Ultraviolet Divergences

• In higher-order perturbation theory we encounter Feynman graphs with closed loops, associated with unconstrained momenta.

 $\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}$

• For every such momentum k^{μ} , we have to integrate over all values, i.e.

E.g. "electron self-energy" in QED: $p \qquad p'$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{fi} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \bar{u}(p') \gamma^{\mu} \frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{k^2} \frac{i(q'+m)}{q^2 - m^2} \gamma^{\nu} u(p) \\ &\times (-ie)(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p - q - k)(-ie)(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(q + k - p') \\ &= -e^2(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p - p') \\ &\times \bar{u}(p) \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\gamma^{\mu}(p' - k' + m)\gamma_{\mu}}{k^2[(p - k)^2 - m^2]} u(p) \end{aligned}$$

• $\int^{\infty} d^4k \frac{k}{k^2(p-k)^2}$ is divergent!

• We say that $\int d^4k \, k^{D-4}$ has superficial degree of divergence D

$$D = 0 \Rightarrow \text{log-divergent}$$

- $1 \Rightarrow$ linearly divergent
- $2 \Rightarrow$ quadratically divergent
- The actual degree of divergence may be less, e.g. due to cancellations required by gauge invariance. For example, the electron self-energy is actually only log-divergent. Putting an upper cut-off Λ on the integral, one finds

$$\mathcal{A}_{fi} \sim -i(2\pi)^4 \,\delta^4(p-p') \frac{3\alpha}{2\pi} m \,\ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{m}\right) + \dots$$

- If the theory has only a finite set of (classes of) divergent (i.e. cut-off dependent) diagrams, their contributions can be absorbed into redefinitions of the coupling constant(s) and masses. This is called renormalization.
- For example, iteration of the electron self-energy leads to renormalization of the electron mass. Defining $\Sigma = -\frac{3m}{8\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{m} + \dots$ we have



• Hence
$$m \to m + \delta m$$
 where

$$\frac{\delta m}{m} = \frac{3\alpha}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{m} + \dots$$

The real, observed mass is $m + \delta m$. The bare mass, i.e. the parameter in the Lagrangian, is not observable, and indeed depends on Λ if we keep the observed mass fixed.

Renormalizability

• How many classes of superficially divergent graphs are there in QED? We have

- ♦ $\int d^4k$ for every loop (unconstrained momentum)
- * $\frac{i}{\not k m}$ for every internal fermion line (electron)
- ♦ $\frac{-ig^{\mu\nu}}{k^2}$ for every internal boson line (photon)

 $\Rightarrow D = 4L - F_I - 2B_I \quad \text{where}$

- L = number of unconstrained momenta
- F_I = number of internal fermion lines
- B_I = number of internal boson lines

• But if V is the number of vertices,

$$L = F_I + B_I - V + 1$$

• If vertex involves F_V fermions and B_V bosons, we have by 'conservation of ends':

$$\sum_{V} F_{V} = 2F_{I} + F_{E}$$
$$\sum_{V} B_{V} = 2B_{I} + B_{E}$$

where

 F_E = number of external fermion lines B_E = number of external boson lines

• In QED, $F_V = 2$, $B_V = 1$



Note that D is independent of L and V.

• Thus there is only a finite number of classes of superficially divergent diagrams in QED, with

$$D = 4 - \frac{3}{2}F_E - B_E \ge 0$$

• There are only 5 classes of superficially divergent graphs in QED, of which 3 are actually (log) divergent.

F_E	B_E	D	Diagrams	Remarks
0	2	2		photon self-energy: log-divergent
				\Rightarrow charge renormalization
0	3	1		= 0 to all orders
			+	
0	4	0		light-by-light scattering
				actually convergent
<u></u>	0	1		oloctron solf operate log divergent
Ĺ	0	T	\sim	electron sen-energy. log-divergent
				\Rightarrow mass & charge renorm'n
2	1	0		vertex correction: log-divergent
			ξ	
			\$	\Rightarrow charge renormalization
7				

N.B. In QED, charge renormalization from electron self-energy and vertex correction cancel, so it can be ascribed entirely to photon self-energy (vacuum polarization).

Dimensions of Fields and Couplings

• In natural units we have only mass (equivalently, energy or momentum) dimensions: $x \sim ct \sim \hbar c/E \sim \hbar/mc$.

$$\hbar = c = 1 \Rightarrow [L] = [T] = [E]^{-1} = [M]^{-1}$$

• Hence action S (units \hbar) is dimensionless, and

$$S = \int \mathcal{L} \, d^4 x \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad [\mathcal{L}] = [x]^{-4} = [M]^4$$

Furthermore $[\partial^{\mu}] = [p^{\mu}] = [M]$. From this we can deduce dimensions of fields and couplings:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{KG}} = \partial^{\mu} \phi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \phi - m^{2} \phi^{\dagger} \phi \quad \Rightarrow \quad [\phi] = [M]$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}} = i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad [\psi] = [M]^{3/2}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{em}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad [F^{\mu\nu}] = [M]^{2}$$
$$F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu} A^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} A^{\mu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad [A^{\mu}] = [M]$$

Higgs self-coupling: $\lambda(\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^{2} \Rightarrow [\lambda] = [M]^{0}$ Gauge couplings: $D^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + ieA^{\mu}(+igW^{\mu}) \Rightarrow [e] = [g] = [M]^{0}$ Fermi coupling: $G_{F}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi) \Rightarrow [G_{F}] = [M]^{-2}$ Yukawa coupling: $g_{f}\phi\bar{\psi}\psi \Rightarrow [g_{f}] = [M]^{0}$

• Thus in any theory we can associate dimension 4 with any vertex, as follows

$$4 = \frac{3}{2}F_V + B_V + P_V + g_V$$

where P_V = number of momentum factors, g_V = dimension of coupling. For example...



$$D = 4L - F_I - 2B_I + \sum_V P_V$$

Recall that $L = F_I + B_I - V + 1$ and

$$\sum_{V} F_{V} = 2F_{I} + F_{E} , \quad \sum_{V} B_{V} = 2B_{I} + B_{E}$$

$$D = 4 - 4V + 3F_I + 2B_I + \sum_V P_V$$

$$= 4 - 4V - \frac{3}{2}F_E - B_E + \sum_V (\frac{3}{2}F_V + B_V + P_V = 4 - g_V)$$
$$= 4 - \frac{3}{2}F_E - B_E - \sum_V g_V$$

• Standard Model couplings are all dimensionless, so $\sum_V g_V = 0$ and the situation is similar to QED:

- Finite number of divergent sub-graphs ('primitive divergences')
- ✤ Can absorb cut-off dependence in bare parameters of Lagrangian
- ✤ Hence theory is renormalizable

N.B. Lots of work needed to *prove* this ('t Hooft and Veltman \Rightarrow Nobel prize).

• Non-standard vertices have $g_V < 0$, so D gets larger and larger in higher orders of perturbation theory \Rightarrow theory becomes unrenormalizable. For example

6-Higgs coupling:

 $\lambda_6 (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad [\lambda_6] = [M]^{-2}$

Fermi coupling:

 $G_F(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi)(\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi) \Rightarrow [G_F] = [M]^{-2}$

2-boson Yukawa coupling:

 $\lambda_f \phi^\dagger \phi \bar{\psi} \psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad [\lambda_f] = [M]^{-1}$

Is it surprising that Nature provides only renormalizable interactions? Maybe not, because unrenormalizability ⇒ bad (divergent) high-energy behaviour.
E.g. Fermi theory:

$$\sigma(\nu_e e) \sim G_F^2$$
$$[G_F] = [M]^{-2} , \quad [\sigma] = [M]^{-2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \sigma(\nu_e e) \sim G_F^2 E^2 \to \infty$$

Thus if we suppose there exists a finite theory at very high energies (GUT? SUSY? Strings?), all unrenormalizable interactions will have shrunk to negligible values in going from that high scale to present energies:

