zero-momentum frame. We can make a covariant definition of the spin by using the 4 -velocity $U^{\mu}=P^{\mu} / \sqrt{P_{\nu} P^{\nu}}=\gamma(1, \boldsymbol{V})$, where $\gamma=1 / \sqrt{1-V^{2}}$. Then we define the spin 4-vector as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\mu}=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} U_{\nu} J_{\alpha \beta} \tag{4.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}$ is the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor $(\varepsilon=+1$ for any even permutation of indices $0123,-1$ for any odd permutation, 0 otherwise). Then in the zero-momentum frame $U_{\nu}=(1, \mathbf{0}), S^{0}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{i}=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{i 0 j k} J_{j k}=J_{i} . \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $S^{\mu}$ is always orthogonal to the 4 -velocity, $S^{\mu} U_{\mu}=0$, so in fact the spin only has 3 independent components in any frame, whereas $J^{\mu \nu}$ has the extra components (4.66), which are mixed with those of $\boldsymbol{J}$ in different frames. Thus there is no covariant way of separating the total angular momentum into orbital and spin contributions. To put it another way, $J$ is a 4 -tensor and $S$ is a 4 -vector: to take their difference " $L=J-S$ " does not make sense, any more than subtracting apples from oranges.

### 4.7 Quantum fields

Although this is a course on classical field theory, it is worth making a short excursion into the quantum mechanics of fields, which in fact clarifies many of their properties, especially in the relativistic case. The transition from classical to quantum fields is through a procedure called 'second quantization'.

First quantization was the procedure of replacing the classical dynamical variables $q$ and $p$ by quantum operators $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\hat{q}, \hat{p}]=i \quad(\hbar=1) \tag{4.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second quantization means replacing the field variable $\varphi(x, t)$ and its conjugate momentum density $\pi(x, t)$ by operators such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{\varphi}(x, t), \hat{\pi}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right]=i \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

N.B. $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are not dynamical variables but labels for the field values at different points. Compare (and contrast)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{q}_{j}, \hat{p}_{k}\right]=i \delta_{j k} \quad(j, k=x, y, z) . \tag{4.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field (wavefunction) $\varphi$ satisfying the Klein-Gordon equation is replaced by a field operator $\hat{\varphi}$, satisfying the same equation. The Fourier representation of a real field becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varphi}(x, t)=\int d k N(k)\left[\hat{a}(k) e^{i k x-i \omega t}+\hat{a}^{\dagger}(k) e^{-i k x+i \omega t}\right] . \tag{4.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\hat{\varphi}$ is hermitian but the Fourier conjugate operator $\hat{a}$ is not. Keeping track of the order of operators, the Hamiltonian operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\int d k N(k) \frac{1}{2} \omega(k)\left[\hat{a}(k) \hat{a}^{\dagger}(k)+\hat{a}^{\dagger}(k) \hat{a}(k)\right] . \tag{4.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing this with the simple harmonic oscillator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{\mathrm{SHO}}=\frac{1}{2} \omega\left(\hat{a} \hat{a}^{\dagger}+\hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a}\right), \tag{4.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that $\hat{a}^{\dagger}(k)$ and $\hat{a}(k)$ must be the ladder operators for the mode of wave number $k$. They add/remove one quantum of excitation of the mode. These quanta are the particles corresponding to that field:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Rightarrow \quad \hat{a}^{\dagger}(k)=\text { the creation operator }, \quad \hat{a}(k)=\text { the annihilation operator } \tag{4.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

for Klein-Gordon particles.
The ladder operators of the simple harmonic oscillator satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}\right]=1 . \tag{4.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analogous commutation relation for the creation and annihilation operators is

$$
\begin{align*}
N(k)\left[\hat{a}(k), \hat{a}^{\dagger}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right] & =\delta\left(k-k^{\prime}\right) \\
\Rightarrow \quad\left[\hat{a}(k), \hat{a}^{\dagger}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right] & =2 \pi \cdot 2 \omega(k) \delta\left(k-k^{\prime}\right), \tag{4.78}
\end{align*}
$$

or in 3 spatial dimensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{a}(\boldsymbol{k}), \hat{a}^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right)\right]=(2 \pi)^{3} \cdot 2 \omega(\boldsymbol{k}) \delta^{3}\left(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{4.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{a}(\boldsymbol{k}), \hat{a}\left(\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right)\right]=\left[\hat{a}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}), \hat{a}^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{4.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

The commutators of the creation and annihilation operators correspond to the field commutation relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\hat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{r}, t), \hat{\pi}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t\right)\right]=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{k} d^{3} \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime} N(\boldsymbol{k}) N\left(\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right)\left[-i \omega\left(\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right)\right] \times} \\
& {\left[\hat{a}(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{-i k \cdot x}+\hat{a}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{i k \cdot x}, \hat{a}\left(\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right) e^{-i k^{\prime} \cdot x^{\prime}}-\hat{a}^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\right) e^{i k^{\prime} \cdot x^{\prime}}\right]} \\
& =i \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{k} N(\boldsymbol{k}) \omega(\boldsymbol{k})\left[e^{-i k \cdot\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}+e^{i k \cdot\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}\right] \tag{4.81}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x^{\mu}=(c t, \boldsymbol{r})$ and $x^{\mu}=\left(c t, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{r}, t), \hat{\pi}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t\right)\right]=i \delta^{3}\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

as expected. On the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{r}, t), \hat{\varphi}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t\right)\right]=\left[\hat{\pi}(\boldsymbol{r}, t), \hat{\pi}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, t\right)\right]=0 . \tag{4.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that the field operator has positive- and negative-frequency parts now appears quite natural:

- The positive frequency part $\hat{a}(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}-i \omega t}$ annihilates particles
- The negative frequency part $\hat{a}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{-i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}+i \omega t}$ creates particles
$\pm \hbar \omega$ is the energy released/absorbed in the annihilation/creation process.
The hermitian field describes particles that are identical to their antiparticles, e.g. $\pi^{0}$ mesons. For a complex (non-hermitian) field, the negative-frequency part of $\hat{\varphi}$ creates antiparticles. To see this, consider the second-quantized version of eq. (4.18) for a complex field $\hat{\varphi}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Q}=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{k} N(\boldsymbol{k})\left[\hat{a}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}) \hat{a}(\boldsymbol{k})-\hat{b}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}) \hat{b}(\boldsymbol{k})\right] . \tag{4.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing with the energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{k} N(\boldsymbol{k}) \omega(\boldsymbol{k})\left[\hat{a}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}) \hat{a}(\boldsymbol{k})+\hat{b}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}) \hat{b}(\boldsymbol{k})\right], \tag{4.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that the particles created by $\hat{a}^{\dagger}$ and $\hat{b}^{\dagger}$ have the same energy $\hbar \omega$ but opposite charge: for example, they could be $\pi^{+}$and $\pi^{-}$mesons.

To summarize, in quantum field theory

- The object that satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation is the field operator $\hat{\varphi}$.
- The Fourier decomposition of $\hat{\varphi}$ has a positive frequency part that annihilates a particle (with energy $\hbar \omega$ and charge +1 ) AND a negative frequency part that creates an antiparticle (with energy $\hbar \omega$ and charge -1$)$.
- Similarly, $\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}$ creates a particle or annihilates an antiparticle.


## 5 Broken symmetry

We have seen that symmetries can have profound implications, but sometime the breaking of symmetry can be even more interesting. We introduce here the important phenomenon of spontaneous symmetry breaking, in the simple context of the scalar Klein-Gordon field. We consider only the classical case, but all the features of interest remain valid after second quantization.

### 5.1 Self-interacting scalar field

Let us consider the effect of adding terms of higher order in the field $\varphi$ to the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian density (3.73). The simplest addition that preserves the global phase symmetry is a quartic term $-\frac{1}{2} \lambda\left(\varphi^{*} \varphi\right)^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi^{*}\right)\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi-\frac{1}{2} \lambda\left(\varphi^{*} \varphi\right)^{2} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As was argued earlier for the quadratic term $-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi$, the coefficient $\lambda$ must be positive in order for the Hamiltonian to be positive-definite, otherwise no state of lowest energy will exist.

Notice that $\lambda$ is a dimensionless quantity: this is easy to see in natural units, since then $\mathcal{L}$ and $\left(\varphi^{*} \varphi\right)^{2}$ both have dimensions [M] ${ }^{4}$. Any higher powers, $\left(\varphi^{*} \varphi\right)^{p}$ with $p>2$, would have coefficients with dimensions of inverse powers of mass, $[\mathrm{M}]^{4-2 p}$. One can argue on rather general grounds that such terms should be negligible since the relevant mass scale should be large.

The equation for the conjugate momentum density, $\pi=\partial \varphi / \partial t$, remains unchanged and the Hamiltonian density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\pi^{*} \pi+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi^{*} \cdot \nabla \varphi+V(\varphi) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 'potential' is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\varphi)=m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi+\frac{1}{2} \lambda\left(\varphi^{*} \varphi\right)^{2} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of motion becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi+m^{2} \varphi+\lambda\left(\varphi^{*} \varphi\right) \varphi=0 . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can think of the extra term as a self-interaction of the field, with strength $\lambda$.

### 5.2 Spontaneously broken global symmetry

The Hamiltonian density (5.2) with the potential (5.3) implies that the state of the field with minimum energy is that in which $\varphi=0$ everywhere. Note, however, that in the presence of the quartic term in the potential our previous argument for the positive sign of the quadratic term is no longer valid: the Hamiltonian is always bounded from below as long as $\lambda>0$. Let us therefore study the effect of a negative quadratic term in the potential, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\varphi)=-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi+\frac{1}{2} \lambda\left(\varphi^{*} \varphi\right)^{2} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3: The form of the 'potential' $V(\varphi)$ that leads to spontaneous symmetry breaking.

The state of minimum energy will now be one in which $\varphi$ has a constant value $\varphi_{0}$ such that $V\left(\varphi_{0}\right)$ is a minimum, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0}^{*} \varphi_{0}=\frac{m^{2}}{\lambda} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes a circle in the complex $\varphi$ plane,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0}=\frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} e^{i \theta} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is arbitrary.
Thus the system does not have a unique state of minimum energy but an infinite number of equivalent ones corresponding to different values of $\theta$ : it is said to be degenerate. However, if we take any particular example of the system and reduce its energy somehow to the minimum value, it will be in a state with a particular value of $\theta$. The situation is like that of a thin rod initially balanced vertically on its tip on a horizontal plane: when it falls under gravity, it will lie at a particular angle on the plane, although all angles have equal energy. The dynamics and the initial state are symmetrical with respect to rotations about the vertical axis, but the final minimum-energy state is not: the rotational symmetry has been spontaneously broken.

Similarly in the presence of the quartic interaction the Klein-Gordon field will undergo spontaneous symmetry breaking by choosing some particular minimum-energy state, with a particular global value of $\theta$. And since the dynamics has phase symmetry we may as well choose to label that state as $\theta=0$ (like measuring angles with respect to the fallen rod). Thus we define $\varphi_{0}=m / \sqrt{\lambda}$ and measure variations of the field with respect to this 'ground-state' value: $\varphi=\varphi_{0}+\chi$. In terms of the 'dynamical' field $\chi$, the potential is

$$
\begin{align*}
V & =V\left(\varphi_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda\left[\varphi_{0}\left(\chi^{*}+\chi\right)+\chi^{*} \chi\right]^{2} \\
& =V\left(\varphi_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} m^{2}\left(\chi^{*}+\chi\right)^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\chi^{3}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

(where we have used the fact that $\lambda \varphi_{0}^{2}=m^{2}$ to cancel a lot of terms). Resolving $\chi$ into its real and imaginary parts as in eq. (3.65):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\chi_{1}+i \chi_{2}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ are real, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V\left(\varphi_{0}\right)+m^{2} \chi_{1}^{2}++\mathcal{O}\left(\chi^{3}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.10) displays many interesting features:

1. The phase symmetry breaking is now explicit: there is a quadratic term for the real part of $\chi$ but not for the imaginary part;
2. The quadratic term for the real part $\chi_{1}$ is positive even though we started off with a negative quadratic term for $\varphi$;
3. Comparing with the original Klein-Gordon equation (3.26), we see that the quadratic term corresponds to the dispersion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\sqrt{k^{2}+2 m^{2}} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the field $\chi_{1}$. This means that, after second-quantization, the quanta of the field will be particles of mass (in natural units) $\sqrt{2} m$;
4. On the other hand the field $\chi_{2}$ has no quadratic term and therefore its dispersion relation is $\omega=k$, i.e. its quanta have zero mass, like those of the electromagnetic field (photons), except that their spin is zero instead of one.

This last point is an example of Goldstone's theorem: for every spontaneously broken (global) continuous symmetry there is a field with massless quanta, which is therefore called a Goldstone field.

### 5.3 Spontaneously broken local symmetry

Recall that the symmetry of the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian with respect to global (space-time independent) phase changes can be promoted to local (space-time dependent) phase symmetry by introducing a vector field $A^{\mu}$ that undergoes a compensating gauge transformation. The full Lagrangian density then takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+\left(D_{\mu} \varphi\right)^{*}\left(D^{\mu} \varphi\right)-V(\varphi) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}+i e A_{\mu}$. As in the previous subsection, the presence of negative quadratic and positive quartic terms in $V$ will trigger spontaneous symmetry breaking and a non-zero value of $\varphi$ satisfying eq. (5.6) in the state of minimum energy. However, in such a state we now obtain a non-zero contribution to $\mathcal{L}$ from the second term on the r.h.s., namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i e \varphi_{0} A_{\mu}\right)^{*}\left(i e \varphi_{0} A^{\mu}\right)=\frac{e^{2} m^{2}}{\lambda} A_{\mu} A^{\mu} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding equation of motion for the field $A_{\mu}$ (in Lorentz gauge) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\nu} \partial^{\nu} A_{\mu}+2 \frac{e^{2} m^{2}}{\lambda} A_{\mu}=0 . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the dispersion relation for the vector field has become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\sqrt{k^{2}+2 e^{2} m^{2} / \lambda} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and correspondingly the quanta of the vector field have acquired a non-zero mass equal to $e m \sqrt{2 / \lambda}$.

### 5.4 Higgs mechanism

Let us examine what happens to the dynamical part of the scalar field when the local phase symmetry is spontaneously broken. As in the case of global symmetry breaking, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\varphi_{0}+\chi=\varphi_{0}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\chi_{1}+i \chi_{2}\right) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\chi$ is non-zero the covariant derivative of $\varphi$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu} \varphi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\partial_{\mu} \chi_{1}+i \partial_{\mu} \chi_{2}\right)+i e \varphi_{0} A_{\mu}+\ldots \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dots represent terms of higher order in the fields. We see that the term involving $\chi_{2}$ can be removed by a redefinition of the vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} e \varphi_{0}} \partial_{\mu} \chi_{2} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is just a gauge transformation, so it leaves the field strength tensor $F_{\mu \nu}$ unchanged. The Lagrangian density then takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} & =-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+e^{2} \varphi_{0}^{2} A_{\mu} A^{\mu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \chi_{1}\right)\left(\partial^{\mu} \chi_{1}\right)-V(\varphi)+\ldots \\
& =-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+\frac{e^{2} m^{2}}{\lambda} A_{\mu} A^{\mu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \chi_{1}\right)\left(\partial^{\mu} \chi_{1}\right)-m^{2} \chi_{1}^{2}-V\left(\varphi_{0}\right)+\ldots \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

As we saw before, there is now a term quadratic in the vector field, which corresponds to its quanta having a mass, and a similar term for the dynamical scalar field $\chi_{1}$. However, unlike the case of the broken global phase symmetry, there are no terms involving the field $\chi_{2}$ : it has been absorbed in a redefinition of the vector field. In more colourful terms the vector field has eaten the Goldstone field and thereby acquired a mass. This is called the Higgs mechanism.

Another way to see that the field $\chi_{2}$ can be removed by a gauge transformation is to recall that such a transformation is equivalent to a change of phase of the scalar field. Since $\chi_{2}$ is the imaginary part of the field $\varphi$, it can be removed by a phase change

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi \rightarrow e^{-i \theta} \varphi \text { where } \theta=\sin ^{-1}\left(\chi_{2} /|\varphi|\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which makes $\varphi$ real everywhere. Local phase/gauge symmetry means we are allowed to make a different phase change at every space-time point, so $\chi_{2}$ can always be removed in this way. In the absence of a vector field, on the other hand, we can only make a constant global phase change, so $\chi_{2}$ cannot be removed and remains a physical field.

The Higgs mechanism also applied more generally, when the Lagrangian density of a system has several local gauge symmetries, some of which are broken spontaneously by non-zero values of scalar fields in the state of minimum energy. For each gauge symmetry there is a vector field, whose quanta are massless if the symmetry is unbroken but massive if it is broken. The masses are acquired by 'eating' the Goldstone components of the scalar fields, which would otherwise have massless quanta themselves. The remaining, uneaten components of the scalar fields have quanta that are should be observable as massive Higgs bosons.

In the Standard Model of particle physics, the electromagnetic and weak nuclear interactions are described by a unified theory with four distinct gauge symmetries, three of which are broken spontaneously by components of a pair of complex scalar fields. Thus three of the four
associated vector fields have massive quanta (the $\mathrm{W}^{+}, \mathrm{W}^{-}$and $\mathrm{Z}^{0}$ bosons) while one remains massless (the photon). Correspondingly, three of the four (real and imaginary) components of the scalar fields are eaten and one physical Higgs boson should remain - but has not yet been observed directly!

## 6 Dirac field

You have seen in the Advanced Quantum Physics course that the relativistic wave equation for the electron is the Dirac equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-i \hbar \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi+\beta m c^{2} \psi \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is a 4-component wave function called a Dirac spinor and $\alpha_{j}, \beta$ are $4 \times 4$ hermitian Dirac matrices with the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}^{2}=\beta^{2}=1, \quad \alpha_{j} \beta+\beta \alpha_{j}=\alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}+\alpha_{k} \alpha_{j}=0 \quad(j, k=1,2,3 ; j \neq k) . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To make the covariant form of the Dirac equation more apparent, we multiply through by $\beta$ and rearrange terms to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar\left(\beta \partial_{0} \psi+\beta \alpha_{j} \partial_{j} \psi\right)=m c^{2} \psi \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{0}=\beta, \quad \gamma^{j}=\beta \alpha_{j} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can write this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi=m c^{2} \psi \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in natural units

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}-m\right) \psi=0 \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the properties of the $\alpha_{j}$ and $\beta$ matrices we find (check it!) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}+\gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}=2 g^{\mu \nu} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also looks more covariant. However it is important to appreciate that $\gamma^{\mu}$ is NOT a 4vector like $x^{\mu}$ or $\partial^{\mu}$ : it is just a set of $4 \times 4$ matrices, which do not transform under Lorentz transformations.

To make a 4 -vector, we have to sandwich $\gamma^{\mu}$ between some spinors. Recall that the probability density associated with the Dirac spinor $\psi$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\psi^{\dagger} \psi \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the probability current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{J}=c \psi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \psi \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\psi}=\psi^{\dagger} \beta=\psi^{\dagger} \gamma^{0} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\bar{\psi} \gamma^{0} \psi, \quad J_{j}=c \bar{\psi} \gamma^{j} \psi \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, we know that the density and current together form a 4 -vector $J^{\mu}=(c \rho, \boldsymbol{J})$ and so we may write this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\mu}=c \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi$ is a 4 -vector.
The density and current satisfy the conservation (continuity) equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}=-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{J} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which become simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}=c \partial_{\mu}\left(\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi\right)=0 \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us verify this directly from the Dirac equation. (For simplicity, from now on we use natural units.) We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu}\left(\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi\right)=\left(\partial_{\mu} \bar{\psi}\right) \gamma^{\mu} \psi+\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the Dirac equation gives $\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi=-i m \psi$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi=-i m \bar{\psi} \psi . \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the adjoint of the Dirac equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi^{\dagger}\right)\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)^{\dagger}=+i m \psi^{\dagger} \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of the $\gamma^{\mu}$ matrices in terms of the hermitian $\alpha_{j}$ and $\beta$ matrices, one may readily verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)^{\dagger}=\gamma^{0} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{0} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi^{\dagger}\right)\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(\partial_{\mu} \bar{\psi}\right) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{0}=+i m \psi^{\dagger} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, multiplying both sides on the right by $\gamma^{0}$ and using $\left(\gamma^{0}\right)^{2}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{\mu} \bar{\psi}\right) \gamma^{\mu}=+i m \bar{\psi} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, together with eq. (6.16), shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}\left(\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi\right)=+i m \bar{\psi} \psi-i m \bar{\psi} \psi=0 . \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.1 Dirac Lagrangian and Hamiltonian

Now we want to formulate the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ which has the Dirac equation as its equation of motion. A suitable choice is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi-m \bar{\psi} \psi \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a choice in the sense that, as usual, we are free to add a total derivative. For example we could add $-\frac{i}{2} \partial_{\mu}\left(\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi\right)$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{i}{2}\left[\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi-\left(\partial_{\mu} \bar{\psi}\right) \gamma^{\mu} \psi\right]-m \bar{\psi} \psi . \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Just as we must treat $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{*}$ as independent fields in the Klein-Gordon case, we have to treat $\underline{\psi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ as independent here. Using the Lagrangian density (6.22), the equation of motion for $\bar{\psi}$ gives the Dirac equation for $\psi$ directly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \bar{\psi}\right)}=0=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}}=i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi-m \psi \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

while that for $\psi$ gives the corresponding equation (6.20) for $\bar{\psi}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi\right)}=i\left(\partial_{\mu} \bar{\psi}\right) \gamma^{\mu}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi}=-m \bar{\psi} . \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

You should check that the Lagrangian density (6.23) gives the same results. Note that when $\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}$ satisfy their equations of motion, $\mathcal{L}=0$. In other words, the minimum value of the action is zero.

The Hamiltonian density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\pi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial t} \bar{\pi}-\mathcal{L} \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi$ and $\bar{\pi}$ are the canonical momentum densities conjugate to $\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}$, respectively. Note that we have been careful with the order of factors: if $\psi$ is represented by a column vector then $\pi$ is a row vector, and conversely for $\bar{\psi}$ and $\bar{\pi}$. Sticking with the simpler form (6.22) of the Dirac Lagrangian density, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial \psi / \partial t)}=i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{0}=i \psi^{\dagger}, \quad \bar{\pi}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial \bar{\psi} / \partial t)}=0 \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so (in natural units)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H} & =i \psi^{\dagger} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}-\mathcal{L} \\
& =\psi^{\dagger}(-i \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}+\beta m) \psi \tag{6.28}
\end{align*}
$$

which is just what we would expect for the energy density, given the Dirac equation (6.1).

### 6.2 Global and local phase symmetry

Like the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian, The Dirac Lagrangian is invariant with respect to a global (space-time independent) phase change in $\psi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \rightarrow e^{-i \varepsilon} \psi, \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow e^{+i \varepsilon} \bar{\psi} \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, for an infinitesimal change,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \rightarrow \psi-i \varepsilon \psi, \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi}+i \varepsilon \bar{\psi} . \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated Noether current is the one we found already:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\mu}=-i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi\right)} \psi+i \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \bar{\psi}\right)}=\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi+0 \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the presence of an electromagnetic 4-potential $A_{\mu}$ we expect an interaction term $-e J^{\mu} A_{\mu}$, so that the Lagrangian density becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+i e A_{\mu}\right) \psi \equiv i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}+i e A_{\mu}$ is the covariant derivative introduced in Section 4.3. Then, just as in the case of the Klein-Gordon field, we see that $\mathcal{L}$ is invariant under a local (space-time dependent) phase change $\varepsilon(x)$ combined with a gauge change $A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon / e$.

### 6.3 Stress-energy tensor, angular momentum and spin

The stress-energy tensor for the Dirac field is obtained by following the steps in Sec. 4.5. Using the Lagrangian density (6.22),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\mu \nu}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi\right)} \partial^{\nu} \psi-g^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L}=i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} \psi, \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathcal{L}=0$ when $\psi$ satisfies the equation of motion. One can readily verify from the Dirac equation and eq. (6.20) that this is indeed conserved. However, we cannot define a symmetric stress-energy tensor for the Dirac field and so the construction of a conserved angular momentum is more complicated than for the scalar or electromagnetic field.

By analogy with eq. (4.62) we may define

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\lambda \mu \nu}=x^{\mu} T^{\lambda \nu}-x^{\nu} T^{\lambda \mu}=i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\lambda}\left(x^{\mu} \partial^{\nu}-x^{\nu} \partial^{\mu}\right) \psi . \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\lambda} M^{\lambda \mu \nu}=T^{\mu \nu}-T^{\nu \mu}=i \bar{\psi}\left(\gamma^{\mu} \partial^{\nu}-\gamma^{\nu} \partial^{\mu}\right) \psi \neq 0, \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

so this is not conserved. Correspondingly the orbital angular momentum defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{i} & =\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} M^{0 j k} \\
& =\frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{0}\left(x^{j} \partial^{k}-x^{k} \partial^{j}\right) \psi \\
& =-i \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \psi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla})_{i} \psi \tag{6.36}
\end{align*}
$$

is not conserved.
Now consider the tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\lambda \mu \nu}=\frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\lambda}\left(\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}-\gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}\right) \psi, \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\lambda} S^{\lambda \mu \nu} & =\frac{i}{4}\left(\partial_{\lambda} \bar{\psi}\right) \gamma^{\lambda}\left(\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}-\gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}\right) \psi+\frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\lambda}\left(\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}-\gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}\right) \partial_{\lambda} \psi \\
& =-\frac{m}{4} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\lambda}\left(\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}-\gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}\right) \psi+\frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\lambda}\left(\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}-\gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}\right) \partial_{\lambda} \psi . \tag{6.38}
\end{align*}
$$

From the algebra (6.7) of the $\gamma$-matrices we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} & =-\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\nu}+2 g^{\lambda \mu} \gamma^{\nu} \\
& =\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\lambda}+2 g^{\lambda \mu} \gamma^{\nu}-2 g^{\lambda \nu} \gamma^{\mu} \tag{6.39}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}=\gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\lambda}+2 g^{\lambda \nu} \gamma^{\mu}-2 g^{\lambda \mu} \gamma^{\nu} . \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, using the Dirac equation $\gamma^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} \psi=-i m \psi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\lambda} S^{\lambda \mu \nu}=i \bar{\psi}\left(g^{\lambda \mu} \gamma^{\nu}-g^{\lambda \nu} \gamma^{\mu}\right) \partial_{\lambda} \psi=i \bar{\psi}\left(\gamma^{\nu} \partial^{\mu}-\gamma^{\mu} \partial^{\nu}\right) \psi, \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\lambda}\left(M^{\lambda \mu \nu}+S^{\lambda \mu \nu}\right)=0 . \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extra piece of this conserved tensor corresponds to the spin contribution to the angular momentum, $S$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} S^{0 j k}=\frac{i}{8} \varepsilon_{i j k} \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{0}\left(\gamma^{j} \gamma^{k}-\gamma^{k} \gamma^{j}\right) \psi, \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in terms of the $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}=-\frac{i}{8} \varepsilon_{i j k} \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \psi^{\dagger}\left(\alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}-\alpha_{k} \alpha_{j}\right) \psi . \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}-\alpha_{k} \alpha_{j}=2 i \varepsilon_{j k l} \Sigma_{l} \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \psi^{\dagger}\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right) \psi \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the relativistic version of the Pauli spin $\frac{1}{2}\langle\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle$, which has to be added to the orbital angular momentum (6.36) in order to get the conserved total angular momentum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{J}=\int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \psi^{\dagger}\left[-i(\boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla})+\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right] \psi . \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that the spin and orbital contributions are not conserved separately shows that there is spin-orbit coupling.

In the conventional representation of the $\alpha$-matrices

$$
\alpha_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sigma_{j}  \tag{6.48}\\
\sigma_{j} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the $2 \times 2$ Pauli matrices satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{j} \sigma_{k}-\sigma_{k} \sigma_{j}=2 i \varepsilon_{j k l} \sigma_{l} . \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (6.45) then gives

$$
\Sigma_{l}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{l} & 0  \tag{6.50}\\
0 & \sigma_{l}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is indeed the natural $4 \times 4$ extension of the Pauli matrices.

## 7 Propagators and causality

In quantum mechanics at the Schrödinger level, propagators offer a direct way to include interactions and other complexities using perturbation theory. However, the mathematical concept of cause and effect, with the propagator playing the rôle of a messenger function connecting the two, is applicable to a far broader range of problems. Let us consider, as an introductory example, the classical Lagrangian system of a simple harmonic oscillator.

### 7.1 Simple harmonic oscillator

The problem is of a discrete particle characterised by its coordinate $x(t)$, in a harmonic potential, possibly subjected to an external driving force $f(t)$. The Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=T-V=\frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \kappa x^{2}+x \cdot f(t) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Euler-Lagrange condition for the minimal action leads to the familiar differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial t^{2}}+\omega_{0}^{2} x=\phi(t) \quad \text { with } \omega_{0}^{2}=\frac{\kappa}{m} ; \quad \phi=\frac{1}{m} f(t) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can of course solve the problem directly when the external force is a simple cosine function, $f(t)=f_{0} \cos (\Omega t)$. Let us, however, explore the role of a causal propagator in more general terms. Define the propagator (or Green's function in this context) as the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}+\omega_{0}^{2}\right] G\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in Fourier-transformed form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\omega^{2}+\omega_{0}^{2}\right] G(\omega)=1 \quad \text { for } G\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)=\int G(\omega) e^{-i \omega\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)} \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The physical meaning of this function is the response to an impulse force, $\delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)$, which occurred at the moment of time $t^{\prime}$. Causality demands that there should be no response before the moment $t=t^{\prime}$, so

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)=\int \frac{e^{-i \omega\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)}}{\omega_{0}^{2}-\omega^{2}} \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi}, & \text { when } t>t^{\prime}  \tag{7.5}\\
\text { but } G\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)=0, & \text { when } t<t^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Then the solution of (7.2) can be formally written as

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t) & =\int G\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \phi\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}, \quad \text { a convolution in real time }  \tag{7.6}\\
\text { or } x(t) & =\int x(\omega) e^{-i \omega t} \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \quad \text { with } x(\omega)=G(\omega) \phi(\omega) \text { in Fourier representation, }
\end{align*}
$$

which may require some contour integration in the complex plane of $\omega$, since $G(\omega)$ has two simple poles at $\omega= \pm \omega_{0}$. Let us illustrate this procedure in an explicit example.


Figure 4: Closing the contour in the upper and the lower half-planes makes a difference on whether the poles are enclosed.

## Damped oscillator

The explicit calculation of the propagator is, perhaps paradoxically, easier in the case when the oscillator is damped. We take the equation (7.2) and add the frictional force to it,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial t^{2}}+\gamma \frac{\partial x}{\partial t}+\omega_{0}^{2} x=\phi(t) \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Green's function is the solution of the corresponding equation with a $\delta$-function: source

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}+\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\omega_{0}^{2}\right] G\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) } & =\delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \\
{\left[-\omega^{2}-i \gamma \omega+\omega_{0}^{2}\right] G(\omega) } & =1, \text { for } G\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)=\int G(\omega) e^{-i \omega\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)} \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \tag{7.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The causal propagator should satisfy the requirement that there be no motion until the force is applied at $t=t^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-i \omega\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)}}{\omega_{0}^{2}-i \omega \gamma-\omega^{2}} \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} & & \text { for } t>t^{\prime}  \tag{7.9}\\
& =0 & & \text { for } t<t^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

(obviously, we require that the damping is positive, $\gamma>0$ ). This is a classic case for contour integration in the complex plane of frequency $\omega$. The integrand in (7.9) has two simple poles at the roots of the denominator,

$$
\omega_{1,2}=-\frac{i \gamma}{2} \pm \sqrt{\omega_{0}^{2}-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}}
$$

When $\omega_{0}^{2}>\gamma^{2} / 4$ these two poles lie in the lower half of the $\omega$ complex plane, shifted down from the real axis by $\gamma / 2$ (compare with eq.(7.5) where they lie on the real axis). The trick is to make a closed integration contour by adding the semi-circular arc $\omega=R e^{i \varphi}$ for $R \rightarrow \infty$ and the phase $\varphi=\{0, \pi\}$ when you close the contour in the upper half-plane ( $\mathrm{C}_{+}$), or $\varphi=\{0,-\pi\}$ when the contour is closed in the lower half-plane ( $\mathrm{C}_{-}$), in both cases connecting the points $\omega=+\infty$ and $-\infty$ on the real axis, as required in (7.9).

When $t-t^{\prime}>0$, the argument of the Fourier exponential in (7.9) is $-i\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| R e^{i \varphi}$ : on the upper half-plane arc $\mathrm{C}_{+}$its real part is positive and the contribution to the integral would diverge as $R \rightarrow \infty$, so we are not allowed to add $\mathrm{C}_{+}$to the contour. In contrast, on the lower half-plane loop $C_{-}$its real part is negative and the contribution to the integral vanishes as $R \rightarrow \infty$, so
we are free to close the contour in the lower half-plane by adding $\mathrm{C}_{-}$. This does not alter the desired result for $G\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)$ and, by Cauchy's theorem, it is equal to $(2 \pi i) \times$ [sum of residues] of the poles enclosed, which conveniently do lie inside this contour. Note that the contour $C_{-}$is clockwise, in the "wrong" direction, hence there is an extra minus sign:

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(t>t^{\prime}\right) & =\oint_{C_{-}} \frac{e^{-i \omega\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)}}{\omega^{2}+i \omega \gamma-\omega_{0}^{2}} \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi}=-2 \pi i\left[\operatorname{Res}\left(\omega=\omega_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Res}\left(\omega=\omega_{2}\right)\right]  \tag{7.10}\\
& =\frac{i}{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}}\left(e^{-i \omega_{1}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)}-e^{-i \omega_{2}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{0}^{2}-\gamma^{2} / 4}} e^{-(\gamma / 2)\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|} \sin \sqrt{\omega_{0}^{2}-\gamma^{2} / 4}\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|, \text { for } t>t^{\prime} \tag{7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows how the oscillations gradually decay after being excited by an impulse at $t=t^{\prime}$.
In the non-causal domain $t<t^{\prime}$, by a similar argument the contour closure has to be done in the upper half-plane and the resulting contour $\mathrm{C}_{+}$does not enclose the poles! Hence $G\left(t<t^{\prime}\right)=0$.

This analysis of the damped oscillator allows us to recover the undamped oscillator as a simple limiting case, $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, giving $G(t) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\omega_{0}} \sin \omega_{0} t$ in equations (7.2) and (7.5). Try examining the omitted cases, when the damping is too large $\left(\omega_{0}^{2}<\gamma^{2} / 4\right)$ and $\gamma<0$ (negative damping). Trace where the poles move in the complex plane and how this affects the causal response.

### 7.2 Free quantum particle

The approach is exactly the same for more complicated systems and cases, for instance the Schrödinger equation. One needs to separate the non-interacting part of the field $\psi(x, t)$ into the Schrödinger-like system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla^{2} \psi=F(x, t) \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(x, t)$ contains all the interaction terms, the source of the interesting physics but of complications as well. The propagator $G$ gives the solution for $\psi(t)$ due to interactions at earlier times. In quantum mechanics it is then defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, t)=\int_{t>t^{\prime}} d t^{\prime} \int d x^{\prime} G\left(x, x^{\prime} ; t, t^{\prime}\right) F\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) . \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point it may be useful to remove a possible confusion in terminology. At least for equations of motion that are first order in $\partial / \partial t$, one can also define a propagator such that $\Psi(x, t)=\int \widetilde{G}\left(x, t ; x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \Psi\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}, \quad t>t^{\prime}$ for the non-interacting equation. You can readily check that $\widetilde{G}=i \hbar G$. In this sense, $\widetilde{G}$ is the amplitude to propagate from $x^{\prime}$ to $x$ in time $t-t^{\prime}$.

Clearly, $G$ in (7.13) is just a Green function of the non-interacting equation,

$$
\left(i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla^{2}\right) G\left(x, x^{\prime} ; t, t^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)
$$

By using momentum and energy representations, essentially the Fourier transforms through $\exp [-i p x / \hbar]$ and $\exp [-i E t / \hbar]$ (so that $q=p / \hbar$ and $\omega=E / \hbar$ ): ${ }^{1}$

[^0]

Figure 5: The complex energy plane, illustrating the pole positions and integration contours.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}\right) G\left(p ; t, t^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left(E-\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}\right) G(p ; E)=1  \tag{7.14}\\
& G\left(p ; t, t^{\prime}\right)=\int e^{-i \frac{E}{\hbar}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)} \frac{1}{E-p^{2} / 2 m} \frac{d E}{2 \pi \hbar},
\end{align*}
$$

with a single simple pole at $E=p^{2} / 2 m$ on the real axis. For $t>t^{\prime}$ we must close the contour in the lower half-plane and for $t<t^{\prime}$ in the upper half (to have $e^{-z}$ at $z \rightarrow \infty$ in both cases).

The principle of causality tells us that the contour must pass above the simple pole at $E=$ $p^{2} / 2 m$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G\left(p ; t<t^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { must be zero }  \tag{7.15}\\
G\left(p ; t>t^{\prime}\right)= & 2 \pi i\left[\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar} e^{-i \frac{t-t^{\prime}}{\hbar}\left(p^{2} / 2 m\right)}\right]=\frac{i}{\hbar} e^{-i \frac{p^{2}}{2 m \hbar}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)} .
\end{array}
$$

In high energy physics it is common to use the "pole moving trick" to preserve the simplicity of $\int d E$ over real values: move the singular point down into the lower half-plane, $E \rightarrow E+i \epsilon$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p ; E) \rightarrow \frac{1}{E-p^{2} / 2 m+i \epsilon} \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In relativistic quantum mechanics the "dispersion relationship" is $E^{2}=p^{2} c^{2}+m^{2} c^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p ; E) \rightarrow \frac{1}{E^{2}-p^{2} c^{2}-m^{2} c^{4}+i \epsilon} \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\exp [-i E t / \hbar]$ whereas for ordinary oscillators and in the general Fourier analysis we use $u(t) \propto \exp [i \omega t]$. As a result causal poles must lie above the contour for $\omega$, as opposed to below for $E$. An easy way to sort out the sign convention is to remember that poles with positive damping should naturally be causal.
and so the two poles at $E= \pm \sqrt{p^{2} c^{2}+m^{2} c^{4}-i \epsilon}$ end up one in each half-plane! This seeming violation of causality (the contour closed in the "wrong" half-plane also produces a result) corresponds to real physics - the contributions of particles and antiparticles, which, as we saw in section 4.7, contribute to the positive and negative frequency parts of the quantum field.

### 7.3 Linear response and Kramers-Kronig relations

A dynamical variable $u(t)$ with a zero average, $\langle u\rangle=0$, always has a conjugate force $f(t)$ defined so that a perturbation of potential energy is $-u . f$. This also applies to the physical fields entering the Lagrangian density. As a simple example consider a particle on a spring with a potential $V(x)=\frac{1}{2} \kappa x^{2}$ (in equilibrium at $x=0$ ). Applying an external force results in the perturbation of the potential so that $V^{\prime}=V(x)-x . f$. The new equilibrium is now at $\langle x\rangle_{f}=\frac{1}{\kappa} f$. We thus define a linear static response function $\alpha=\frac{1}{\kappa}$ in this example. Other familiar examples include

| $u$ | $f$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| position | force | $-x . f$ |
| charge | voltage | $-q . \varphi$ |
| magnetic moment | magnetic induction | $-M . B$ |
| polarisation | electric field | $-P . E$ |
| volume | pressure | $-V . P$ |

In fact, different thermodynamic potentials may have the inverse definition of the variable and its conjugate force, e.g. volume may be reduced by an externally applied pressure, or pressure may be changed by externally changing the volume. The concept of linear response function, connecting the small change in a quantity induced by its (small) conjugate force, remains valid in each case.

In most physical situations one is faced with time-varying forces and responses. In this case we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle u(t)\rangle_{f} & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) f\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}  \tag{7.18}\\
\text { or }\langle u(\omega)\rangle_{f} & =\alpha(\omega) f(\omega) \quad \text { in the Fourier domain }
\end{align*}
$$

with $\alpha$ the linear response function or generalised susceptibility. Causality demands that $\alpha\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)$ is finite only for $t>t^{\prime}$ and is zero for $t<t^{\prime}$, i.e. there is no response before the force is applied. This imposes a constraint on the real and imaginary parts of $\alpha(\omega)$, which become dependent on each other. We now work out this connection, called the Kramers-Kronig relation.

Write $\alpha(\omega)=\alpha^{\prime}(\omega)+i \alpha^{\prime \prime}(\omega)$. Now define a new function of time, $v(t)$, antisymmetric w.r.t. $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$, so that $\alpha(t)=\Theta(t) v(t)$ with $\Theta(t)$ the Heaviside step-function. Then the Fouriertransform is given by the convolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\omega)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta\left(\omega-\omega_{1}\right) v\left(\omega_{1}\right) \frac{d \omega_{1}}{2 \pi} . \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that because of our choice of $v(t)$, antisymmetric in time, its Fourier image $v(\omega)$ is purely
imaginary. An efficient way to find the Fourier-transform of the step-function is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(\omega) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta(t) e^{i \omega t} d t=\left.\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \omega t-\epsilon t} d t\right|_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \\
& =\left.\frac{1}{\epsilon-i \omega}\right|_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}=\frac{\epsilon}{\omega^{2}+\epsilon^{2}}+\left.\frac{i \omega}{\omega^{2}+\epsilon^{2}}\right|_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \\
& \Rightarrow \pi \delta(\omega)+\mathrm{P} \frac{i}{\omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the representation of delta-function in the first term and the second term is understood as the principal part. We can now write the Fourier-transform of the linear response function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\omega) \equiv \alpha^{\prime}+i \alpha^{\prime \prime}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\pi \delta\left(\omega-\omega_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P} \frac{i}{\omega-\omega_{1}}\right) v\left(\omega_{1}\right) \frac{d \omega_{1}}{2 \pi} \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing imaginary parts, we see that $v\left(\omega_{1}\right)=2 i \alpha^{\prime \prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)$ (purely imaginary as required) and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}(\omega)=\mathrm{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)}{\omega_{1}-\omega} \frac{d \omega_{1}}{\pi}, \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the first Kramers-Kronig relation. Verify the second relation yourself: ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(\omega)=-\mathrm{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)}{\omega_{1}-\omega} \frac{d \omega_{1}}{\pi}, \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are very general relation, based only on the causality assumption. They find applications across a wide range of science - in dielectric studies, spectroscopy, high energy physics, etc. Note that one always finds

$$
\begin{array}{crl}
\text { "Dispersion" } & \alpha^{\prime}(\omega)=\alpha^{\prime}(-\omega) & \text { even under time reversal, } \\
\text { "Attenuation" } & \alpha^{\prime \prime}(\omega)=-\alpha^{\prime \prime}(-\omega) & \text { odd under time reversal, }
\end{array}
$$

i.e. it is the dissipative part (attenuation) that is sensitive to the arrow of time.
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## A Reminder-some results from the calculus of variations

1. Basic: To make $\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} f(y, \dot{y}, t) d t$ an extremum you must take the function $y$ that satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}}\right)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}=0
$$

The function $y$ has fixed starting and finishing points. i.e. integrate $f$ from $t_{i}$ to $t_{f}$ and optimise only over functions $y(t)$ that start at a given $y_{i}$ and finish at a given $y_{f}$.
2. If $f$ depends on several functions $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots y_{n}(t)$ then the conditions for an extremum of $\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} f(\mathbf{y}, \dot{\mathbf{y}}, t) d t$ are

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}_{i}}\right)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{i}}=0, \quad i=1 \text { to } n
$$

3. If $f$ is independent of one of the $y_{i}$ the $i^{\text {th }}$ condition reduces to

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}_{i}}\right)-0=0
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}_{i}}=\text { Constant }
$$

4. if $f=f(\mathbf{y}, \dot{\mathbf{y}})$, i.e. if $f$ does not depend explicitly on $t$, then

$$
f-\sum_{i} \dot{y}_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}_{i}}=\text { Constant }
$$

5. The function $y(t)$ that makes $\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} f(y, \dot{y}, t) d t$ an extremum, when $y(t)$ has to satisfy the constraint $\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} g(y, \dot{y}, t) d t=C$, is given by

$$
\left\{\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}}\right)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right\}+\lambda\left\{\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \dot{y}}\right)-\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\right\}=0
$$

First determine $y(t)$ for arbitrary $\lambda$; the value of $\lambda$ has to be determined from the equation of constraint and will depend on $C$.

## B Spectral (Fourier) analysis

The key idea for solving many complicated multi-variable dynamical problems is the Fourier representation. To illustrate this concept, which amounts to diagonalisation in the phase space of the problem, let us consider only one dimension of space $(x)$ and a scalar field variable $f(x)$, with the understanding that for vector or tensor functions one simply deals with their components separately and that multi-dimensional space simply requires a repetition of the analysis for each direction (assuming we remain in Cartesian coordinates). So we start with a scalar field $f(x)$, which is real (from a mathematical standpoint it does not have to be, but it is nice to be able to identify a real physical process with it) and define an interval of space $-L<x<L$ discretised into small intervals of length $a \ll L$. The infinite continuous interval corresponds to the limiting case $L \rightarrow \infty, a \rightarrow 0$. There are two other important limiting cases: $L \neq \infty$, but $a \rightarrow 0$, which is a finite continuous interval, and $L \neq \infty, a \neq 0$, which is a finite discrete lattice. We then "define"

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
f(x) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(q) e^{-i q x} d q & \\
\phi(q) & =\int f(x) e^{i q x} d x & \underline{(L \rightarrow \infty, a \rightarrow 0)} \\
f(x) & =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{n} e^{-i \pi n(x / L)} & (\text { assuming } f(x)=f(x+L)) \\
\phi_{n} & =\frac{1}{2 L} \int_{-L}^{L} f(x) e^{i \pi n(x / L)} d x & \underline{(L \neq \infty, a \rightarrow 0)} \\
f_{s} & =\sum_{n=-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}} \phi_{n} e^{-i(2 \pi / N) n s}  \tag{B.3}\\
\phi_{n} & =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=-\frac{L}{a}}^{\frac{L}{a}} f_{s} e^{i(2 \pi / N) n s} & \underline{(L \neq \infty, a \neq 0)}
\end{array}
$$

where $N=2 L / a$ is an integer, the index $s$ labels lattice points in real $(x)$ space, as does $n$ in the reciprocal $(q)$ space, where the discrete lattice points are separated by $\Delta q=2 \pi /(2 L)$. Obviously, one or both spaces can be continuous and we should then transform the sum to an integral:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int f(x) d x & =\sum a \cdot f\left(x_{s}\right)=\sum_{s} f_{s} \quad \text { where } f_{s} \equiv a \cdot f\left(x_{s}\right) \\
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int \phi(q) d q & =\sum \frac{\Delta q}{2 \pi} \phi\left(q_{n}\right)=\sum_{n} \phi_{n}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { so that } \phi\left(q_{n}\right) \equiv 2 L \cdot \phi_{n}
$$

The discrete case requires periodicity:

$$
f(x)=f(x+2 \pi / \Delta q) \text { and } \phi(q)=\phi(q+2 \pi / a)
$$

It is good to know that the number of steps is universal in both spaces, $N=2 \pi /(a \Delta q)$.
In order to operate with Fourier transformation one needs the delta-function and its discrete counterparts. Again, not going into mathematical complexities, let us assume that the delta-
function is "defined" as the result of integration as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ \pm i A x} d x=2 \pi \delta(A) . \quad \text { Obviously, } \quad \int \delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) d x=1  \tag{B.4}\\
& \text { accordingly, } \quad \sum_{s} a \cdot \delta\left(x_{s}-x_{0}\right)=\sum_{s} \delta_{s s_{0}}=1
\end{align*}
$$

Note the different dimensionality of $\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right)$ and $\delta_{s s_{0}}$ (and equally that of $f(x)$ and $f_{s}$, etc). The factor of $1 / 2 \pi$ is purely for convenience: some authors prefer different conventions - not that it matters in the end. Let us examine how the present convention operates on some examples.

Consider a simplified energy functional in a continuous unlimited space

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\int \frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x . \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We "define" a new complex function $\tilde{u}(q)$ according to the rule (B.1) and substitute it into $E$, in a pedestrian way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\int \frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int \tilde{u}(q) e^{-i q x} \frac{d q}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} d x=\iiint \frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(-q_{1} q_{2}\right) \tilde{u}\left(q_{1}\right) \tilde{u}\left(q_{2}\right) e^{-i\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) x} d x \frac{d q_{1} d q_{2}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \\
& =\iint \frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(-q_{1} q_{2}\right) \tilde{u}\left(q_{1}\right) \tilde{u}\left(q_{2}\right) 2 \pi \delta\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) \frac{d q_{1} d q_{2}}{(2 \pi)^{2}}=\int \frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(q_{1}\right)^{2} \tilde{u}\left(q_{1}\right) \tilde{u}\left(-q_{1}\right) \frac{d q_{1}}{2 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

(after the delta-function has ensured that $q_{2}=-q_{1}$ ). If, and only if, the function $u(x)$ was real, then we can say on the basis of the second line of the rule (B.1) that $\tilde{u}(q)=\tilde{u}(-q)^{*}$, a complex conjugate. In this case the functional becomes, as you very well know,

$$
E=\int \frac{1}{2} \kappa q^{2}|\tilde{u}(q)|^{2} \frac{d q}{2 \pi}
$$

(as a mnemonic rule, every integration in the continuous reciprocal space carries a $\frac{1}{2 \pi}$ with it ).
Now let us do the same transformation for a functional defined on a finite interval of space:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\int_{-L}^{L} \frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x}\right)^{2}=\int_{-L}^{L} \frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{u}\left(q_{n}\right) e^{-i(\pi n / L) x}\right)^{2} d x \\
& =\int_{-L}^{L} \sum_{n_{1}} \sum_{n_{2}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(-q_{1} q_{2}\right) \tilde{u}\left(q_{1}\right) \tilde{u}\left(q_{2}\right) e^{-i\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) x} d x \quad \text { with discrete } q_{n} \equiv \frac{\pi n}{L} \\
& =\sum_{n_{1}} \sum_{n_{2}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(-q_{1} q_{2}\right) \tilde{u}\left(q_{1}\right) \tilde{u}\left(q_{2}\right) 2 L\left(\frac{\sin \pi\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)}{\pi\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the explicit integration gave $\left.\int_{-L}^{L} e^{-i A x} d x=\frac{2}{A} \sin A L\right)$. Because $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)$ is an integer, the sine is always equal to zero. However, if $n_{1}+n_{2}=0$, then the fraction is equal to one: this is a Kronecker symbol $\delta_{n_{1},-n_{2}}$. As in the continuous integration case before, we lose one of the summations and are left with

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=2 L \sum_{n} \frac{1}{2} \kappa q_{n}^{2}\left|\tilde{u}\left(q_{n}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an exercise, try verifying that a convolution of functions in real space transforms into the product of their Fourier images, e.g., $\int G(y-x) u(x) v(y) d x d y \Rightarrow \int G(q) \tilde{u}(q) \tilde{v}(-q) \frac{d q}{2 \pi}$ (and the analogous summation for the finite spatial domain).

## C Examples

## Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics

1. (*B) A uniform circular disc of mass $2 m$ and radius $a$ is mounted on an axle through its centre so that it can rotate without friction in a vertical plane (figure above). A point mass $m$ is fixed at point $P$ on the lower edge of the disc as shown in the figure. A light elastic string with force constant $k$ is also attached to P , runs over the the circumference of the disc without friction, passes the topmost point and hangs down vertically. At the bottom end of the string a mass $m / 2$ is attached.
Write down the Lagrangian for the system in terms of angle of rotation $\theta$ of the disc and the extension $x$ of the string with respect to its natural length. Obtain Lagrange's equation of motion.

Find the equilibrium position of the disc $\theta_{0}$ and equilibrium extension $x_{0}$.
Show that the natural frequencies $\omega$ of small oscillations of the system are determined by the solution to the equation

$$
m^{2} \omega^{4}-\left(\frac{5 k}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3} m g}{4 a}\right) m \omega^{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3} m g k}{2 a}=0
$$

For the limiting case of a stiff string $(k \gg m g / a)$, show that the natural frequencies approach $\omega^{2}=5 k / 2 m$ and $\omega^{2}=\sqrt{3} g / 5 a$, and describe the normal modes.
Find appropriate expressions for the other limiting case $k \ll m g / a$ and interpret your results.

2. ( $\left.{ }^{*} \mathrm{C}\right)$ A Lagrangian explicitly dependent on time: the inverted pendulum The system consists of a bob of mass $m$ at the far end of a light rod of length $\ell$ whose near end is freely hinged to a support that vibrates vertically with amplitude $a$ and frequency $\omega / 2 \pi$.

Show that


$$
L=\frac{1}{2} m\left(\ell^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}+\omega^{2} a^{2} \sin ^{2} \omega t+2 \omega a \ell \sin \omega t \sin \theta \dot{\theta}\right)-m g(a \cos \omega t+\ell \cos \theta)
$$

(where $\theta$ is the inclination to the vertical) and hence that the equation of motion is

$$
\ell^{2} \ddot{\theta}+\omega^{2} a \ell \cos \omega t \sin \theta-g \ell \sin \theta=0
$$

The motion must consist of a 'slow' $(\tau \lesssim 2 \pi \sqrt{(\ell / g)}$ ) motion and a small but fast forced wobble at frequency $\omega / 2 \pi \gg 1 / \tau$ (and possibly harmonics). Write

$$
\theta=\theta_{1}+C \cos \omega t+S \sin \omega t
$$

where $\theta_{1}, S$ and $C$ vary slowly.
Substitute this expression into the equation of motion, keeping only first order terms in the small quantities $C$ and $S$. You can then isolate the 'slow' terms and those that vary at frequency $\omega / 2 \pi$; show that the latter obey

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell^{2} \ddot{S}-2 \omega \ell^{2} \dot{C}-\omega^{2} \ell^{2} S-g \ell S \cos \theta_{1} & =0 \\
\ell^{2} \ddot{C}+2 \omega \ell^{2} \dot{S}-\omega^{2} \ell^{2} C-g \ell C \cos \theta_{1} & =-\omega^{2} a \ell \sin \theta_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Near an equilibrium value of $\theta_{1}, C$ and $S$ vary arbitrarily slowly, so you can investigate the stability of, and small oscillations about an equilibrium $\theta_{1}$ neglecting terms in $\dot{C}$ etc. Use the resulting expressions for $C$ and $S$ in the 'slow' terms of the equation of motion to show that the upright position of the pendulum is stable iff

$$
\omega^{2}>2 g \ell / a^{2}
$$

and find the period of small oscillations of $\theta_{1}$ about zero.
3. ( ${ }^{*}$ A) A particle of mass $m$ moves in a potential $V=\frac{1}{2} k r^{2}=\frac{1}{2} k\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ (it is a 2dimensional harmonic oscillator). Find its Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ using as generalised coordinates $q_{1}=r$ and $q_{2}=m r^{2} \dot{\theta}$ (its angular momentum about the origin), and their conjugate 'momenta'. It is easy if you begin with the more natural choice $q_{1}=r, q_{2}=\theta$ and then interchange the notations for $p_{2}$ and $-q_{2}$ when you have reached the Hamiltonian. Verify that Hamilton's equations give the expected motion. Notice that this goes sour if you write the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ in terms of $q_{1}, \dot{q}_{1}, q_{2}, \dot{q}_{2}$ and try to define $p_{1}=\partial L / \partial \dot{q}_{1}, p_{2}=$ $\partial L / \partial \dot{q}_{2}, \mathcal{H}=\mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}-\mathcal{L}$. That happens because the Lagrangian formulation depends on the $q$ really being position coordinates.
4. (*B) What are the main advantages of the Hamiltonian formulation of mechanics over the Lagrangian formulation?
Derive Hamilton's equations of motion for the one-dimensional Hamiltonian

$$
H(q, p)=p \dot{q}-L(q, \dot{q}) .
$$

(You should assume the symbols have their usual meanings.)
If the Hamiltonian is written in terms of transformed position and momentum coordinates

$$
Q=Q(q, p), \quad P=P(q, p)
$$

show that the transformed Hamiltonian $H(Q, P)$ obeys Hamilton's equations of motion in the new coordinates if

$$
\{Q, P\}_{q, p}=1
$$

where the Poisson bracket is defined by

$$
\{U, V\}_{q, p}=\frac{\partial U}{\partial q} \frac{\partial V}{\partial p}-\frac{\partial U}{\partial p} \frac{\partial V}{\partial q}
$$

Show that for the coordinate transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=\arctan \left(\frac{m \omega q}{p}\right) \\
& P=\frac{1}{2 m \omega}\left(p^{2}+m^{2} \omega^{2} q^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the above Poisson bracket holds.
Rewrite the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian

$$
H=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{1}{2} m \omega^{2} q^{2}
$$

in terms of $Q, P$ and solve Hamilton's equations in these coordinates. Show that your solutions are consistent with the solutions to the harmonic oscillator solved using $q, p$.
5. (*B) Show that, if we have velocity-dependent potentials that depend only linearly on the velocities, i.e.

$$
V(\boldsymbol{q}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}})=V_{1}(\boldsymbol{q})+\sum_{i} a_{i}(\boldsymbol{q}) \dot{q}_{i},
$$

then the quantity

$$
H \equiv \sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}-L=T+V_{1} .
$$

Explain why this does not mean that the velocity-dependent part of the potential has no effect on Hamilton's equations of motion.
6. (*A) It is very easy to demonstrate relations between symmetries of the Hamiltonian and conservation laws, e.g.

$$
\frac{d H}{d t}=\sum \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}} \dot{p}_{i}+\sum \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}} \dot{q}_{i}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}=\dot{\boldsymbol{q}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}-\dot{\boldsymbol{p}} . \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}=0
$$

if the Hamiltonian does not depend explicitly on time.
Show that, if the Hamiltonian is invariant under a displacement $\delta x$ of the whole system, then $\sum_{\text {particles }} p_{x}$ is conserved.
7. (*B) Hamilton's equations are true for velocity-dependent potentials, so everything in the previous question remains true also.
An ion projected into the $x-y$ plane in a uniform magnetic field $(0,0, B)$ performs circular motion, so neither $m v_{x}$ nor $m v_{y}$ is conserved. How can you reconcile this with the conservation laws derived in the previous question?
Show explicitly how the circular motion of the ion arises from Hamilton's equations. [There is a choice of vector potentials to represent a uniform field in the $z$-direction; $\mathbf{A}=(-B y, 0,0)$ is as good a choice as any.]
8. (*B) Use Hamilton's equations to show that for any scalar function $f\left(q_{i}, p, t\right)$ the evolution equation can be written

$$
\frac{\mathrm{df}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+\{f, H\}
$$

where $\{\ldots\}$ represents the Poisson bracket.
For a vector function $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x})$ of 3-dimensional position $\boldsymbol{x}$, evaluate the Poisson bracket of the Cartesian components $\left\{p_{j}, A_{k}\right\}$, where $\boldsymbol{p}$ is the 3 -dimensional canonical momentum vector.

Recall the canonical momentum and the Hamiltonian function for a non-relativistic electron in an electromagnetic field, with a potential energy $V=e(\phi-\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{A})$. Using the analogy between classical canonical variables and QM operators, $\{f, g\} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i \hbar}[\hat{f}, \hat{g}]$, find the value of the quantum commutator $\left[\hat{p_{j}}, \hat{A_{k}}\right]$.
Derive the quantum-mechanical Hamiltonian operator and the Schrödinger equation for an electron moving in a magnetic field in the gauge $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}=0$.
9. ( $\left.{ }^{*} \mathrm{C}\right)$ A system consists of one point-like particle with a mass $M$ and position vector $\boldsymbol{R}$ and $n$ particles with the same mass $m$ and position vectors $\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}$, with $\alpha=1, \ldots, n$. All interactions are described by the potential energy $U\left(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}\right)$. Write down and describe the terms in the Lagrangian for this system.
The system evolves such that its centre of mass remains fixed (take, for definiteness, that it remains at the origin of the coordinate system). Describe the type of constraint this is and reduce the number of degrees of freedom, writing the Lagrangian in terms of the relative coordinates $\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}-\boldsymbol{R}$.
Find the canonical momenta $\boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha}$ for this system.
Hence, or otherwise, prove that the Hamiltonian function for this system takes the form

$$
H=\frac{1}{2 m} \sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 M}\left(\sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{p}_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+U
$$

10. ( $\left.{ }^{*} \mathrm{C}\right)$ A non-relativistic particle of mass $m$ and charge $q$ moves in an electromagnetic field produced by an electrostatic potential $\phi$ and magnetic vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}$. Show that the Hamiltonian is

$$
H=\frac{|\boldsymbol{p}-q \boldsymbol{A}|^{2}}{2 m}+q \phi
$$

In Cartesian coordinates $(x, y, z)$ the electric field is $(\boldsymbol{E}=E, 0,0)$ and the magnetic field is $\boldsymbol{B}=(0,0, B)$. Show that $\phi=-E x, \boldsymbol{A}=(0, B x, 0)$ are suitable choices for the potentials.
For a particle moving in this field, show that the momenta $p_{y}, p_{z}$ and the Hamiltonian $H$ are constants of the motion.
Find Hamilton's equations of motion for the variables $p_{x}, x, y$ and $z$ and show that

$$
\ddot{x}+\omega_{0}^{2} x=\frac{q B p_{y}}{m^{2}}+\frac{q E}{m},
$$

where $\omega_{0} \equiv q B / m$.
Hence find the general solutions for $x(t), y(t)$ and demonstrate that the particle has mean velocity $-E / B$ in the $y$ direction.
11. (*A) Starting from

$$
L=-m_{0} c^{2} / \gamma-V(x),
$$

find the canonical momentum and hence verify that the Hamiltonian is

$$
H=\gamma m_{0} c^{2}+V(x) .
$$

12. (*B) Given that there is also a coupling to a vector potential, so that

$$
L=-\frac{m_{0} c^{2}}{\gamma}-e(\phi-\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}),
$$

show that

$$
H=\gamma m_{0} c^{2}+e \phi
$$

Discuss carefully whether the vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}$ has dropped out of Hamilton's equations. What does $H(p, q)$ look like?
13. (*B) "Relativistic Disc" Starting from the assumption that

$$
L=\int d\left(-\frac{m_{0} c^{2}}{\gamma}\right)
$$

and that for a rotating disc

$$
d m_{0}=\rho_{0} r d r d \theta
$$

where $\rho_{0}$ is a constant rest mass per unit area, find the Lagrangian for a disk of radius $a$ rotating rigidly at angular velocity $\omega$. Hence show that its angular momentum is

$$
J=\frac{4}{3} M_{0} \frac{c^{4}}{a^{2} \omega^{3}}\left[1-\left(1+\frac{\omega^{2} a^{2}}{2 c^{2}}\right) \sqrt{1-\frac{\omega^{2} a^{2}}{c^{2}}}\right]
$$

where $M_{0}$ is its (non-rotating) rest mass.

## Field theory

1. (*B) Natural drainage networks are speculated to minimise $S=\int d x d y|Q(x, y)|^{\alpha}$ subject to the constraint that $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{Q}=R(x, y)$. Here $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is a two dimensional vector field for the flow of water across the plane and R is the local rainfall density.
Find the Euler-Lagrange equations for $Q$ in terms of a Lagrange multiplier field for the constraint. (Try $\alpha=2$ as a warm-up case).
2. (*A) Work through finding the Euler-Lagrange equations, the canonical momentum density, and the Hamiltonian density for a real scalar field $\varphi$ with the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian density

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\hbar^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-\hbar^{2} c^{2}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi)^{2}-m_{0}^{2} c^{4} \varphi^{2}\right]
$$

3. (*B) Show that if $\Psi$ and $\Psi^{*}$ are taken as independent classical fields, the Lagrangian density

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{\hbar}{2 i}\left(\Psi \frac{\partial \Psi^{*}}{\partial t}-\Psi^{*} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}\right)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla \Psi^{*}-V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi^{*} \Psi
$$

leads to the Schrödinger equation

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \Psi+V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi
$$

and its complex conjugate. What are the momentum densities conjugate to $\Psi$ and $\Psi^{*}$ ? Deduce the Hamiltonian density, and verify that integrating it over all space gives the usual expression for the energy.
4. (*B) The Klein-Gordon Lagrangian density for a complex scalar field $\varphi$ is

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}-\nabla \varphi^{*} \cdot \nabla \varphi-m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi
$$

(a) Show that the corresponding Hamiltonian density is

$$
\mathcal{H}=\pi^{*} \pi+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi^{*} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi+m^{2} \varphi^{*} \varphi
$$

where $\pi=\partial \varphi^{*} / \partial t$ is the momentum density conjugate to $\varphi$.
(b) Introducing the Fourier representation

$$
\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)=\int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{k}}{2(2 \pi)^{3} \omega}\left[a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i k \cdot x}+b^{*}(\mathbf{k}) e^{+i k \cdot x}\right]
$$

where $\omega=\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^{2}+m^{2}}$, show that the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$
H=\int d^{3} \mathbf{r} \mathcal{H}=\int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{k}}{2(2 \pi)^{3}}\left[|a(\mathbf{k})|^{2}+|b(\mathbf{k})|^{2}\right] .
$$

(c) Show that there is a conserved charge given by

$$
Q=-i \int d^{3} \mathbf{r}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}}{\partial t} \varphi-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^{*}\right)
$$

and that this has the Fourier representation

$$
Q=\int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{k}}{2(2 \pi)^{3} \omega}\left[|a(\mathbf{k})|^{2}-|b(\mathbf{k})|^{2}\right] .
$$

Interpret these results.
5. (*B) Consider a string with mass per unit length $\sigma$, tension $F$, stretched along the $z$-axis and free to execute small transverse oscillations, with displacements $\varphi_{x}$ and $\varphi_{y}$ in the $x$ and $y$-directions respectively. The Lagrangian density is

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma\left[\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{x}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{y}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right]-\frac{1}{2} F\left[\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{x}}{\partial z}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{y}}{\partial z}\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

Show that this Lagrangian is invariant under the transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{x} & \rightarrow \varphi_{x} \cos \theta-\varphi_{y} \sin \theta \\
\varphi_{y} & \rightarrow \varphi_{x} \sin \theta+\varphi_{y} \cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta$ is a constant. Show that the corresponding Noether density and current are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =\sigma\left(\varphi_{x} \frac{\partial \varphi_{y}}{\partial t}-\varphi_{y} \frac{\partial \varphi_{x}}{\partial t}\right) \\
J_{z} & =F\left(\varphi_{y} \frac{\partial \varphi_{x}}{\partial z}-\varphi_{x} \frac{\partial \varphi_{y}}{\partial z}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Write down the corresponding conserved charge and explain its physical significance.
6. (*B) Show that the angular momentum of a real scalar field $\varphi$, satisfying the Klein-Gordon equation, is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{J}=-\int d^{3} \mathbf{r} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(\mathbf{r} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi)
$$

or, in the Fourier representation used earlier,

$$
\boldsymbol{J}=-i \int \frac{d^{3} \boldsymbol{k}}{2(2 \pi)^{3} \omega} a^{*}(\boldsymbol{k})\left(\boldsymbol{k} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{(k)}\right) a(\boldsymbol{k}) .
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{(k)}=\left(\partial / \partial k_{x}, \partial / \partial k_{y}, \partial / \partial k_{z}\right)$ is the gradient operator in k -space.
7. (*B) Consider the theory of two interacting real scalar fields with the Lagrangian density

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi_{1}\right)\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi_{2}\right)\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} M_{11} \varphi_{1}^{2}-M_{12} \varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}-\frac{1}{2} M_{22} \varphi_{2}^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \Lambda_{11} \varphi_{1}^{4}-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{12} \varphi_{1}^{2} \varphi_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \Lambda_{22} \varphi_{2}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) What are the dimensions of $M_{i j}$ and $\Lambda_{i j}$ in natural units?
(b) What constraints are imposed on $M_{i j}$ and $\Lambda_{i j}$ by the requirement that the energy be bounded from below?
(c) The Lagrangian is symmetric under the transformation $\varphi_{1} \rightarrow-\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \rightarrow-\varphi_{2}$. Find the ranges of $M_{11}, M_{12}$ and $M_{22}$ for which this symmetry is spontaneously broken.
8. $\left({ }^{*} \mathrm{C}\right)$ Consider a real scalar field with the action

$$
S=\int d^{4} x\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)-\frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^{4}\right] .
$$

Show that the action is invariant under the dilatation transformation $\varphi(x) \rightarrow \alpha \varphi(\alpha x)$, where $\alpha$ is a real constant. Find the corresponding conserved current.
9. (*A) The Dirac matrix $\gamma^{5}$ is defined as

$$
\gamma^{5} \equiv i \gamma^{0} \gamma^{1} \gamma^{2} \gamma^{3}
$$

Show that

$$
\gamma^{5} \gamma^{\mu}=-\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5}, \quad\left(\gamma^{5}\right)^{\dagger}=\gamma^{5}, \quad\left(\gamma^{5}\right)^{2}=I
$$

where $I$ represents the unit matrix.

Show that under the field redefinition $\psi \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}=\gamma^{5} \psi$ the Dirac Lagrangian density

$$
\mathcal{L}=i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi-m \bar{\psi} \psi
$$

becomes

$$
\mathcal{L}=i \bar{\psi}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi^{\prime}+m \bar{\psi}^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}
$$

i.e. the mass term changes sign. This shows that the sign of $m$ has no physical significance: there is no such thing as a negative mass.
10. (*B) Consider a massless Dirac field $\psi$ and a real scalar field $\varphi$, with the Lagrangian density

$$
\mathcal{L}=i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu} \varphi\right)\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi\right)-\frac{1}{2} g(\bar{\psi} \psi)^{2}+h \varphi \bar{\psi} \psi+\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \varphi^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^{4}
$$

where $g, h, m$ and $\lambda$ and real constants and $g, \lambda>0$. Show that the system has a discrete global symmetry

$$
\psi \rightarrow \gamma^{5} \psi, \quad \varphi \rightarrow-\varphi
$$

Show that this symmetry is spontaneously broken, and find the minimum-energy configurations of the fields. Show also that the quanta of the scalar field acquire a mass $m_{s}$ where

$$
m_{s}=\sqrt{2 m^{2}+3 h^{2} / g} .
$$

## Propagators and causality

1. (*B) Using the Cauchy theorem for integration in the complex plane, show that (for $a, b$ real and $a>b$ ):

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{a+b \sin \theta}=\frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{a^{2}-b^{2}}}\left(\text { use } z=e^{i \theta}\right) ; \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{1+x^{6}}=\frac{\pi}{3}
$$

2. (*C) In the circuit shown, $G$ represents an ideal current generator, of zero admittance, which is switched on at $t=0$. The voltage across AB can be found in the following way: Express the input current as a Fourier integral. Find the steady state response for each frequency, then the total response by expressing the sum of responses for the component frequencies as an inverse Fourier integral (evaluate by contour integration).
Apply this method to the case when for $t>0$ the input current is $I_{0} \cos \omega t$.

3. (*B) The Green's function for a quantum-mechanical particle with Hamiltonian $H$ is defined by

$$
\left(i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-H\right) G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; t, t^{\prime}\right)=\delta^{3}\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)
$$

Use Fourier methods to derive the Green's function

$$
G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; z\right)=\int e^{i z\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) / \hbar} G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; t, t^{\prime}\right) d t
$$

for a non-relativistic free particle in three dimensions $\left(H=-\hbar^{2} \nabla^{2} / 2 m\right)$, with $z=E+i \epsilon$ for the four cases

$$
\text { (i) } E>0, \epsilon>0 ; \quad \text { (ii) } E>0, \epsilon<0 ; \quad \text { (iii) } E<0, \epsilon>0 ; \quad \text { (iv) } E<0, \epsilon<0 \text {. }
$$

The parameter $\epsilon$ should be assumed to be real and small.
Use your results to show that

$$
\Delta G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; E\right)=-2 \pi i \frac{2 m}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{\sin \left(\sqrt{2 m E}\left|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right| / \hbar\right)}{4 \pi^{2}\left|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right|} \Theta(E)
$$

where

$$
\Delta G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; E\right)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; E+i|\epsilon|\right)-G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; E-i|\epsilon|\right)\right]
$$

Calculate the density of states (the number of quantum states per unit energy per unit volume) $\rho(E)$ for a non-relativistic free particle in three dimensions using a simple phasespace argument. Hence show that for this case

$$
\rho(E)=\lim _{r \rightarrow r^{\prime}} \frac{\Delta G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; E\right)}{-2 \pi i}
$$

Now consider the general case. For a system with Hamiltonian $H$, energy eigenvalues $E_{n}$ and corresponding eigenfunctions $\phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r})$, show that

$$
G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; z\right)=\sum_{n} \frac{\phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) \phi_{n}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)}{z-E_{n}}
$$

Use this expression and the identity

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{x \pm i y}=P \frac{1}{x} \mp i \pi \delta(x)
$$

to show in general that

$$
\rho(\boldsymbol{r} ; E)=\lim _{r \rightarrow r^{\prime}} \frac{\Delta G\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} ; E\right)}{-2 \pi i}
$$

4. ( $\left.{ }^{*} \mathrm{C}\right)$ Derive the Kramers-Kronig relations between the real and imaginary parts of the generalized susceptibility for a perturbation potential of the form $V=-x$.f where $x(t)$ is a position coordinate and $f(t)$ a force.
The equation of motion for a damped harmonic oscillator has the form:

$$
\ddot{x}+\gamma \dot{x}+\omega^{2} x=f(t)
$$

Derive an expression for the Fourier Transform of the Green's function $G(\omega)$ and write down the Kramers-Kronig relations for its real and imaginary parts.
Convert the principal-value integrals to contour integrals and find their poles. By evaluating one of these integrals show that the corresponding Kramers-Kronig relation is obeyed by this Green's function.
(Hint: $\mathrm{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) d x=\operatorname{Lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon}+\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty}\right] f(x) d x$ for a pole at $x=0$.)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Warning on sign conventions: In QM the sign convention for oscillation with time is $\Psi(t) \propto$

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Hint: consider a symmetric dummy function $v(t)=v(-t)$ with its Fourier-transform purely real

